1	HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES								
2	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA								
3	* * * *								
	Budget Hearing								
4									
5	State-Related Universities PSU, Pitt, Temple & Lincoln								
6	* * *								
7	Appropriations Committee								
8	Main Canital Duilding								
O	Main Capitol Building Majority Caucus Room 140								
9	Harrisburg, Pennsylvania								
10	Tuesday, March 3, 2020								
11	000								
12	MAJORITY MEMBERS PRESENT:								
13	Honorable Stanley Saylor, Majority Chairman								
10	Honorable Rosemary Brown								
14	Honorable Lynda Schlegel-Culver								
1 -	Honorable Sheryl Delozier								
15	Honorable George Dunbar Honorable Jonathan Fritz								
16	Honorable Matt Gabler								
	Honorable Keith Greiner								
17	Honorable Seth Grove								
1.0	Honorable Marcia Hahn								
18	Honorable Doyle Heffley Honorable Lee James								
19	Honorable John Lawrence								
	Honorable Jason Ortitay								
20	Honorable Clint Owlett								
	Honorable Chris Quinn								
21	Honorable Greg Rothman								
22	Honorable James Struzzi								
22	Honorable Jesse Topper Honorable Jeff Wheeland								
23	Honorable Ryan Warner								
	Honorable Martina White								
24									
25	1300 Garrison Drive, York, PA 17404 717.764.7801								

```
1
      MINORITY COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:
2
      Honorable Matt Bradford, Minority Chairman
      Honorable Donna Bullock
 3
      Honorable Morgan Cephas
      Honorable Carolyn Comitta
      Honorable Maria Donatucci
 4
      Honorable Elizabeth Fiedler
 5
      Honorable Marty Flynn
      Honorable Edward Gainey
 6
      Honorable Patty Kim
      Honorable Stephen Kinsey
7
      Honorable Leanne Krueger
      Honorable Stephen McCarter
      Honorable Benjamin Sanchez
8
      Honorable Peter Schweyer
 9
10
      NON-COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:
11
      Honorable Mike Turzai, Speaker of the House
      Honorable Curt Sonney, Majority Chairman
12
        Education Committee
      Honorable Mark Gillen
13
      Honorable Tom Mehaffie
14
      Honorable Brad Roae
      Honorable Craig Staats
15
      Honorable Meghan Schroeder
      Honorable Tim Briggs
16
      Honorable Carol Hill-Evans
      Honorable Marylouise Isaacson
17
      Honorable Kerry Benninghoff
      Honorable Dan Williams
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	STAFF ATTENDANCE:
2	
3	David Donley Majority Executive Director
4	Ritchie LaFaver
5	Deputy Executive Director
6	Ann Baloga
7	Minority Executive Director
8	Tara Trees
9	Minority Chief Counsel
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Key Reporters

1	INDEX OF TESTIFIERS								
2	TESTIFIERS								
3									
4	STATE-RELATED UNIVERSITIES PSU, PITT, TEMPLE & LINCOLN								
5	Dr. Eric Barron, President								
6	Pennsylvania State University								
7	Dr. Patrick Gallagher, Chancellor University of Pittsburgh								
8	Dr. Richard Englert, President								
9	Temple University								
10	Dr. Brenda Allen, President Lincoln University								
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS								
21	PAGE	LINE	PAGE	LINE	PAGE	LINE			
22	17	10-15	20	11-15	22	1-2			
23	27	5-10	31	22-	91	8-12			
24	103	12-14							
25									

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: We'll call the Appropriations Committee back in order. And we'll ask all the Presidents of the universities if they will rise and raise their right hand.

(Whereupon, the testifiers were sworn en masse.)

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Very good. Thank you very much.

We'll start off with questions right away. We're gonna start off with Representative Culver.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHLEGEL-CULVER: Good afternoon. I'm on this side of the room. Thank you, Presidents and Chancellor for being here. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

My question is specifically directed to Penn State University, so President Barron. My district is on the fringes of sort of the Penn State home territory, about 45 minutes to an hour away; pretty rural, agriculturally heavy area that is dependent upon the extension services and the ag services of the university. And many of our students and farmers have attended Penn State in the ag program.

So, in additional to the funding that

2.1

you receive through the Department of Education,

Penn State also receives agricultural research and
extension funding through the Department of

Agriculture.

In the Governor's proposed budget, he's keeping, or proposing, for level funding at 55 million a year, while the university has requested about a 6 percent increase in that line item.

Can you tell me what the impact will be of level funding on the programs that are offered in districts like mine?

DR. BARRON: Well, it's -- it's always somewhat tricky with level funding and wanting to provide raises for individuals that are not funded off of tuition, which includes the extension agent. So, usually level funding results in some contraction that has to occur in order to balance that budget.

But I think, more importantly, the request is really rather specific. We have a Spotted Lanternfly infestation. This is impacting a large part of Pennsylvania. It's tens of millions of dollars in potential damage. Penn State stepped in early before any funding in order

2.1

to be able to address the problem, and I think we're on a pathway to solutions.

2.1

And then I think the second part of it is, this is a state that spends -- has a lot of concern over water-quality issues, especially coupled with agriculture, and we can do very well in that particular space. So what you see is that request enables us to do more for the Commonwealth in addition to what we're doing, as well as making sure that we keep all those active extension agents in every county in the State of Pennsylvania.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHLEGEL-CULVER: So, do you think that by keeping it level funded, it will hurt the efforts that had been done for the Spotted Lanternfly and the other programs.

DR. BARRON: We always do the very best we can. But in past cases with level funding, it's resulted in some contraction in terms of what we're able to do, and we would like to do more for the state. And I think with the two issues that I just described, doing more is important right now.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHLEGEL-CULVER: Do you think that we'll see that in the bigger programs that you're implementing statewide or more locally at the extension services and the services they

1 provide in our communities? 2 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: I see them as closely coupled, because frequently what we work on 3 crosses many, many different counties. So, this is 4 5 also a way in which you translate research into local impact. And so, this is a case where it 6 7 affects both. REPRESENTATIVE SCHLEGEL-CULVER: Okay. 8 9 Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 10 11 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: I did want to 12 announce that we've been joined by three members 13 who are not members of the Appropriations 14 Committee: Representative Tom Mehaffie, Representative Schroeder, and also our great 15 16 Speaker of the House, Mike Turzai. 17 So, with that, we'll move on to our next 18 question, is Representative Comitta. 19 REPRESENTATIVE COMMITA: Thank you, 20 Mr. Chairman. Welcome, Presidents. Hi, I'm over 2.1 here. 22 So, I just have to say right before you 23 all sat down, the excitement and the energies, you know, seeing everybody, and everybody, you know, 24

connects, and your "yeah team" and so on. I just

want to thank you, each of you, for your leadership in -- at your universities. You are shaping the future of our Commonwealth and every student who is fortunate enough to be with you. So, I want to thank you for that.

I have a question for President Barron.

With Penn State's Ag Extension around the

Commonwealth, I know that you are providing

agricultural research, extension programs that

support the agricultural community and really

stakeholders around Pennsylvania.

So, I have a question for you about how your extension services are researching the effects of climate change on farming, agriculture in general, construction practices; for example, pipelines. We had the -- In Beaver County, we had the Revolution Pipeline that had been constructed; was put into operation for one week with the natural gas liquids. There was a lot of rain, which we're getting all the time now; landslide; pipeline exploded with -- to disasterous results.

So, how is the Penn State Ag Extension program addressing changes that we need to make to keep people and agriculture, and so on, safe and healthy in Pennsylvania?

2.1

DR. BARRON: So, Penn State is very fortunate. Not only do we have a world class agricultural extension program, and effort in agricultural research, but we also have one of the finest meterology departments in the country. And borne out of that is considerable effort to focus on prediction, and that level of prediction is expanding. You can see it in a lot of different forms.

Our system prediction has a category, and the objective there is to look at whether variability -- climate variability climate, and consider not only predicting those characteristics but their impact. So, you see everything from a change in pest distribution that occurs, change in extremes that has an impact on what you can grow, or the viability of what it is you can grow, even shifts of many growing zones. You see it in terms of changes in rainfall that have an impact on streams, runoff levels.

So it's -- it's a very broad -- a very broad topic for which the university's keenly interested in looking at what you can bring well and what you can't, and to make sure we're also doing this in service to society. And we have good

cross-over between agriculture and fields like meterology and others.

One of the things Penn State takes great pride in is that, we get faculty across many, many expertise areas to work together, and this is a good example. This, in my view, is one of the strengthens of the institution.

REPRESENTATIVE COMMITA: So -- Yes, and thank you for that. We need you. We need all of you to find the solutions for the -- for the climate crisis that our students, our children, are navigating now and will be for their entire lives.

So following on that, yes, Doctor Joel Myers, right, Penn State meterologist, is there a major -- an interdisciplinary major in, you know, climate crisis and predictions, or whatever you might call it?

DR. BARRON: Yeah. Not -- Not -- Not precisely, because you would, particularly, at an undergraduate level, be focused on -- on basic fields of agricultural engineering or large animal science or meterology. As you become a graduate student, you're much more likely to be able to focus.

But we do allow students to design

2.1

1 majors. So if they have an interest, they can 2 definitely lean towards that -- that interest. We're also highly coupled -- I used to 3 be the director of a federal laboratory, the National Center For Atmospheric Research in 5 Boulder, Colorado, and we have very tight 6 connections with them as well. So you see a lot of different areas for which we -- we have overlapped 8 and enabled students who then go on to participate 10 in a --11 So you could be an ag -- in ag sciences 12 and go to the National Center for Atmospheric 13 Research for every summer while you're working for 14 your degree, much more likely at a graduate level than an undergraduate level. 15 16 REPRESENTATIVE COMMITA: Thank you so much, Mr. Presidents, and Madam President. 17 18 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Our next 19 questioner is Representative Ortitay. 20 REPRESENTATIVE ORTITAY: Thank you, 2.1 Mr. Chairman. 22 Chancellor Gallagher, I have a couple 23 questions for you. I have been watching and observing about the Pitt students who were staging 24

a protest, urging the divestment of fossil fuels

from the endowment. And I heard that there was a meeting last week about that. I was wondering if you could update us on that meeting and give us the university position on investing in the endowment, or in fossil fuels in your endowment?

CHANCELLOR GALLAGHER: Yep, be happy to.

Yeah, we have had a very active group on campus called the Fossil Free Coalition. It's actually not an official students' group, but it's a loose coalition of students, increasingly with some alumni and other community members.

It's also a part of a larger national effort. You probably have read newspaper accounts of this kind of thing happening on a variety of campuses. Maybe the most famous being of the Harvard-Yale football game was interrupted due to on-field protests.

So, the students have been -- that are involved at Pitt have been advocating, as this group is, for universities to divest of all fossil fuel holdings. Our position has been pretty clear. That's a decision that only the board of trustees can make. It's not a university decision, and that it's a very high bar for a group of trustees to face that, because, anything that can lower the

2.1

returns on an endowment is essentially taking from future students. So it's one of the most profound responsibilities the trustees have. And at this point, the trustees have not made a move to do such a thing.

So what we did was put together a process that, at least lets the full issue be studied, where we could take hearings and collect input from the community, but also, candidly, expert opinion from investors, energy experts, and so forth, to support whatever possible role the board would have. So if the board invites me to do that, that's what we would do.

REPRESENTATIVE ORTITAY: Is there a time frame on that?

CHANCELLOR GALLAGHER: No. From my perspective, I have to be invited by the board of trustees to form such a group.

REPRESENTATIVE ORTITAY: Now, I also read too, I think you had made a statement in an article that I was reading about Pitt being carbon neutral by the year 2037. Could you elaborate a little bit on that, and then talk about some of the financial costs as well as some of the benefits?

CHANCELLOR GALLAGHER: Sure.

2.1

So, carbon neutrality, of course, is different, right? This is more about the responsible use of carbon and what the institution can do through its operational activities to minimize or mitigate its climate impact.

For us, it builds on our sustainability plan, so the universities had a formal sustainability plan since 2018 which seeks to lower carbon emissions through energy efficiency, procurement from renewables, a whole variety of things. We've been very successful in exceeding our targets, and we felt the next step was to kind of extend the goal.

Carbon neutrality is something that a lot of major companies, airlines and others are looking at. It basically seeks to, you know, extend these goals in terms of energy efficiency, so those lower costs, but also to look at -- basically consider --

REPRESENTATIVE ORTITAY: If I can jump in real quick. I would imagine that natural gas, at least with the lower electricity prices and heating costs for your campus itself has helped to achieve that as well, correct?

CHANCELLOR GALLAGHER: There's no

2.1

question. Pennsylvania has benefited from this shift to lower carbon intensity fuels with the natural gas.

REPRESENTATIVE ORTITAY: Are you envisioning an increase in tuition or impact on tuition as you move towards this goal towards 2037? Is this going to increase tuition? It's not going to have an effect?

CHANCELLOR GALLAGHER: No, we don't

think so. We think that in many cases the -What's happening, really, is, you're
looking at the full cost. So, it may be an energy
cost may go up a little bit, but your energy
savings from sustainability would actually go down.

So, the full economic impact hasn't been done yet, but we actually don't think we're looking at -- Our goal would not be to increase the cost for Pitt students, right? That's something we're --

REPRESENTATIVE ORTITAY: That was my concern was, if we go through this process -- I mean, it's a good goal to shoot towards. I know a lot of institutes are going in that direction. But we've heard it throughout the morning, and probably into this afternoon about tuition costs being high

```
1
      in Pennsylvania, particularly at Pitt. I just want
 2
      to make sure that it's not gonna in -- it's not
      going to increase the burden any further than it
 3
      already has.
 4
                  CHANCELLOR GALLAGHER: We would have the
 5
 6
      same concern.
 7
                 REPRESENTATIVE ORTITAY: All right.
      Thank you, Chancellor.
 8
 9
                  Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
10
                  MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Chancellor,
11
      would you mind sending me the economic study on
12
      that? I think it would be helpful to the members
13
      of the General Assembly to look at the economic
14
      impact at RGGI and help us move forward as well.
15
                  CHANCELLOR GALLAGHER: Be happy to.
16
      Thank you.
17
                 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR:
                                            Thank you,
18
      Chancellor.
19
                  With that we'll move to the next
20
      questioner is Representative Bullock.
21
                  REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: Thank you,
22
      Mr. Chairman.
23
                  Good afternoon. I'm over here, ladies
24
      and gentlemen. Yes.
25
                  So, thank you all for being here this
```

afternoon. I would like to just ask one question of each of the universities, if you can run down as quickly as possible, it's one question with many parts; the diversity of your student population, percentage of women and students of color in your student population. And as you know, I'm very concerned about your workforce and making sure that that population in your workforce reflect the students that we are educating at your respective universities.

So, if you can share the diversity of your faculty and staff overall, as well as the diversity of your executive offices.

DR. ALLEN: All right. Thank you.

So we serve about 98 percent students of color, mostly African American. Mixed in there are some students of color from the African Diaspora.

Our student body is about 68 percent female, and our workforce, just depends in terms of the faculty, we're about 40 percent women, but we also have more -- But we do have more gender disparity at the full professor level, meaning, there are more males represented as full professors than female.

REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: I'm sorry,

Madam President. Your executive offices?

2.1

DR. ALLEN: Oh, my executive offices.

So we are, I don't know. What's the percentage?

I'll look over here. We have 95 percent African
American in terms of race of my executive cabinet,

and I would say we are 60 percent women. Yeah,

60 percent women.

REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: Thank you very much.

DR. ENGLERT: Thank you, Representative Bullock, for that question, and also for everything that you do and for everything that all of you do for the State-Relateds. We've been in a partnership for 54 years, and it's a partnership that means so much to Temple University and to all of us. So, we just want to thank you for all that you do.

In terms of all employees, 53 percent women and 34 percent minority. In terms of student body, 53 percent white, non-Hispanic, 12 percent African American, 12 percent Asian background, 7 percent Hispanic background, Latino, 7 percent international, 7 percent either didn't declare or more than one category. That's student body.

In terms of executive, for 12 vice

presidents -- I'm figuring quickly in my head. The two executive vice presidents are African American; one male, one female. Our provost is African American. She used to be the dean of our lawsuit school. Great.

So our student -- our vice president for student affairs, an African American woman. For our vice president for -- our CIO, a woman. And I think I have everyone. If I'm missing somebody, I'll get to you with it.

REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: Great. If you could just tally that up and give it to me, if you have a better -- at a later date or get it to our Chairman, I'd appreciate that.

DR. ENGLERT: Absolutely.

REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: Thank you.

DR. BARRON: So, there's -- there's a lot of variation here given the number of campuses we have, and our campuses tend to reflect much more closely the demographics of -- of the local catchment areas. But if we looked at, say, University Park, we'd see about 20 percent underrepresented minorities in terms of students.

The upper part of the university I would have to go count in terms of -- But I would say for

2.1

my cabinet, in the time I have been president, there have been 11 members of the cabinet that are new. And that is five women, six men, two African Americans. In the time of my tenure for deans, we have 15 new deans out of 17; and of the 15, seven are women, eight are men, and three are African American. This is the highest diversity in terms of the leadership of the university in our -- in our history.

And where do we lag behind? We lag behind in faculty and full-time employees, which is closer to 10 percent.

REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: Thank you.

CHANCELLOR GALLAGHER: So the University of Pittsburgh, on the student side, is approximately 30 percent racially diverse, of which about 14 percent are from underrepresented minority groups.

On the faculty and staff side, again, it's about 20 percent diverse, with 7 percent from underrepresented minorities.

Then on the senior staff side, again, looking at sort of the top 12, 11 positions, it's half female, half male, and about 30 percent under-represented groups.

2.1

1 And we can send you the detail breakout 2 so you can --REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: That would be 3 great. Thank you. I think I missed a couple of those percentages. Thank you all for sharing that 5 information with me. 6 7 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: 8 Representative Brown. 10 REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 11 12 Thank you all for being here this 13 afternoon. I'm sorry. Over here. Thank you. 14 President Englert, Temple, obviously, is an excellent school. I have many families in my 15 16 district and students that go to Temple and have 17 great feedback and are doing very well. But with 18 that, there's always a but, right? I have some 19 concerns. 20 I have concerns, of course, as we, as a 21 legislative body, help to subsidize part of that 22 education. I have a few questions for you. In the '20-21 budget presentation 23 24 materials that we have, you state: This academic 25 year we made certain strategic cuts across the

universities that we could freeze our base tuition for our in-state undergrads.

Can you give us a little bit about those cuts, what they were, and the impact that it has been on Temple University?

DR. ENGLERT: Certainly. Thank you.

Some of those cuts came from administration. We usually start with administration. I can't give you exact dollar figures, but we usually start with administration. And the additional reductions were in cutting across the entire university.

We use a RCM model, which is a responsibility centered budgeting management model, and that's a decentralized model. So, all the schools and colleges had some reductions; some a little higher, some a little lower. Some of the reductions were because --

When you put all the revenues together, some of the revenues come from tuition; some because of the -- if you had an increase, some if don't have an increase, et cetera. Some of it came from --

We knew and we predicted well before this year that we would have a drop in number of

2.1

students because of our Fly in 4 Program. And our Fly in 4 Program is a program by which we have an agreement with a student and the university has part -- is part of the agreement, and we each have certain things we have to do. A student has to enroll prior -- priority registration, has to see an advisor every year; has to sign an agreement; a number of things. Check points we call them.

On the Temple side, what we do with Fly in 4 is, we say that we will guarantee the courses in sequence. If not, we'll make certain that you get an alternative course. We will guarantee your advisors, so you always have an advisor to meet with. We will guarantee a review of your graduation, et cetera.

So, the Fly in 4 Program has been very successful. It's been so successful that this year we were counting on about 450 fewer students, because students who used to stay with us five and --

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Six years.

DR. ENGLERT: -- six years and they were paying tuition would not be doing so.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Yeah. Thank you. We were just talking about that before the hearing

a little bit about the four-year program; you know, getting students through the four years. So, thank you.

Since I'm limited, I'm cutting you off a little bit. I'm limited with time. So, thank you at least for some of that information.

DR. ENGLERT: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: There's two other questions that I have. Unfortunately, there has been a little controversy at Temple. You've seen a couple professors here and there that have had some publicity. But I want to focus a little bit on the Fox School of Business, and since their settlement in December. And I think it was a 4-million-dollar settlement.

What is that financial cost to the taxpayers based on us partially funding and then the settlement piece?

DR. ENGLERT: Right.

First of all, the reason for that was, it all came from a -- a misreporting that occurred within the university and from the Fox School, and the misreporting of data. Because of that, we made certain changes. We took certain steps, and we told students that we will make certain that we

2.1

will make students whole. And so, all students were made whole.

These were dollars that came out of our reserves; not out of tuition. And our commitment was to make certain that students who had filed a suit, which we understood, that we took care of them first. And that was the lead. That was part of our strategy--make certain we take care of our students. And that's what happened.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: I am out of time. I did have one other question.

But, my only concern with part of your answer there is, where do those reserves come from? Aren't those reserves part of the funding -- all of your funding level? So, when you create a reserve account, wouldn't that sometimes include some of the dollars that we would give as a state?

DR. ENGLERT: Ultimately, the reserves comes from underspending the budget. And so, what we try to do and --

Obviously, there are a lot of things included, including outside investments; a whole set of contributions to reserve. But, basically, a reserve for us comes from careful underspending; making certain that we under-spend our budget every

1 That's how we have been able to build a 2 reserve. 3 REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Of course. And T 4 appreciate the reserve capability. I would like some more detailed 5 6 information on any sort of litigious issues that happen within the university, and possibly more of a segregation of accounts or something of that 8 9 nature. 10 DR. ENGLERT: Happy to do so. 11 you. 12 REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Thank you. 13 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: 14 Representative Gainey. 15 REPRESENTATIVE GAINEY: Thank you. 16 Good afternoon. How are you doing? 17 couple questions, so, try to be quick. 18 One is, we know it's been very difficult 19 increasing the enrollment of different 20 universities. A lot of it is due to cost. I know 21 some of you all did a cost-tuition freeze. 22 want to know, has that increased enrollment based 23 on the freeze that some of you may have done? 2.4 DR. ENGLERT: Well, I'll tell you, 25 philosophically, I'm a believer; a very strong

believer that keeping the sticker price of tuition as low as possible actually aids students coming -the accessibility of students to an institution,
especially first generation students. So, I can't
attach to any one student, that student came
because the university was able to freeze its base
in-state tuition.

But, I do know that some people look at sticker price and say, is this for me? I -- I like to think that the more and more we keep sticker price as low as possible, the more we show ourselves as accessible to the Pennsylvania citizens.

REPRESENTATIVE GAINEY: And that's a good question. Let me ask this because, as we discuss diversity and the importance of, particularly going forward, we understand how diverse the world is becoming.

On your board of trustees, how many African-Americans do you have?

DR. ENGLERT: Let me think about it real quickly, but -- (Pause). Let me think. I can give you an exact answer.

REPRESENTATIVE GAINEY: Does anybody else have an answer to that?

2.1

1 CHANCELLOR GALLAGHER: I have one. 2 REPRESENTATIVE GAINEY: Okay. 3 DR. ALLEN: I'm probably --REPRESENTATIVE GAINEY: Seven? 4 5 DR. ALLEN: I'm probably 85 percent African American. 6 7 REPRESENTATIVE GAINEY: And because we're always talking about the school to prison 8 pipeline and breaking it up, we had a report that 10 we know that a lot of -- it pertains to African American males. 11 12 I just want to know, have you seen an 13 increase in your universities of African American 14 males attending school? And is there a strategy 15 that you have to reach out to them to allow them to 16 have opportunity to get to your universities? 17 DR. ALLEN: So, at Lincoln, I've 18 challenged my enrollment management area to start 19 to break down that 70/30. Most institutions, regardless of whether they're predominantly black 20 2.1 or predominantly white, there's 70 percent female 22 and there's 30 percent male when it comes to black 23 students. So I've charged my enrollment group to 24 25 bring me in classes that are about 40 percent male

each time trying to build that. We do that by having some special outreach. And the Mayor in Philadelphia, for example, has a great male initiative. I know my enrollment people try to connect with that.

But we also have a male initiative on campus where, just a bunch of men who work on campus and alumni males offer themselves up as mentors for these young men to help them so that they will have the support they need to matriculate through.

You know, we moved it. We're not at 40 percent male yet. We're getting close. We're about 38 percent. But the goal for each class is to up that 40, then 41. And I'm gonna be the first to get to 50/50 male/female for black students. At least that's my goal.

REPRESENTATIVE GAINEY: Thank you. Anyone else?

DR. BARRON: Well, so we have a large number of -- of programs. We do count on the catchment areas. For instance, a campus like Abington is more diverse because of its catchment area.

I can't say that tuition freeze, we're

2.1

now, after five years, in real dollars less expensive for 70 percent of our students than we were five years ago. But we have done a lot of different things. And our African American population last year for the incoming class went up 20 percent. It's matching that this year as well. That has largely occurred because of our efforts to open up the application and recruiting process more than we have had before.

And then, we have many programs on campus that are designed to retain those students if -- if -- if we're able to attract them. We even have a program, Millennium Scholars pioneered by the University of Maryland, Baltimore County, and for which Chapel Hill and Penn State are now a part of it, where we seek to have students that are first in their family, overwhelming diverse, go straight to a Ph.D. And we're watching something like 90 percent of those students go on to grad school, so this is a very high success rate to promote the success of these students.

REPRESENTATIVE GAINEY: Excuse the interruption. But I just wanted to make sure, on the African Americans that's on your board of trustees, can you get a list to the Chairman for us

to see? Because, as I think as we go forward, that's a critical piece, because we can't design if at the top of the pyramid we're not diverse. So I would love to see the amount of African Americans that you have on your trustee.

DR. BARRON: Right. So, I'm happy to do that. I think it's important to note that we have many, many different ways in which a trustee is appointed.

And so, the board itself believes that it's failing in this particular category because our agricultural communities appoint six; election by alumni is nine. The Governor and the Senate share a group of them. We have three cabinet members from the State of Pennsylvania, and we have a student representative, a faculty representative, and a -- and the past president of the Alumni Association.

So, unfortunately, that means no one on the board actually controls the appointment of individuals. But the board has taken on the challenge. So, for example, I know next year we will sadly, sadly because it's such a small number, double the number of African Americans on the board, okay, but it's a distinct problem by the

1 appointment process that is done about whether 2 diverse candidates come in each one of those 3 categories. But, the board has set this up in a way 4 to create a, hopefully, the plan is, a nominating 5 committee so that, in each one of these categories 6 we're making suggestions to the different bodies that elect trustees to make sure that it's more 8 9 diverse. 10 REPRESENTATIVE GAINEY: I know my time 11 is up. I'm not looking for the perfect way, but we 12 can always perfect our way. 13 DR. BARRON: I agree. So even though 14 REPRESENTATIVE GAINEY: we don't have it today, for us to look now, and 15 16 then when you come back next year, we can see the 17 ways that you perfected that. 18 DR. BARRON: Yeah. 19 DR. ENGLERT: And, Mr. Representative, on our board, four African Americans out of the 24 20 2.1 that are university elected. 22 And for Representative Bullock, it's 23 five women, three African Americans and one Asian background for our vice presidents. 24

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Now we'll

move to Representative Delozier.

2.1

REPRESENTATIVE DELOZIER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you all for being here today and answering some of the questions. Earlier today we talked to the State System. One of the questions that I had asked them dealt with the fact of the cost of education. And I'm sure you'll hear that through a number of questions. Also, as well, yesterday we had PHEAA with college indebtedness and student indebtedness once they leave the campuses.

The ability for us to take a look at the college board that ranks all of our colleges on fees and tuition that go through it, basically came back and said that Pennsylvania looks as -- very -- on average very expensive at 14,900, versus Ohio at 11, New Jersey at 14, Maryland at 10, Delaware at 13, and West Virginia at 8.

But, the reason that Pennsylvania is so high is because of three of the schools that are sitting here, because they're state-affiliated with Temple, Penn State and Pitt having 16,000, Penn State having 18,000 and Pitt 19, and Temple having 11. PASSHE's at 10.

So my question is, why is it that your tuition is higher than the State System?

DR. BARRON: Well, there is -- There's a lot of different reasons. We're very different institutions.

REPRESENTATIVE DELOZIER: And I recognize that. I'm just trying to understand, our student's debt going in and the education that they receive, I'm trying to understand the differences.

DR. BARRON: So, one of the key things
-- And look, I don't want to say anything negative
about -- about anybody else, but the population in
this state wants to have a very high-quality
education. And so, students go to the institutions
and their parents want them to go to institutions
that are nationally ranked. It completely changes
what their career opportunities are in life.

And so, we're sitting here with very fine universities for which we're nationally ranked, and nationally ranked means that we have comprehensive programs, comprehensive faculty, and we seek to have, you know, the best and the brightest teaching our students. And this is the reason why Penn State, despite the fact that it has relatively high tuition, why we had 132,000 people

2.1

apply to come to Penn State last year, because they are attracted to quality.

And it is absolutely essential, in my viewpoint, if Pennsylvania's going to prevent a brain drain, which already occurs, you have to have very high-quality institutions in this state.

What you really need is a variety of choices. You need to have strong community colleges. You need to have strong state schools. You need to have strong programs that are related to technology, and you have to have the very high-end institutions. And you cannot have those high-end institutions if you do not have strong state support. You cannot have those very strong institutions without having a premium to be there.

REPRESENTATIVE DELOZIER: And can I ask, and I apologize because there's four of you. But to ask questions, is the ability for us to turn that around and say that it is, in order to get quality we have to pay more?

DR. BARRON: Absolutely.

REPRESENTATIVE DELOZIER: Okay. What are we getting? My question is, what are we getting for that additional cost?

DR. BARRON: So you get students that

can involve themselves in very high-end research and go on and do remarkable things. You -- You have --

REPRESENTATIVE DELOZIER: And I'm not doubting the education. I'm simply saying, what is it --

So research, they get more ability to do research?

DR. BARRON: It's the research capability. It's the depth of programs.

REPRESENTATIVE DELOZIER: Okay.

DR. BARRON: For example, Penn State consistently, according to the Wall Street Journal and another recent poll, consistently has been the top five where corporate recruiters come. So what that means is, they're looking at a business school that is very high quality, has a great reputation, great students.

They see the other types of programs that are in there. A meterology program, that's one of the best in the world. Material sciences, which is one of the critical things for this nation to advance in material sciences. Our students can get this experience right when they walk in the door. This requires very high-end laboratories, a

1 considerable investment, and a considerable 2 investment in faculty. So they're getting great jobs. Siemens USA, we're number 1 and 2 in terms 3 of their new employees. G.E., we're 1 or 2 in 4 terms of their new employees. 5 6 REPRESENTATIVE DELOZIER: To job 7 recruitment. DR. BARRON: We're giving students the 8 opportunity to go have first-end jobs. 10 REPRESENTATIVE DELOZIER: Okay. Can --11 DR. BARRON: They have the opportunity 12 to go to Wall Street. And if you do not have very high-end institutions, that's not gonna happen. 13 14 REPRESENTATIVE DELOZIER: Pittsburgh, 15 you have the highest? 16 CHANCELLOR GALLAGHER: Yeah, I think --17 You know, there's two things. One is, when you're 18 competing on quality, some of the costs are higher, 19 so the diversity of programs you have, some of the specialized education, small classrooms, and some 20 21 of the competitive faculty. 22 But the other, I think, component when 23 you compare the State System is that, the State System is -- as a percentage of their total revenue 24

it's being supported to a greater fraction than the

State-Related. So, setting aside the cost differences, are -- more of our costs are onto the students and families than borne by the state, and that just has to do with historic patterns of support over time.

REPRESENTATIVE DELOZIER: Okay. And my time is up, so, thank you very much.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: (Inaudible; no microphone) have questions (inaudible).

REPRESENTATIVE DELOZIER: Temple or Lincoln.

DR. ENGLERT: I mean, certainly -- I mean, I know our budget and I know the kinds of things that put pressure on a budget are comprehensiveness. That's already been talked about. Quality, obviously. Also the range of services that are provided to our students; directly to our students.

For example, we have invested very heavily in advising -- advising so that -- it's part of our Fly in 4, if we can get our students to graduate more quickly. We had 300 students who graduated even in fewer than four years. And so, that range of services definitely, definitely is costly.

1	REPRESENTATIVE DELOZIER: Okay. Thank
2	you.
3	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: All righty.
4	We'll move to Representative Ben Sanchez.
5	REPRESENTATIVE SANCHEZ: Thank you,
6	Mr. Chairman.
7	Thank you, Presidents and Chancellor,
8	for being here today. This question is for
9	President Englert.
10	DR. ENGLERT: Yes.
11	REPRESENTATIVE SANCHEZ: But I would
12	invite others to comment on efforts after the
13	president gives his answer.
14	Temple's requested \$5 million to support
15	its efforts to combat the opioid epidemic operated
16	by Temple Medical School. The Center for Substance
17	Abuse Research works on the treatment, prevention,
18	research, and education surrounding opioids. Would
19	you care to comment on that?
20	I know many of you have academic medical
21	centers, too, so I'm sure there's similar efforts
22	that are ongoing or attempting to launch.
23	DR. ENGLERT: Absolutely. And thank you
24	for that question. It's such an important
25	question; important question to the state;

important question to the nation.

2.1

Temple, just in terms of its location,

Temple Hospital, including Episcopal, which is part

of Temple Hospital, is literally ground zero for

the opioid epidemic in Philadelphia. So, we have a

special, both desire and commitment. It goes with

our commitment to Philadelphia and to North

Philadelphia.

You mentioned the CSAR, our Center for Substance Abuse Research. They do amazing work, including looking at the biological bases for addiction; addictions of all kinds, but also for opioid addiction. So they are essential to looking at some of the fundamental processes that are going on in the human body connected to addiction.

Now, that's not automatic treatment, but it's the long- term way to attack the questions of the very difficult problems of addiction.

But, in addition, our Temple Hospital and our health care professionals, including the medical school, have partnered with both the city, with the Commonwealth, and with other agencies such as Project Home, which is just a wonderful support agency.

For example, we are coordinating with

them and partnering with them, both Project Home and the city, to provide a 40-bed opioid respite center right near our Episcopal hospital, and a 60-bed, 60, six zero bed for homeless shelter. That is just one intervention.

Other interventions include are a, what we call Begin the Turn. It's a street-side mobile set of services, including treatment, diagnosis, especially reaching out to homeless. We also participate in the Commonwealth's Warm Handoff Program, which is, literally, when someone comes to the emergency room, survives an overdose, immediately that person is connected with treatment possibilities and treatment professionals.

We coordinate with physicians in our Medication-Assisted Treatment Program, so that certain types of medication can be actually delivered in physicians' offices.

We also better focus on prescriptions, trying to, literally, through prescription practices, reduce and have reduced by 33 percent the morphine equivalence in prescriptions over two years.

So, it's multi-faceted. It is researchers working with docs, working with

2.1

faculty, working with staff, working with other professionals. It's part of our DNA of what we do in North Philadelphia.

REPRESENTATIVE SANCHEZ: Wonderful.

That's very encouraging to hear all of those efforts.

Also, I hope, along with those partnerships, maybe some are forming with other health networks in the region, and it sounds like certainly the case, because I know you crosspollinate with doctors and researchers and the like.

If anyone else -- I would invite anyone else, if they have something to -- I know they wouldn't have that specific program, but if you're proud of any efforts you wish to comment on.

CHANCELLOR GALLAGHER: We're proud of a lot of efforts. I always appreciate the opportunity.

The University of Pittsburgh, of course, along with its clinical partner, UPMC, have been pretty active in looking at opioid abuse, through a variety of facts; some of it having to do with rates of prescribing opioid. The Pitt dental school now has a protocol for almost all of its

2.1

procedures that avoids the use of opioids all -- opioids altogether.

We've also, through our Institute of Politics, worked with lawmakers both at the state and local level, looking at the intersection between health care delivery models, law enforcement models, and other community-based models to reduce opioid addiction and treatment availability.

Then we did something interesting. We took the Institute of Politics' report that did that and then turned it inward and asked what universities should be doing to address this problem potentially, even though on campuses the rates of opioid problems that we see among college-aged students are much lower than the general population.

But, that actually gave us kind of a model that I think is still fairly unique in the United States, looking at drug take-back programs, educational programs, and other by-stander, other interventions, along with Nalaxone availability and things of that type, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE SANCHEZ: Thank you very much.

DR. BARRON: So, Penn State has set up a couple years ago a consortium to combat substance abuse. And our view was, this is a multidisciplinary problem that crosses the College of Medicine, psychology, social sciences, health, health and human development, nursing. And so, we've created this life science. We've created this program of hiring close to a dozen faculty across all those disciplines so we can look at this holistically.

Much like Ag Extension, we now have programs that cross much of the State of Pennsylvania, and some campuses are setting up elements as well, so the Harrisburg campus just opened an addiction center there as well. We're trying to look at this in a very comprehensive fashion.

I know the red light went on, so...

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Anybody else
want to say anything?

DR. ALLEN: Well, at Lincoln we don't have a medical school or clinical practices, but we have focused our efforts on helping our students understand their own health and wellness. And so, we've invested a lot in student education.

2.1

1 We have an app, sort of a self-help app 2 that students can actually go on and figure out for themselves if they need to seek some support, not 3 to mention use some of the grants through the state and the Federal Government to train our faculty, 5 staff and students on being better able to identify 6 students who may be in trouble with regard to substances, as well as suicide prevention work. 8 REPRESENTATIVE SANCHEZ: Thank you. 10 Thank you for that time indulgence, Mr. Chairman. 11 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: We'll go to 12 Representative Topper. 13 REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: Over here to 14 your right. Good afternoon. President Barron, in June of 2019, I 15 16 believe Penn State established some new retirement 17 plans, a new 401(a) and 415(m), which, these 18 benefit plans are often used to give employees an 19 additional benefit over and above what the typical 20 limits might be. 21 Could you describe those plans and how 22 they came about? 23 DR. BARRON: Yeah. Actually, the -- the 24 way the plans came about was actually to -- to save

money. I know that sounds interesting, but we

basically took a lot of -- of salary that was paid directly as salary and looked at it in terms of the potential to be deferred benefit or insurance-related programs.

And so, this was an effort that reduced the annual burden, say, in athletics and also, quite frankly, eliminated the surcharge on the federal side of things for incomes above a certain level. So --

REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: The athletic director in the report participates in this.

That's about 240,00 a year, but that's coming -- that's not what is then paid in salary? That is deferred to this program?

DR. BARRON: So there -- there -- These are mechanisms by which employees and, quite frankly, I think right now the only employees that are involved are in athletics.

REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: But that was gonna be my next question. How far -- How many are participating in these -- these opportunities?

DR. BARRON: So my understanding is, that looking at the tax burden, a lot of the employees might have their -- not that many employees that might participate in it, but it is a

1 net -- the programs are a net savings to -- to Penn 2 State and allow employees to have -- have income 3 that is guaranteed but deferred. REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: And these are 4 additional or are these replacing the traditional? 5 6 That's the part I didn't quite get. 7 DR. BARRON: So in some cases it replaced. 8 REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: The actual 10 retirement? 11 DR. BARRON: Yeah. 12 REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: As far as 13 Temple, Pitt, Lincoln, is that something that 14 anybody's moving to in the other universities; some 15 of these kind of deferred compensation plans or new 16 looks at how to compensate or -- in terms of 17 retirement plans? 18 DR. ENGLERT: We're always looking at 19 everything. But we have a program where we work directly with TIAA-CREF and with Fidelity. There's 20 21 not a state pension plan that we have. 22 DR. ALLEN: Same for us, T-I double A. 23 REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: Okay. CHANCELLOR GALLAGHER: On this sort of 24 25 retirement program you're talking about, we looked

at it and elected not to move forward in that direction.

With regard to deferred comp, it's a pretty rarely used tool when you have a high desire to retain over some period of time, in which case you'll hold back a portion, and usually, until it matures, but...

REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: I mean,
obviously, participating in Division I high-end
athletics is gonna create different issues for
schools that, for instance, we talked -- spoke with
this morning with the Chancellor. And the reason
we have to be mindful of that is because, those are
the ones that make the news. Those are the ones
I'm, you know --

When contracts get approved on ESPN, we're the ones who answer to our constituents. So it's good to get that information, you know, right upfront and talk about ways that we're designing to make those costs workful.

DR. BARRON: And I do want to point out, in that particular part of it, that the athletic budgets at Penn State are entirely fenced. So, we do not have -- And we're one of the rare institutions in this country that have no dollars

1 that cross from the academic side over to the athletic side. They have to stand on their own. 2 REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: Would that 3 include these -- these plans? 4 5 DR. BARRON: Absolutely. 6 REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: Okay. 7 DR. BARRON: Absolutely. It includes every single dollar. There are actually two 8 exceptions. They pay the university an 10 administrative fee. So this is a case where an athletic dollar crosses the line. 11 12 And in addition to that, with the new 13 Big 10 media contracts, four of the five Big 10 14 presidents, myself included, decided we were going 15 to take a portion of those media contracts and not 16 have it go to athletics, but instead, go to the 17 benefit of the university. 18 So, Penn State is expanding what is a 19 world class art museum in American art, where we have received a large number of donations of 20 2.1 absolutely superb art. So, football is enabling 22 art to flourish at Penn State. 23 But the other thing we did that I think is quite significant is that, we took a significant 2.4

portion of it to support student mental health, and

1 student mental health because we do not have enough 2 providers. So our students voted a fee, and I took 3 resources from the Big 10 media contract to make sure we could hire more mental health providers. 4 5 So, these are two examples where the 6 dollars crossed between athletics and academics, 7 and in both cases --REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: 8 It cross the 9 other --10 DR. BARRON: -- crossing to support the 11 university. So, it's a stand-alone program. And 12 so, we have a well-paid football coach, but we had 13 an average attendance of a hundred thousand people 14 in Doak Campbell Stadium, and the economic impact for the community and for the university far 15 16 exceeds what those salaries are. 17 All right. REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: 18 Thank you. 19 DR. BARRON: Yep. 20 REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2.1 22 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: 23 Representative Kinsey. 24 REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Thank you, 25 Mr. Chairman.

Good afternoon, gentlemen, and Madam President.

Initially, I was going to talk about diversity, but my colleagues, Rep. Bullock and Rep. Gainey, had sort of went over that. But I do want to note, Doctor Barron, that I want to thank you and your administration. We did receive some concerns.

Some of us elected officials did receive concerns as it related to Penn State and the lack of minority faculty there. And your administration reached out, and we will be meeting with your administration, I believe, on the campus of Penn State, as well, just to have a discussion and see how we can be helpful. So I want to thank you and your staff for that.

DR. BARRON: My pleasure. It's very important to us.

REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Great. Thank

Doctor Allen, I want to focus on Lincoln University, if I may for a second.

Doctor Allen, I received a copy of a letter that you addressed to Chairman Saylor. The letter is dated February 17, 2020. And in the

letter you spoke about the enrollment crisis that is currently affecting higher education, and you also in the letter requested a flat -- I believe a flat 2 million increase for Lincoln University's base appropriations.

Can you tell us how critical the 2-million-dollar increase is to Lincoln's day-to-day operation?

DR. ALLEN: Sure. And thank you.

So the appropriation accounts for about 20 percent of our overall operating budget, and we use a portion of that to offer discounts for in-state students, but another two-thirds of that goes directly into operations.

Therefore, for Lincoln University, just the appropriation, in and of itself, is very crucial to our ability to just move forward and operate from year to year. It becomes even more important this year because, as I wrote in the letter, as we look around at enrollment, it's a national crisis; probably an international crisis in terms of the number of college-aged students actually going to college, and it's especially a crisis for us as a small college. And Lincoln is no exception to that.

2.1

We had seen nice enrollment increases for about the past five years, and what we saw last year was our enrollment sort of flattened out. And as we look at just who we attract, and some of the questions that you have about what is the right model for your institution, we're not expecting huge growth in the coming year. But our focus has been on right sizing and paying a lot more attention to not increasing first-year classes to a large degree, but to actually increase enrollment through retention.

And so, that's pretty much the plan we have in a business model we find.

While 20 percent of our operating budget comes from the state appropriation, another
70 percent is student tuition, fees, and room and board, and we use increases in that tuition, especially to cover some of the increases in the cost that we have an as institution.

So Lincoln, for many years, has frozen tuition -- has frozen tuition for students for four years, so the tuition you come in with as a freshman remains your tuition for the four years, a way to incentivize students to actually graduate in four years and, in a way, also to help families

2.1

plan. So any tuition increases that we have proposed only go to first-year students.

2.1

We put forward a standard tuition increase this year to our board of trustees, and the motion was defeated. And so, with that we see -- What we will experience is -- is a lack of revenue from any increase that we have seen from year to year. So, it sort of puts us in a situation where we have to figure out how to plan for just the natural increases that happen; not to mention some of the obligations that we have.

So we just -- we just --

The board just approved three new collective bargaining agreements—thank you—each of which have promised 2.5 increase for faculty, for staff and for police officers. And then within the faculty collect bargaining agreement, they also have agreed to us putting in \$500,000 for an early retirement program.

And so, we have all these new obligations and we don't have a revenue structure for them. So we're looking for an increase to sort of help us out.

REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: I appreciate that. And my time is almost up, so let me just ask

1 this other piece of the question, also. 2 Because you mentioned that declining enrollment this year, are we expecting that in the 3 future -- Are we projecting to see declining 4 enrollment in future years? 5 6 Then the second part of that question, since I can only talk for 30 seconds more, is, if 7 you do not receive that additional 2-million-dollar 8 increase, what -- what effect would this have on 10 the quality of education at Lincoln University? 11 So, it's a two-part question. 12 DR. ALLEN: Two-part question. Real 13 fast. 14 REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Are you looking 15 to see --16 DR. ALLEN: I'm not looking for a 17 decline in enrollment. I'm looking for a flat 18 enrollment. 19 REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Okay. 20 DR. ALLEN: What we've actually done is, 2.1 we want to be realistic about our recruiting 22 efforts and put some numbers out to our enrollment management people that would pretty much give us 23 flat enrollment for next year. 24 25 And so, without an increase, we have

flat enrollment, so we'll have revenue about what we have this year, and we have to cover these additional costs of things that are just a part of cost increase from year to year.

But what will happen is that, we're gonna have to cut somewhere, so it's going to be looking at salaries and looking at non-personnel places where we can actually capture the dollars that we need in order to cover the obligations that we have going forward.

 $\label{eq:REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY:} \mbox{ I appreciate}$ that. My time is up.

But, Mr. Chairman, I do want to say, in closing, I hope that we are listening to Lincoln's request. I say that because, we have two HBCUs here in the State of Pennsylvania, and I think many of us here on Appropriations over the past year or so have had conversations with regards to the potential closing of an educational institution, and we sort of done some things. So I just hope that we can sort of help right this ship while Lincoln is at the point where it's at right now.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you very

DR. ALLEN: Thank you.

much for that.

2.1

2.4

1	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR:
2	Representative Lawrence.
3	REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: Thank you,
4	Mr. Chairman.
5	President Barron, I appreciate your
6	willingness to testify here today. I'm up top here
7	above the Chairman. As a Penn State graduate, I
8	share your desire to see the university thrive in
9	the decades ahead.
10	I want to follow up with something you
11	mentioned when you were speaking with
12	Representative Topper, and that it is the proposal
13	to build the 81-million-dollar art museum on
14	campus. I've had a couple people question me on
15	the need for this project.
16	For those who haven't been on campus,
17	the university does have a museum, the Palmer
18	Museum, which has been on campus for about 50 years
19	and it's been renovated several times.
20	I want to speak about the proposed art
21	museum in light of several documents I have
22	reviewed, all generated by Penn State.
23	First, I reviewed the most recent master
24	plan for the College of Arts and Architecture

available on OPP, or the Office of Physical

Plant's, website. This master plan outlines a large number of prioritized projects. The absolute last priority, literally the last on a long list, is an expansion of the Palmer Museum of Art.

Nowhere is the construction of a new art museum even contemplated.

There's a long list of other more pressing and more student-focused needs. A new museum is not listed as a priority of the College of Arts and Architecture at all, at least it wasn't when this comprehensive plan was formulated.

Next I want to speak about Penn State's Strategic Budget Task Force report, which Penn State released last August. I read this several times. And while we don't have time to go into all the recommendations in this report, many of their recommendations stress the need for alignment of capital projects with the university's core mission and recognition of the associated costs with the backlog of deferred maintenance on existing facilities on the University Park campus.

The same thing continues, in OPP's fiveyear capital plan, which is also posted on Penn State's OPP website, quoting directly from the capital plan, quote: The basic strategic

2.1

philosophy behind the 2018-2023 capital plan is addressing the significant backlog of deferred maintenance. With far more need than resources, it's a difficult job prioritizing these major investments.

It goes on to say that 40 percent of the buildings at the University Park campus are more than 50 years old. It says, many of these have seen no significant renovations, leaving the facilities and their systems in need of repair or replacement.

report, the focus on deferred maintenance takes a turn with a 43-million-dollar earmark towards the arboretum cultural destination Phase 1A, which I assume is referencing the art museum. This \$43 million, according to this five-year plan, comes from the education and general fund, which, according to this OPP document, comes primarily from state capital funds and tuition and fees.

Again, press reports indicate costs for this proposed art museum might spiral to \$81 million.

So, at a time when Penn State physical plants says there's, quote, far more need than resources for repairing the educational buildings

on campus, we are looking at spending significant capital on what some might say is an unnecessary art museum.

So, with all of this being said, I have a couple of questions as it relates to this specific project.

How does the university justify spending \$81 million on a new art museum when the university's own strategic budget task force and the university's own master plan for the College of Art and Architecture stresses a significant backlog of deferred maintenance to be addressed?

DR. BARRON: Yes. So, I'm happy to answer that question and to correct some things.

So, not one penny of the education on general budget goes to this museum; not a single dollar. So this -- So that's one element.

A second element is that, that plan for arts and architecture was prior to receiving a total of what is near \$50 million in gifts in art, for which we are not capable of displaying, and which has moved us into that category of certainly the best museum between Pittsburgh and Philadelphia; but, in fact, gives us a national reputation in American art. And there are

considerable number of educational programs that go with it.

So, in this -- But now, I'll just give you an example. Many times we work to raise dollars, philanthropically, to help support projects all across the board, educational and otherwise. And that museum would not be built at the level that you just described without considerable philanthropy. So, \$17 million -- more than \$17 million has come into the door to support that museum from gifts that -- in six months.

That's a remarkable statement about how community members want to see a greater cultural destination at Penn State.

REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: So --

DR. BARRON: External -- External consultants have said they expect the number of visitors into Centre County to grow to as much as a half a million people a year because of the combination of the museum and the arboretum.

So there's many, many different facets to this, but I assure you that museum would not be there if we were spending one dollar that came from -- from any educational or general budget.

So, it comes from Big 10 media, and it

1 comes from philanthropy, and even the Big 10 media makes sense because more and more people do not 2 want to go have hotel rooms and expensive games 3 without something for their family to do. So the 4 arboretum and the museums are a big draw for 5 6 families to do something else. 7 So, we see it as a win-win in a lot of categories and strong support for the arts. I 8 don't believe you can have a great university in 10 this country without the arts of being a part of 11 it. 12 So, I think the key part here is, 13 there's no -- there's no dollars coming in here, 14 except that are coming from outside the university. 15 REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: My time is up, 16 but I'll have a second round of questions, 17 hopefully. Thank you. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: 18 19 Representative Donatucci. 20 REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: Thank you, 2.1 Mr. Chairman. 22 And thank you, Presidents and Chancellor, for being here today. I have two 23 questions; one about graduating, one about 24 25 athletics. I'm going to direct my questions to

Temple's President Englert because most of my family has attended Temple, and I'm from Philadelphia.

2.1

So it appears in recent years a good number of students are taking longer to graduate.

Graduating on time is one of the best ways to save money. Can you talk about ways that you're promoting on-time completion, and what you're doing to keep students on track?

DR. ENGLERT: Thank you, Representative Donatucci. And thank you for everything that you and your family do for Philadelphia and for the Commonwealth.

We have in 2013, 2014, we started a program called Fly in 4. We recognized that one of the best ways to help students avoid excessive debt and for students to get back into the workforce is to have them graduate within four years.

So, this Fly in 4 Program has a number of components. Key to the components are having advisors who can advise them every semester, because they're required under the Fly in 4 Program to actually, every semester, go to an advisor.

We have other supports, including a special tuition scholarship program for those who

are most needy. 500 most needy are able to get \$4,000 in scholarship dollars each in order to lessen the amount of work that they do while they're studying. So many of our students work when they go to school. The more we can minimize that for students, the better it is.

In addition, we find that careful feedback to students throughout their program helps with more timely graduation. We also find that actually having the student sign an agreement, literally, symbolically, but even more than symbolically, psychologically gets them engaged and gets their families engaged.

So that Fly in 4 Program literally has been so successful, as I said before, that we actually lost revenue from people who used to stay five and six years, but it's something we -- That's good news. That's the good news. We want them to graduate in four years; get out into the workforce and, of course, become loyal alumni, and eventually donors. So, we've been very successful on that.

The interesting thing, if I may, because you mentioned athletics, if I could just stay on athletes, our student athletes are premiere in the classroom; literally among the very best in the

2.1

country. Three ways we look at it. Grade point average, our grade point average for all of our student athletes is 3.22, and they're taking difficult majors, difficult courses.

For example, our football players are engaged -- are enrolled in 37 different majors:

Engineering, business, pre-med, et cetera. And so, these are student athletes who are great in the classroom, and also great on the field and in the arenas.

A second way we look at it is graduation success rate. A statistic for the NCAA, our graduation success rate for all of our student athletes, more than 500, is 90 percent. For our football players it's 88 percent. For our -- And that puts them in the top 25 in the country for FBS schools. And for our basketball players, the graduation success rate is 92 percent, and we're so proud. And that puts them in the top 25 also in FBS programs.

And then finally, the third way we look at it is the way NCAA uses the APR, academic progress rate. Are your student athletes making progress every semester towards graduation? The perfect score for academic progress rate is 1000.

2.1

Temple University student athletes for one year have 993, putting us in the top 10 without a doubt for the FBS. And for multi-year, because there's two different ways of doing it, 992, and that puts us also in the very elite top 10.

So, bottom line is, our student athletes, thanks to our coaches who believe in academics; thanks to our support center that -- the Resnick Support Center; thanks to donated dollars, supporting our student athletes. Thanks to our faculty and all of our advisors working closely together, we are truly among the very best in the nation in progress towards graduation, in actual graduation success rate, and in performance in the classroom, 3.32.

So thank you for those questions.

REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: And even though I'm out of time, that was -- my second question, is, where there are athletics in any of the colleges or universities, how the student athlete was doing on the student side?

So, both questions if anybody else wanted to answer how it's going on in their institution?

DR. BARRON: I don't wanna play

2.1

1 one-upsman, but we posted a 91 percent instead of a 2 90 percent for all of Penn State athletics. And so -- And just for context, over 50 of our football 3 players add a 3.0 or better this year, and we will 4 post a 1000 for our football team this year. 5 it's -- it's --6 7 And to tell you the truth, our football players eat at the training table by order of grade 8 9 This is an important signal; that it's 10 important to have good grades. And so, we also work hard at this and with success. 11 12 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Chancellor, 13 are you gonna up him? 14 CHANCELLOR GALLAGHER: We'll share ours 15 on paper so they don't feel bad. 16 (Cross-talk). 17 CHANCELLOR GALLAGHER: -- a good story. 18 REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: Is everybody 19 graduating on time? 20 CHANCELLOR GALLAGHER: Like the pros and athletics office they're finishing early because --2.1 22 REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: My first 23 question was about, are we getting more students graduating in four years because they know it has 24 25 been expanding in recent years?

1 DR. BARRON: A very high success rate. And, of course, one -- one of our issues is that, 2 if you're very successful and very competitive, the 3 pros try to take you early. And so, part of this effort in graduation rate is, actually, convince 5 6 people how important it is to get that degree because you won't be playing on a professional team 7 forever. 8 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Okav. Our 10 Chairman of our House Education Committee. 11 Before I let him start, I've got to head 12 out to another meeting here in the Capitol. But I 13 want to thank all of you as Presidents and 14 Chancellors for coming. You have great institutions, and I look forward to working with 15 16 you as we go through this budget process. 17 And I will turn it over to my vice 18 chairman, Representative Dunbar, to finish the 19 meeting. Again, thank you very much. 20 Representative Sonney. 21 REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: Thank you, 22 Mr. Chairman. 23 Thank you, Presidents, Chancellor, for 24 being here. 25 I found it interesting on the comment of the high-end institutions and, obviously, easy to understand. And I'm just kind of curious as if -- if that, you know, spread out throughout the branch campuses. In other words, if a student is going to a branch campus, it's still Penn State. It's still Pitt. It's still Temple. You know, in my area it's Penn State-Behrend.

And so, you know, does that -- does that spill out to those branch campuses, or do those students really feel like they need to be at main campus? That's -- You know, that's where they're really going to get that designation.

DR. BARRON: Yeah. So, in our case, the Penn State degree is a Penn State degree. There's nothing different on your diploma, whether it's world campus or any other campus, and that's because we expect the courses to be taught the same.

So, for example, all the math faculty across the state of -- across the State of Pennsylvania join together to make sure the math curriculum is the same regardless of where it's taught. Difficult problem. I actually have them over to my house for dinner just because this is quite a task to do to make sure a Penn State degree

2.1

is a Penn State degree.

There are cost-saving differences. With the campuses they don't have to have the same level of breadth. You can focus programs based on regional needs. So, for example, plastics industry is very important in Erie. Plastics program makes sense. Saint Joe's joined Penn State Health.

Berks, we strengthened the nursing program.

So they don't have to have the same level of comprehensive degree, but they do need to be -- So, in some cases there's four years that they can go through. We have more and more students in four-year programs at the campuses.

But let's just say you start in chemistry, but I use the example of material sciences. Material sciences, high-end materials, nanomaterials, these are profoundly important to the -- to the nation, and that would be difficult to have a program like that at the Behrend campus, but that's where you're really competitive on equipment, laboratories, the type of faculty that you're trying -- trying to attract. So there are differences.

There's definitely cost savings, and that's part of the reason why it's less expensive

to go to one of our Commonwealth campuses. But, each and every one of those students has access to the very highest degree of opportunity if they want to take it.

REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: Are they still a feeder to the main campus? I can remember when Behrend was first established. You know, it was a two-year college --

DR. BARRON: So more --

REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: -- and then it moved to a feeder system.

DR. BARRON: Yeah. More and more, there are programs that allow people to stay, if they wish, or to move. So, a significant number of students still move to University Park. That's part of the reason why we limit the number of freshman that can go there in order to provide opportunities for juniors and seniors.

But actually, what I would say is, the student gets to decide. I want to be a nurse, I can do it at Berks. I want to be an engineer, I can do it in Harrisburg. Or they can decide, I want to be a nuclear engineer, and then they're transferring from engineering to University Park.

So, they have the opportunity to access the breadth

of the university.

2.1

REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: Does tuition vary at every one of those institutions?

DR. BARRON: We -- We are serve-in-tiers, so we have four tiers. So some of our two-year campuses have the very lowest tuition levels.

CHANCELLOR GALLAGHER: I wanted to make a comment. I think that the synergies across the main campus, and the smaller regional campuses is real. In terms in both of a brand, that single degree, it's the same at Pitt, that matters; effects of recruiting. And I think that the smaller campuses being able to lean back on an R1 university for support, guidance, and curriculum development is a real asset.

In terms of the mobility of students, I think it's lower than most people understand. So, at Pitt, you know, we're oversubscribed on the Pittsburgh campus. We will do for students who can't -- that don't get in, we'll admit them on our regional campus where we have the capacity. The yields, in other words, the number of students that accept that acceptance is very low.

And, conversely, we watched the number

of students transferring back. While it's --1 That's a larger number, it's still fair. Most 2 people -- Most students when they get to a campus 3 and get to like it and get attached to, most stay there and complete their studies. 5 6 So, I think it's helpful to think of 7 those smaller campuses operating in some ways in a different marketplace than the main campus. 8 REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: Do you think the 10 majority of the students want the main campus? 11 CHANCELLOR GALLAGHER: Well, the 12 demand would certainly indicate that. 13 REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: Thank you. 14 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 15 ACTING MAJORITY CHAIRMAN DUNBAR: 16 you, Chairman. Before we go on to a second round of 17 18 questions, I just wanted to touch base on the 19 Coronavirus. We've heard a lot of discussion about 20 that throughout the week between the Department of 2.1 Health and PASSHE earlier. 22 So, for each of you, any student 23 studying abroad, you're almost a flash point between the student studying abroad, exchange 24

students. Have you had discussions with the

Department of Health how to deal with it? And also, research, which I believe Chancellor Gallagher can speak of as well. So, whoever wants to go.

DR. ENGLERT: We certainly have a -- We have a campus abroad in Italy in Rome; not in the north of Italy, but we monitored it very carefully. And as soon as the CDC came out with its level 3, level 3 warning I guess you call it, we withdrew everyone from the -- from the Rome, Italy program.

It's a study-abroad program, and so, students were studying abroad. I feel so bad for the students. The students wanting to have an entire semester in Rome had to come back. But, they come back. They go into self-isolation for two weeks, keeping in touch with our -- our student health, and then we would provide for them the rest of their program online or however.

We also have banned a week early -well, before that. When things started breaking in
China, we banned travel to China, university travel
there. We also withdrew some students who were in
South Korea, just a handful of students, and
brought them back home.

As with anything, we continue to

2.1

monitor, but I feel so bad for the students because they were looking for an experience; aren't able to get it. But our commitment is to make them whole in terms of their program and in terms of their classes.

CHANCELLOR GALLAGHER: So, we've activated our pandemic plan about three weeks ago in our emergency operation center last week, formally. Basically, you could think of it as sort of four buckets of activities. We have international students on our campus who are from countries that are being highly impacted by the Coronavirus now. They can't return. They have family there. Some are on visas; will complete their studies.

We don't want to be in a situation where we'd be sending them back into a high-risk area. A lot of planning; a lot of communication directly with them through our Office of International Studies; and also trying to do contingency planning. Can we provide them extended housing, and so forth, if there's delays there?

The second population are students here in the U.S. that were planning or are abroad. We have a very extensive study-abroad program in

multiple countries. We have been -- For students already abroad, tying it to the CDC travel advisory levels, again China, Iran. We didn't have students in Iran. South Korea, Italy, and now to three more countries in Europe, those students are being called back.

We have canceled our student study-abroad program that was to take place for spring break next week, and we continue just to monitor that situation.

The third one, of course, is for what happens if we are seeing community-based transmission in the vicinity of our campuses.

That's mostly contingency planning. We're working closely with federal and state and local health department officials; with UPMC. It's really across the board.

Education, Q and A centers being set up.
We've increased availability of sanitation.

Janitorial service has been expanded to do -- clean surfaces, things of that type.

Then finally on the research front, as you might imagine, a very active area. Pitt has a long track record doing vaccine-based research, and our center for vaccine research has the Coronavirus

2.1

in its bio-safety level 3 facilities doing active research on a new vaccine, and it's one of only a handful of sites in the U.S. -- university-based sites in the U.S. doing that work. So, very active and ongoing. And as you know, changes by the hour.

DR. BARRON: So Penn State is also working very actively here. We've stood up 12 different groups to work on everything from the study-abroad programs, potential disruptions that might occur in supply chain or other abilities. Housing for the summer, communication strategies, so a large number of groups to look at every conceivable; one for athletics. Even in case that large gatherings become something that are problematic.

And we have decided that all spring break programs should not go forward no matter where they are, so that announcement was made this morning, I believe. Or if not, it's going to be made today.

We have followed the path of when CDC goes to level 3, that then we need to end our semester programs. This is a challenge. Part of what we've done is looking at how it is that we make sure that the students are quarantined in that

2.1

particular case. But also, how we can deliver the rest of their education so that they're not basically, you know, losing a semester.

CDC asked us to consider ending all semester programs. We're systematically going through those today where there's risk and where's there less risk, and making sure that we are successful in ensuring that they don't lose that particular credit.

As you can imagine, we have parents who say, how dare you bring my kid home. They're having such a great time in such and such a country, and there's no one there. And we have other people who are saying, how could you be so irresponsible as to leave the students in such and such a place, whether they have a risk or not.

So it's a very interesting -interesting topic to work through, but we've really
pulled out all the stops to get across the
university to deal with the ramifications.

ACTING MAJORITY CHAIRMAN DUNBAR: Doctor Allen.

DR. ALLEN: So most of our study abroad happens during this break so no one has gone anywhere yet, except just got back from spring

break and people on their own went many places. So

we are following the Chester County Health

Department and precautions; doing some extra

cleaning on our campus, and putting out the kind of

alert; information for students, as I sit here with

my antibacterial Wet Ones, to practice the best

co-etiquette that we can. We have our health

services on -- on call should we have any people

showing any symptoms.

ACTING MAJORITY CHAIRMAN DUNBAR: Thank you. Thank you all.

 $\label{eq:composition} \mbox{And next question will come from} \\ \mbox{Representative Kim.}$

REPRESENTATIVE KIM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I've met a couple -- not a couple; a number of students who are first-time college students. They go there first year. For whatever reason, they drop out. So they have college debt, no degree, nothing to show for. And so, I was really excited to see Doctor Barron's Achieve Penn State. From what I understand, it's removing obstacles, financial or other barriers to help students stay there and graduate on time.

I want to give you a platform to talk

about it. I know this is important to you. And just would like other colleges, universities, simulate it if you haven't already.

DR. BARRON: So, basically, this is a systematic effort to look at each place where we lose students. And we begin with something called Raise Me where a student -- We know if a student comes to the university better prepared, that they're more successful and don't have to take classes earlier in a sequence, say, from apps (phonetic).

So, Raise Me all across the State of
Pennsylvania take college-ready classes. We give
you points, and those points turn into
scholarships. So this is an incentive to be ready.

We have another phase of it which is

Correct Placement, because, say a calculus class

across the country or across the state is not the

same. And so, we make sure that we place people at

the beginning so you don't do poorly, have to go

back and take the prior class, and then take the

next class because I just cost you three semesters

by having you take -- placed incorrectly.

We have a program called Summer Pass.

Basically, you're on campus in the summer, six

2.1

credits the first summer, 12 credits the second summer, a scholarship, a summer job provided by the university. That means that if that student is interested in biology, they end up in a biology lab since the student -- since the university is providing, guaranteeing a summer job. So this gets them excited in what they're doing.

So the idea is, before they start their second fall, they're 18 credits ahead. So if they're having to work multiple jobs and taking up smaller load, they never graduate on time, if they don't give up. So, by giving them this head start, it makes sure that even if they're working, they can graduate on time. Saves them a lot of money, but we're seeing higher retention rates, higher grade points, lower drop rates among those students. So, big impact. We expect to start to see these students graduating and being successful.

Then we know that we charge less if you're at a campus. And then if you transition to another campus, after a semester we can't distinguish the quality of the students in the classroom. This is important in a lot of Pennsylvania students' access. But, they take an extra semester. So Step is designed to have the

advising and opportunity so you don't take that extra semester. You don't take a misstep at the beginning.

2.1

And finally, we have something called Complete Penn State. Complete Penn State is looking at anybody that's at risk of dropping out with a high grade point. Within 30 credits of graduation, literally, we tap them on the shoulder and say, what's going on if they're about to drop out or if they have dropped out. So we have another 400 students in that category. In the year that followed us tapping them on the shoulder, all but five or six have graduated. So this is getting to the finish line.

And then, in addition to that, we have a financial literacy program that helps people not just in student debt, but even advice on credit cards. People all over the world are taking these modules now at an endowed financial literacy center.

And finally, this is the centerpiece for our philanthropic effort. And so, we've been matching dollars for those scholarships. We do that because, normally, we just think about giving someone a scholarship. We don't think about

helping someone get to the finish line. And so, this philanthropy for which we're raising -- Our goal is to raise \$500 million that helps support students in these programs that we call Open Doors for Philanthropy, but are part of Achieve Penn State.

We've just stood up a group to look at ways in which we can do food security and housing security for the number of students that we have that run out of money, don't eat, and then somehow are supposed to take a final exam without -- without food. And so, that's another part of Achieve Penn State that we're beginning to tackle; to make sure students get across the finish line. We know if we can get them across the finish line, they get a great job. Even if they borrow money, they pay it back because they have a great job.

So the tragedy is, as you correctly said, is to borrow money and not finish. That's the greatest tragedy that I can think of for a student.

REPRESENTATIVE KIM: It's a really thoughtful program. You're meeting the kids where they are, and I really appreciate this program.

And I'm --

Key Reporters

DR. ENGLERT: May I mention just a couple real quick ones?

2.1

We have found, in addition, that articulation with community colleges, this is so important. We have over a hundred articulation agreements to make a smooth transition, because transition from one institution to another is often a roadblock, and so, we do that.

In addition to our Fly in 4 Program, we also have what we call the Temple Option. The Temple Option is for students who are great students. Students that are ambitious, study hard, do well in high school, but just don't do well on standardized tests, we have them do an alternative to a standardized test. And Temple Option, about 20 percent of our new freshman come in on the Temple Option, and they do as well as students who take standardized tests, which is amazing.

And then, finally, we have a midsemester check on students. If students are not
doing well, they get flagged right away by their
instructor and they must see an advisor. And that
catches so many students, who, not only for
academic reasons, but sometimes for personal
reasons. And then they get referred to a team we

1 call the care team, and that care team helps that 2 student. 3 So, those are some additional thoughts. REPRESENTATIVE KIM: Thank vou. 4 you for those programs. It's really important. 5 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 6 7 ACTING MAJORITY CHAIRMAN DUNBAR: Thank you, Representative. We're gonna allow some 8 questions on the second round, but I'm gonna 10 preface that with a warning to the members. Let's 11 try to be thoughtful of time here. We are going to 12 try to limit this to about 3 minutes for each 13 question. 14 So we will start with Representative 15 Brown. 16 REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Thank you, 17 Mr. Chairman. 18 And thank you all for your patience and 19 a lot of time here on your details to all the questions. I'm gonna gear this towards Temple, but 20 feel free for any of you to answer the question. 2.1 22 My colleague, Representative Bullock, often asks the question about diversity in the 23 staff and in the president positions and the 24 25 students and all of that. I want to expand on that

conversation just a little bit as far as diversity, but fairness and opportunity and to ensure that there's no discrimination happening at any level.

No matter what subject we're talking about or what arena, zero discrimination.

There have been several conversations
that I have had here with our legislative liaison
to Temple in the Capitol that have been strongly
listened to and -- but yet, there's really not been
a policy given to me yet, as of yet.

My concern is and question really is,
when there's a non-traditional student, if you talk
about non-traditional public school K through 12,
whether it's a home-schooled student, a charter
school student, a Catholic school student -- And
I'm specifically saying Catholic school student
because based on an experience.

How does the GPA of that student evaluated and considered whether it's for acceptance or for merit? And I really -- I was hesitant on even bringing up the conversation today, but I really do want it on record, because I do believe it's so important. And I believe that when we talk about opportunities and diversity and all this, we have to make sure nothing is happening

2.1

on any level.

So I'd like to get your feelings on how that student's GPA, whether on the religious end, is the religious education pulled out, or is it evaluated differently for those students?

DR. ENGLERT: Okay. My understanding is that there is a core group of courses that is looked at in order to standardize across all populations. Those are English, math, the sciences, social sciences, and what's the fifth one? Foreign language. And those fairly standardize across all schools tend to be the core of doing that kind of an analysis.

However, in addition, a complete
analysis, portfolio of analysis of other courses;
you know, the arts courses, or elective courses, et
cetera, is also given. The admissions person
should be looking at the whole student, and,
obviously, doing some things in a fairly
standardized fashion with respect to those five
core groupings of courses. But, in addition,
looking at the entire student.

So, I'm not an admissions person, so I'm giving some general. But, we welcome, we welcome diversity of all kinds, and we have outstanding --

1 Since you mentioned Catholic, we have an outstanding Newman Center, which I think is the 2 best Newman Center around because of Father Sean 3 who runs it. That is a home for so many of the Catholic students at our -- at our university. 5 6 REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Thank you, 7 Mr. Chairman. I see the red light on already. Thank you. 8 ACTING MAJORITY CHAIRMAN DUNBAR: Thank you, Representative. 10 11 Next will be Representative Lawrence. 12 REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: Thank you, 13 Mr. Chairman. 14 President Barron, back to the art museum. You referenced the Big 10 media contract 15 16 several times today. What dollar amount from the 17 Big 10 media contract is slated to pay for the new 18 art museum? 19 DR. BARRON: So, basically, support of 20 band, support of mental health, support of the art museum, it's \$4 million. It comes from the Big 10 21 22 media contract. 23 And I neglected to say --REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: It would be a 24 25 part of \$4 million towards an 81-million-dollar art

museum?

DR. BARRON: Right.

And I neglected to say one other thing, and that is, the current art museum is in the core of the campus; highly valuable space. So, by moving the art museum to the arboretum at the edge of campus, we now have the ability to re-purpose the current art museum; use it for other purposes at a much cheaper level than building another academic building.

So, for example, the galleries are just about the size of a good classroom. And we've worked hard to save money in terms of not building classroom buildings. So there is also a net benefit, in terms of core campus space, by moving a collection of art and the academic programs associated with it off of campus.

REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: So, several press reports indicate that Penn State anticipates 400,000 visitors to the new art museum per year, and you mentioned just a moment ago, a half million people.

By comparison, the existing art museum on campus, the Palmer Museum averages 100 visitors a day. I looked into -- I didn't know. I looked

it up. The world-renowned Philadelphia Museum of Art, with works by Uremol -- Renoir, Mo net, Degas, other world masters sees 800,000 visitors a year. So I think 400,000 is optimistic. Let me just say that.

It seems from press reports that a consultant was hired who provided this 400,000-dollar (sic) number. Who was this consultant, what were they paid, and can this Committee get a copy of that consultant's report?

DR. BARRON: Absolutely, you can have a copy of the report. I don't remember the name of the consultants. But, I believe that they looked at this holistically, in terms of the number of visitors, because -- because the expectation for them, for example, is the number of school children in central Pennsylvania that have no access at all currently, and school buses do not come onto the central campus.

So the museum that we have, including the art museum and mineral collections, are ones rarely visited by school children. And so, because this becomes accessible to so many rural counties in the State of Pennsylvania that have access to nothing --

2.1

REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: I mean, that sounds spectacular, and I'm not disagreeing with -- And I want to see the children of Centre Hall and Bald Eagle have a world class art experience.

But what I'm also looking at is a fiveyear capital plan and a strategic budget task force
report that outlines tens of millions of dollars in
deferred maintenance that, you know, is being
pushed down the list in favor of an 81-milliondollar art museum, which, I just fail to see the
justification for, the basis for. And I think, if
I'm speaking candidly, a potentially inflated
number of visitors.

I mean, will there be an admission charge for the museum?

DR. BARRON: Well, so, all of this is yet to be decided. So one of the things that you're seeing is that, we exceeded our goals from alumni and friends, particularly local community members. We exceeded the fundraising goal because of the value of this to -- to the local communities.

So, there is the potential that these extra dollars can go to support programming and assistance that might allow us to have it be free

1 for those school buses. So, we have to take that 2 as -- as it -- as it comes. But --REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: How much has 3 been raised in fundraising to date? 4 DR. BARRON: What? 5 6 REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: How much has 7 been raised in fundraising? DR. BARRON: We exceeded 17.1, and we're 8 not done yet. We still have other promised gifts 10 that are in there. 11 So, it is a significant signal from the 12 community of how important this is to the local 13 community, and the -- Although you cite the 14 Philadelphia Museum, and I can understand that 15 level, part of the reason why the consultants also 16 said it in terms of things like school buses that 17 would come through is the fact that it's combined 18 with things like the arboretum and other activities 19 that make it more of a regional draw than you might have for an art museum within -- within a -- This 20 21 really brings a high level of cultural interest to 22 central Pennsylvania. 23 ACTING MAJORITY CHAIRMAN DUNBAR: Thank 24 you, Representative. 25 Next will be Representative Delozier.

REPRESENTATIVE DELOZIER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2.1

I have a quick question and -- it's regarding the issues of our student population. We talked when I asked earlier about the level of education that our students are getting. So, two things.

One, we also had you speak about some efficiencies, and you all mentioned efficiencies that you had at your schools. So my two questions are, one, do those efficiencies when you save dollars go into tuition reduction and allowing for lower tuition? Not that the tuition goes down, but just in the sense of being able to better serve the students with that.

And also, second, what programs do you have at your schools to keep those well-trained, educated, great Pennsylvanians, even if they're only for four years here in Pennsylvania, because we'd like to keep them in our workforce here in Pennsylvania?

DR. BARRON: We've been busily saving money each and every year. But in the next three, including this one, I made a pledge to my board to save \$150 million. We have an agreement that about

half of that will go into access and affordability. Many people look at tuition and believe that that is the key. But, in my case, you know, that's \$180 a semester for everyone, whether they're wealthy or not.

So, a significant portion of our savings we want to go to make sure students not expected to graduate, graduate. So this should be the level of taking a need-based middle-class students and giving them four and \$5,000; not -- not 180. But the agreement with the board is that, half of those dollars roughly will go into access and affordability initiatives, and half of it will go into innovation to make sure that we're doing the technology that saves students' operational time and allow them to focus on educational activities.

We noticed that even for out-of-state students, about 20 percent of them stay in residence in the State of Pennsylvania. We also have economic development centers, we call them launch boxes. I'm about to cut the ribbon on number 21.

So, we have an economic development center within 30 miles of 96 percent of the population of Pennsylvania. Community members can

2.1

come in the door. Students can come in the door. They're partnerships with the communities. They're in the communities. Faculty can come in. You can get free legal assistance, business advice. And a huge portion of that is --

And to tell you the truth, I sit there and tell students, you can go to Silicon Valley, pay a fortunate for a really crummy place to live and eat Ramen noodles and build your business. Or you can do it in Pennsylvania, have a nice place to live, and a good hamburger for dinner, and Penn State's right behind you, helping you build your company through our launch boxes. A lot of opportunity there.

We're seeing about 70 student startups a year come out of that program, and we're watching many of them build their companies right here in Pennsylvania. So, in our view, this is part of our job, is to promote economic development in the State of Pennsylvania.

REPRESENTATIVE DELOZIER: Thank you.

ACTING MAJORITY CHAIRMAN DUNBAR: Thank you, Representative.

Next will be Representative Struzzi. I loved the look.

2.1

REPRESENTATIVE STRUZZI: Good afternoon. Over here.

I know this is not my -- this is the second round, but this is my first-round question. Here's my thought. Okay. And we had this discussion, and each of you are requesting a budget appropriation in many cases to provide lower tuition rates.

We spent this morning with the

Chancellor of the State System, the struggling

State System. So Penn State is asking for

343 million; Pitt, 173 million; Temple,

162 million; and Lincoln, 17 million. And then -
And this thought came to me as I was listening to

the discussion. Penn State's branch campuses have

tiered tuition rates.

My question is, as everyone is vying for state dollars to lower tuition rates, and we have a struggling State System, are we creating unhealthy competition between the state-related universities and the State System? Your thoughts.

DR. BARRON: So, I think broadly about access and affordability initiatives in terms of those costs. We, right now, have had three tuition freezes in five years for in-state students. The

2.1

state appropriation over that same period of time has been sub-inflation. My tuition rates have been sub-inflation. It is now cheaper to go to Penn State in real dollars today than it was five years ago.

At the same time, my costs, if I think of the state retirement system, I'm the only institution here that is in the state retirement system, as a matter of law. In 10 years it's gone up \$100 million; about one percent of tuition increase a year. Yet, we've managed to stay sub-inflation on our revenues from the state, and sub-inflation for our revenues for students. So, we spend a lot of time cost-cutting and looking for efficiencies.

It is hard when your costs are going up 60 to \$80 million a year just on the basics for us to continue that particular -- particular process. And we have a couple of ways out. We can do it philanthropically, which we're working really hard at. I gave you a number. The state can provide additional resources.

Right now, Penn State has the lowest student funding from the Commonwealth of any institution that you support by a considerable

2.1

margin. And whatever you give me, I more than double in a discount compared to out-of-state tuition. So, in my mind, this really does serve to benefit the residents of the State of Pennsylvania. 70 percent of my students are from the State of Pennsylvania. I work very hard at every campus not to go out and get out-of-state students to cover the shortfalls. So, this really does translate into more affordable education for our students.

But, unfortunately, Pennsylvania also ranks, depending on how you do it, 48th or 49th in its support of higher education. It's very hard for us to manage those -- those costs and the growth of those costs without more support.

But I just want you to know, every dollar you give us is going straight into the hands of students in one way or another.

CHANCELLOR GALLAGHER: All the good things happening at Pitt on that. But I heard you asking a policy question that I think is actually really important.

I would say, my general answer is, yes, you should be worried about this. I think the most -- the most crowded segment of Pennsylvania's market is in smaller campus, geographically

2.1

dispersed campuses, whether that's PASSHE, Pitt's regional campuses, Penn State. Frankly, the community colleges are probably competing in some of that space and, of course, a lot of privates.

The number of college-aged students that have -- to draw from these catchment basements are -- is shrinking. We have not seen the full decline yet. That's 2026, right, because the fertility rate dropped in 2008. So we're getting our first glimpses, and it's pretty ugly, right? I mean, you can see the enrollments going down.

I think what's hard to do, how do you optimize? I think you're asking the right question. Given the state's mix, how do you spend -- allocate most effectively to preserve two things.

One is -- This was touched on. If the state doesn't have economic opportunity to grow and attract businesses and jobs, we're gonna see the worst aspects of this demographic decline.

REPRESENTATIVE STRUZZI: Right.

CHANCELLOR GALLAGHER: And the states right around us are competing like crazy to pull the best students out of Pennsylvania. So you want to do that. Compete hard. We want the best brains

2.1

coming here. We want to grow stuff here.

The second one, though, is really, give them whatever new economies are coming in here, whatever economic opportunity we create, how do we make sure the people living in the Commonwealth have the opportunity to participate in that new economy? And that's really where these other campuses play a critical role. It's all about providing that broad base of access.

I would say, you know, we've said this before, but we would welcome the opportunity to work with you on that question. It's a little hard for us because it goes across systems. But I think it's -- I think it's probably gonna be one of the central questions that the Commonwealth faces over the next few years.

REPRESENTATIVE STRUZZI: Well, thank

you. I appreciate that. I know my time. But I do

think, as Chancellor Greenstein said this morning,

it's gonna take all of us working cooperatively to

get this -- find a solution for all these issues.

And I think that includes the State System and

State-Relateds.

So, thank you.

ACTING MAJORITY CHAIRMAN DUNBAR: Thank

you. Next will be Representative Topper.

REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: Good afternoon, once again. The question, specifically for Temple and President Englert, as I was looking over your report, which is good that I actually do read some of the materials you guys provide us.

DR. ENGLERT: Thank you. We put a lot of work into it.

REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: One of things it says is that, and part of your research is on water contamination this year.

DR. ENGLERT: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: For our caucus, the House Republicans, I know we've had several leaders in the southeast on this issue based on PFAS and PFOS issue, especially in the military base that is in the southeast located in Montgomery and Bucks. Federal inaction has certainly played a part in making it worse over the years, so we, as a state, stepped up trying to work.

Is that, then, some part of your research? And is that a way that a university like Temple could help us out as a state as we try to clean up what was a federal problem.

DR. ENGLERT: I'm not certain whether

1 that specific, what you cited is part of the research. But we have one of the top researchers, 2 Rominder Suri, who's outstanding. He has been --3 He has a grant, for example, from USAID working 5 with Egypt and water cleanup, water 6 decontamination. My understanding is, he has 7 connections with the Department of Defense for the same. So, it is possible. 8 REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: Would it be --10 DR. ENGLERT: But I think that is 11 something we could help with because --12 REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: Would that be 13 something you could check for us --14 DR. ENGLERT: I will. 15 REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: -- check for 16 sure? This has come up. This issue has come up in 17 multiple variances here on this Committee and folks 18 have asked questions. 19 It just occurred to me, as I was looking 20 at this, this could be a connection that we could 2.1 make that could really help as we move forward on 22 this very important environmental issue. So, if 23 you could get that information to us. And if it

hasn't been done, maybe we could actually set up

some meetings and discuss that.

24

1	DR. ENGLERT: I was just told that we
2	are doing such research with the Federal
3	Government.
4	REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: If we could get
5	some information on that research, and then we can
6	get to our members who have been kind of out in
7	front and leading on this issue, and then they can
8	use that as they continue to work on it.
9	DR. ENGLERT: Absolutely. Other than
10	air, what could be more important than water?
11	REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: Absolutely.
12	Thank you.
13	Thank you, Chairman.
14	ACTING MAJORITY CHAIRMAN DUNBAR: Thank
15	you, Representative.
16	With that, that concludes everything for
17	today. I know you guys have all had a long day
18	being in the Senate and over here, both. We
19	appreciate you coming before us. It's been a few
20	years.
21	So this meeting is now going to adjourn.
22	And the Committee will reconvene tomorrow morning
23	at 10 o'clock with the Department of Human
24	Services. Thank you all for being here.

1 CERTIFICATE 2 I, Karen J. Meister, Reporter, Notary 3 Public, duly commissioned and qualified in and for 4 5 the County of York, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and 6 7 accurate transcript, to the best of my ability, of 8 a public hearing taken from a videotape recording 9 and reduced to computer printout under my 10 supervision. 11 This certification does not apply to any 12 reproduction of the same by any means unless under 13 my direct control and/or supervision. 14 15 16 17 Karen J. Meister Reporter, Notary Public 18 19 20 21 22 23 2.4