COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE
BUDGET HEARING

STATE CAPITOL HARRISBURG, PA

MAIN CAPITOL BUILDING
140 MAJORITY CAUCUS ROOM

MONDAY, MARCH 2, 2020 10:00 A.M.

PRESENTATION ON PA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

BEFORE:

HONORABLE STANLEY SAYLOR, MAJORITY CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE ROSEMARY M. BROWN

HONORABLE LYNDA SCHLEGEL CULVER

HONORABLE SHERYL M. DELOZIER

HONORABLE GEORGE DUNBAR

HONORABLE JONATHAN FRITZ

HONORABLE MATT GABLER

HONORABLE KEITH J. GREINER

HONORABLE SETH M. GROVE

HONORABLE MARCIA M. HAHN

HONORABLE DOYLE HEFFLEY

HONORABLE R. LEE JAMES

HONORABLE JOHN A. LAWRENCE

HONORABLE JASON ORTITAY

HONORABLE CLINT OWLETT

HONORABLE CHRISTOPHER B. QUINN

HONORABLE GREG ROTHMAN

HONORABLE JAMES B. STRUZZI II

HONORABLE JESSE TOPPER

* * * * *

Debra B. Miller

dbmreporting@msn.com

BEFORE (continued):

HONORABLE RYAN WARNER

HONORABLE JEFF C. WHEELAND

HONORABLE MARTINA A. WHITE

HONORABLE MATTHEW D. BRADFORD, DEMOCRATIC CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE DONNA BULLOCK

HONORABLE CAROLYN T. COMITTA

HONORABLE ELIZABETH FIEDLER

HONORABLE MARTY FLYNN

HONORABLE ED GAINEY

HONORABLE PATTY KIM

HONORABLE STEPHEN KINSEY

HONORABLE LEANNE KRUEGER

HONORABLE STEPHEN McCARTER

HONORABLE BENJAMIN V. SANCHEZ

HONORABLE PETER SCHWEYER

ALSO IN ATTENDANCE:

HONORABLE MARK M. GILLEN

HONORABLE BARBARA GLEIM

HONORABLE CAROL HILL-EVANS

HONORABLE MARYLOUISE ISAACSON

HONORABLE MICHAEL PEIFER

HONORABLE CURTIS G. SONNEY

HONORABLE MIKE TURZAI, SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE

COMMITTEE STAFF PRESENT:

DAVID DONLEY

MAJORITY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

RITCHIE LaFAVER

MAJORITY DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

ANNE BALOGA

DEMOCRATIC EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

TARA TREES

DEMOCRATIC CHIEF COUNSEL

I N D E X

TESTIFIERS

* * *		
NAME		
PEDRO A. RIVERA SECRETARY, PA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION		
DANIELLE MARIANO DIRECTOR, BUREAU OF BUDGET AND FISCAL MANAGEMENT, PA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION		
MATTHEW S. STEM DEPUTY SECRETARY, OFFICE OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION PA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION		
NOE ORTEGA DEPUTY SECRETARY, OFFICE OF POSTSECONDARY AND HIGHER EDUCATION, PA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION		
SUBMITTED WRITTEN TESTIMONY		
* * *		
See submitted written testimony and handouts online under "Show:" at:		
https://www.legis.State.pa.us/cfdocs/Legis/TR/Public/tr_finder_public_action.cfm?tr_doc_typ=T&billBody=&billTyp=&billNbr=&hearing_month=&hearing_day=&hearing_year=&NewCommittee=Appropriations&subcommittee=&subject=&bill=&new_title=&new_salutation=&new_first_name=&new_middle_name=&new_last_name=&new_suffix=&hearing_loc=		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	* * *
3	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: I call the
4	Appropriations Committee to order.
5	And we'll start off the day with the Pledge of
6	Allegiance.
7	
8	(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)
9	
10	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: With that, we'll also
11	do an introduction of Members.
12	Representative Bradford.
13	MINORITY CHAIRMAN BRADFORD: Thank you, Chairman
14	Saylor.
15	Matt Bradford, central Montgomery County.
16	REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Good morning.
17	Stephen Kinsey, Philadelphia County.
18	REPRESENTATIVE SANCHEZ: Good morning.
19	Ben Sanchez from eastern Montgomery County.
20	REPRESENTATIVE SCHWEYER: Good morning.
21	Peter Schweyer, the Allentown School District.
22	REPRESENTATIVE McCARTER: Steve McCarter, the
23	154th District, eastern Montgomery County.
24	REPRESENTATIVE FLYNN: State Representative
25	Marty Flynn, the 113th District, Lackawanna County.

1	REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: Representative Bullock,
2	the $195_{ t th}$ District in Philadelphia, the north and west
3	parts.
4	REPRESENTATIVE FIEDLER: Representative
5	Elizabeth Fiedler, South Philadelphia.
6	REPRESENTATIVE KIM: Good morning.
7	Patty Kim, the city of Harrisburg.
8	REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: John Lawrence,
9	southwestern Chester County and a part of Lancaster County.
LO	REPRESENTATIVE GABLER: Good morning.
L1	Matt Gabler, the $75_{ m th}$ District, Elk and Clearfield
L2	Counties.
L3	REPRESENTATIVE WHEELAND: Jeff Wheeland, Lycoming
L 4	County Williamsport, the home of Little League Baseball.
L 5	REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Good morning.
L 6	Rosemary Brown, the 189_{th} District, Monroe and
L7	Pike Counties.
L 8	REPRESENTATIVE HAHN: Good morning.
L 9	Marcia Hahn, the 138_{th} District, Northampton
20	County.
21	REPRESENTATIVE CULVER: Good morning.
22	Lynda Culver, the $108_{ t th}$ District, Northumberland
23	and Snyder Counties.
24	REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Representative Owlett,
25	the 68th District, Tioga, Bradford, and Potter Counties.

1	REPRESENTATIVE ROTHMAN: Good morning.
2	Representative Rothman, the $87_{\rm th}$ District,
3	Cumberland County.
4	REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: Good morning.
5	Martina White, Philadelphia County.
6	REPRESENTATIVE GREINER: Good morning.
7	Keith Greiner, the 43rd District, eastern
8	Lancaster County.
9	REPRESENTATIVE STRUZZI: Jim Struzzi, the
LO	62nd District, Indiana County.
L1	REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: Jesse Topper, the
L2	78th District, Bedford, Fulton, and Franklin Counties.
13	REPRESENTATIVE DUNBAR: George Dunbar,
L 4	Westmoreland County, western Westmoreland County, the
L5	56th District, since we're doing directional parts of our
L 6	counties.
L7	REPRESENTATIVE ORTITAY: Jason Ortitay, the
L 8	46th District, Allegheny and Washington Counties.
L 9	REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY: Doyle Heffley, the
20	122nd District, Carbon County.
21	REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Seth Grove, the 196th, York
22	County.
23	REPRESENTATIVE FRITZ: Good morning, friends.
24	Jonathan Fritz, the $111_{ ext{th}}$ Legislative District,
25	comprising Wayne and Susquehanna Counties.

1	REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Good morning.
2	Lee James from Butler and Venango Counties in the
3	great northwest.
4	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Very good.
5	I'm Chairman Stan Saylor from York County, the
6	94th District.
7	With that, Mr. Secretary, would you and your
8	staff who are going to testify please rise and raise your
9	right hand:
10	Do you swear and affirm that the testimony you
11	are about to give is true to the best of your knowledge,
12	information, and belief? If so, say "I do."
13	
14	(Testifiers responded "I do.")
15	
16	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Very good. Thank you.
17	Thank you for being here today, Mr. Secretary,
18	and we'll start off with our first questioner, which is
19	Representative Ortitay.
20	REPRESENTATIVE ORTITAY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
21	Good morning, Mr. Secretary.
22	Before the meeting started, I handed you a sheet
23	with lapsed funds, Act 146 waivers, and budgetary reserves.
24	Some go back to 2014. What I'm trying to find out, and I
25	got this all from the Budget Book that you guys have

provided us, is what's accounted for, what is unencumbered, and what's available to be used? Because there are

10 different line items in the Governor's budget where he is asking for additional funds on top of last year where there are still remaining funds left over.

2.1

SECRETARY RIVERA: Thank you for your question, Representative.

What we can do is we can give you a much more detailed accounting. However, as we look through this list, the vast majority of the line items are already encumbered funds.

With the funding that we pushed through the Department of Ed, there are a number of ways we administer funds through the Budget Book. One of the more traditional ways, school districts, intermediate units, institutions apply, go through application for those funds. So although we'll see a carryover, it could be because it's part of, you know, part and parcel of the process. So, you know, a school district may have requested a proportionate share of those funds or a non-private intermediate unit, and then through that process, we allocate the funds.

In some cases, some institutions, although there is a specific dollar amount that is carried over, we parcel those funds on a monthly or quarterly basis. So the vast majority of the funds that we're looking at on this list

are already encumbered, just have to go through an allocation process.

2.1

REPRESENTATIVE ORTITAY: Okay. So to make it easier for you guys to get back to us, can you give us a list of the funds that are not encumbered on the list that I have provided you?

SECRETARY RIVERA: Absolutely; absolutely.

REPRESENTATIVE ORTITAY: All right. Perfect.

Now, there are a couple lines specifically I want to ask about. Why do we keep so much in budgetary reserves for the State-related universities? I noticed that line itself is much larger than the rest. Because, I mean, overall, we're looking at about \$560 million from the Budget Book, and out of these, these make up about \$200 to \$250 million.

SECRETARY RIVERA: Danielle can answer that specific question.

pass-through that happens from, there's a large amount of those funds that go to DHS for a program that they administer. So it's really, it's in our budget, but they go to DHS. And, you know, depending, again, as the Secretary noted, on the process, you know, we have to move them over to the other agency. But we can also provide more detail on that particular line, if that's helpful.

REPRESENTATIVE ORTITAY: Yeah. And do you know who sets what that funding has to be, each line, to get that pass-through or the Federal match or whatever dollars we're trying to get there?

DIRECTOR MARIANO: Who decides where it goes,

much money sits in that budgetary reserve? Because, I mean, there's one that's 110 million, and in the following year, let's see, 2019, the reserves are 152 million. How do you determine how much money actually sits there year over year before it gets doled out?

DIRECTOR MARIANO: I believe it is attached to the program, which is a Federal program through DHS. So again, we're just kind of the -- we pass the funds on. But I can give you more detail around the specific program and what the requirements are.

REPRESENTATIVE ORTITAY: Okay. Thank you.

The next thing I want to talk about is the Northern PA Regional College. In last year's budget, we had \$7 million appropriated for that line item, and it still, according to your book, hasn't been spent. It's still sitting there. And in this year's budget, there's a request for another \$7 million. Is that \$7 million needed in this year's budget? What is it being spent on? Or is

there a possibility of we skip a year until they're up and running and ready to go and then fund it next year?

SECRETARY RIVERA: Historically, that allocation had been a legislative appropriation, and that funding as well goes through based on their accounting as they submit to the State.

REPRESENTATIVE ORTITAY: So they haven't started spending any of the money yet, right? And you haven't allocated -- I mean, you've allocated the money, but nothing has been spent.

SECRETARY RIVERA: Yeah. So they have it in their budget processing. They just have not completed the process yet.

REPRESENTATIVE ORTITAY: And do you have a time frame on that?

SECRETARY RIVERA: That lands on the board of that institution, so we have been working with them to facilitate through the process. It's really around how quickly they can do their due diligence.

REPRESENTATIVE ORTITAY: Are you optimistic that they're going to be able to start using and drawing down that money in this next budget cycle?

SECRETARY RIVERA: I am. I think some of the conversations we're having globally around the need for higher ed, I think the General Assembly and the

- Administration are incentivizing them to draw down those dollars just to show that there's access to that northern tier.
- 4 REPRESENTATIVE ORTITAY: Okay.
- 5 Thank you, Mr. Secretary, and thank you,
- 6 Mr. Chairman.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- 7 SECRETARY RIVERA: Thank you.
- 8 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Next is Representative 9 Fiedler.
- 10 REPRESENTATIVE FIEDLER: Good morning. Thank you
 11 for being here.

The Governor has made a bold \$1 billion proposal to remediate lead and asbestos in our schools. Clearly, we face both a very challenging financial situation and, in my belief, also an emerging public health emergency.

I want to ask you about something about this issue which I hear about from my constituents a lot; specifically, educators and staff across this State who are asking themselves, asking their friends and family, asking their districts, if the medical struggles that they are facing are connected with the decades that they have spent in our public school buildings in Pennsylvania.

Just real briefly, there's a Philadelphia public school teacher named Lea DiRusso who spent 28 years as a teacher, and she recently went public with her mesothelioma

and so this description she had of her routine, she said,

"When I come into a room on a Monday morning, and

you're..." setting up, "and you see dust across your desk,

or dust on the ground, or a ceiling tile fell, as a

teacher, this is your pride and joy, it's your room.

diagnosis. Both my parents were public school teachers,

You...scoop it up, you clean it up, and you move on." And again, this is a public school teacher in Pennsylvania for

28 years who now is struggling with mesothelioma.

Could you tell us what your message is to educators and staff across this State about the state of our public school buildings and talk about the State's financial commitment to keeping our students and educators safe while they are in our schools.

SECRETARY RIVERA: Mm-hmm.

Representative, first, I think it's incumbent for me to share, I know the feeling specifically. I taught and was an administrator in many of those same buildings that we're hearing about today. I spent almost all of my career in North Philadelphia, 100-plus-year-old buildings, and have experienced some of the conditions that many of our teachers and administrators and principals are sharing in Philadelphia today, which is why when the Governor brought up the opportunity to look at the \$1 billion in RACP just to make funding available as quickly as possible, to work

with the General Assembly, you know, to form some system of remediation for schools, I fully support that plan.

I also fully supported the amazing work we have done through the Planning Commission, you know, the committee with the General Assembly, and having passed that, you know, in a bipartisan and bicameral manner, moving forward and allowing for small project remediation, as well as, some of the larger project PlanCon reimbursement is something that absolutely was needed at the time and continues to be needed.

But I do think as we look at this specific issue, the need to act and have a dollar amount set aside, you know, as quickly as possible is extremely, is extremely important. And, you know, which is why I absolutely applaud the work that, you know, the Governor and the General Assembly are doing around the RACP funds.

I do think as we get into the deeper conversation, one, let's get the funding out there quickly. But this is going to drive other conversations. This is going to drive conversation around, you know, physical plant remediation, around the needs of educators, you know, across the Commonwealth, and I'm going to and my team is going to stand ready to facilitate those calls as needed.

REPRESENTATIVE FIEDLER: Thank you.

And I think obviously as we're looking at the

conditions that we are attempting to remediate -- right? -- asbestos, lead, from a health perspective and even an educational one, we know the toll that these things take on the human body -- right? -- on children's ability to learn and as far as the other diagnoses that as a State we're going to be faced with as well.

2.1

SECRETARY RIVERA: And if you will allow me just to share, you know, some other realities in this case.

I think this is an extremely difficult situation to describe, because we think when we go into these sacred, toxic buildings that you can see it, and having lived it, it's something as simple as a crack in a chalkboard, or a title on the floor that has been broken and then, you know, if there's asbestos in the glue or, you know, in what has been used to prepare it, then it could be airborne.

And so, you know, I always caution, this isn't something you walk into a building and say, this is a building that's in need of remediation. This is something that's actually going to take some real thoughtful due diligence.

REPRESENTATIVE FIEDLER: Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Our next questioner is Representative White.

REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: Hello, Secretary. Thank you for being here with us today.

I would also like to discuss the lead and asbestos remediation program.

2.1

I'm sure you're aware that the Governor, as you previously mentioned the RACP program, makes the projects eligible up to a billion dollars in the Capital Budget

Itemization Act to include grant availability for lead and asbestos remediation projects. Do you know how the Administration arrived at that billion-dollar figure?

SECRETARY RIVERA: I think, you know, in conversation with my colleague in the Budget Office, this is a dollar amount to start to provide for remediation. I think the process is really, you know, what we have been thoughtful about, by having this as a stand-alone line item that would allow school districts to apply for those funds as an RACP item. But a stand-alone RACP item would really do a number of things: one, make the funding available, but also help us to better track along with the need.

REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: Yeah, but did you guys evaluate the number of schools across the Commonwealth that need the remediation?

SECRETARY RIVERA: The first-level evaluation has been more informal. We know that with something like this, because of the dire need, the Governor and the Administration have done it quickly.

REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: How do you know -- well, I

think we all understand that there is a dire need, but I think it's a real question as to the dollars that we need to put behind this need. And I think, you know, as it pertains to lead and asbestos, these are very, you know, these are problems that have been ignored for the past 50 years.

SECRETARY RIVERA: Mm-hmm.

2.1

REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: And now it's 2020, and we're trying to figure out, you know, is this billion dollars the real number or is it more? Is it less? And you're telling us that you don't have an exact figure yet, but you're going to be working on that?

SECRETARY RIVERA: Yeah. So what I can share with you, having been someone who walked, who visited schools with the PlanCon Commission and some of the schools we walked through, having been a Secretary who has visited almost 200 schools across the Commonwealth---

REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: Mm-hmm.

SECRETARY RIVERA: ---I can absolutely say with confidence that \$1 billion is a start to remediation in what we've seen in some of our schools.

You know, there will probably be an opportunity to come back to the table. But, Representative, if you walked through some of the buildings we did when we were doing PlanCon---

```
1
                 REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: I most definitely have
      been in a variety of public schools in Philadelphia, and I
 2
 3
       know that there are deep, deep needs. However, these needs
      have been ignored for the past 50 years, and my concern is
 4
 5
      that in Philadelphia, our Mayor has spent over a billion
 6
       dollars over the past 4 years.
 7
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: Mm-hmm.
 8
                 REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: And the allocation of
 9
       dollars is a big concern, seeing as there is such a big
10
      need.
11
                 Now, I'm wondering if you plan to or the
12
      Administration plans on spending this billion dollars over,
13
      how many years, would you say?
14
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: I would say we want to make
15
       this billion dollars available to schools as quickly as
      possible.
16
17
                 I also do want to just correct the record.
18
                 REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: Yeah, but when it comes
19
       to---
20
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: This is not only Philadelphia.
2.1
                 REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: I know that.
22
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: I mean, this is Scranton,
23
      Erie. These are schools in York, Lancaster.
24
                 REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: No correction to the
25
       record necessary. It's all across the Commonwealth.
```

1 SECRETARY RIVERA: Okay. 2 REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: But when we talk about this billion dollars, you're telling us that you're going 3 to spend a billion dollars, and you haven't told us how 4 5 many years you're going to spend it over the course of. 6 SECRETARY RIVERA: What I shared is, we're going 7 to make a billion dollars available to schools and school 8 districts through RACP to remediate lead and asbestos. 9 REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: And are there going to be any criteria for the school districts or the municipalities 10 11 that are going to be receiving this money in order to 12 acquire it? 13 SECRETARY RIVERA: Sure. So it's going to 14 be---15 REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: Do you have a list of---16 SECRETARY RIVERA: It's going to be an 17 application process through RACP, a stand-alone RACP 18 process. 19 REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: Okay. And then are the 20 school districts that are already remediated, that they

have already gone through the lead and asbestos remediation process, are they going to be eligible for money as a reimbursement? SECRETARY RIVERA: That, right now, has not been

2.1

22

23

24

25

one of the discussions in the plan, no.

1 REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: And who is going to decide 2 the people that are awarded the money?

2.1

SECRETARY RIVERA: So that's the Office of Budget process. However, that, as always, is influenced by the relationship between the General Assembly and the Administration.

REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: Since the RACP requires a project to be listed in the capital itemization bill, will each project be listed individually?

SECRETARY RIVERA: As I understand it now, this project, this process through the RACP project, would be treated just like an RACP project, just a stand-alone billion dollars for toxic remediation.

REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: Okay. So that would mean,
I think for the next question that I have, the current RACP
program requires a 50-percent match to receive an award.
So would that be treated just the same for those dollars?

SECRETARY RIVERA: So the 50-percent match, so a match will still be expected. Now, you know, the definition of the match is something that's up to interpretation with the Administration and the General Assembly.

REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: I think it's clearly written in the law, but if you feel that it's negotiable, that's interesting.

1 SECRETARY RIVERA: Well, a match is a match.

2 REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: Right.

2.1

SECRETARY RIVERA: Do we see it as a fiduciary match? Is it an in-kind? Is that in terms of the process? So that can be defined.

REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: The other question I have regarding, the current RACP program has a debt limit. How would this proposal impact that debt limit?

SECRETARY RIVERA: So when looking at this specific proposal, the Governor wants to make sure that he works with you to make the capital available as soon as possible to schools. So as you look at the specific debt limit, you know, that will be something that is subject to a conversation with the General Assembly.

REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: That -- I'm sorry. I didn't understand the answer to that question.

SECRETARY RIVERA: All right. So as with all of our proposals, this is a proposed budget. The Governor is proposing \$1 billion to go through RACP for remediation of lead and toxic material in schools. As with all of our proposals that we put on the table and justify, there comes a process after this where the Governor and his team, the General Assembly and their team, come and look at the specific detail as part of the process.

There are some nuances in there, I mean the match

```
1
       and the application process that would come up in
 2
       conversation.
 3
                 REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: Right.
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: So that would be done at that
 4
 5
       level.
 6
                 REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: Okay.
 7
                 Thank you, Chairman.
 8
                 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Next is Representative
 9
       Bradford.
10
                 MINORITY CHAIRMAN BRADFORD: Thank you, Chairman
11
       Saylor.
12
                 I just want to clear up a couple of things.
13
                 I was very heartened to hear the gentlelady talk
14
       about the dire need for this spending and realizing that
15
       Philadelphia County is one of the districts that finds
       itself in most need of this remediation. So let me just
16
17
       walk through a couple of things, because I think that a
       little bit of clarity is required.
18
19
                 The billion-dollar proposal that the Governor has
20
       put out there, he is not requiring a billion dollars be
2.1
       spent. My understanding is that there is a request for a
22
       billion dollars of authorized borrowing. That doesn't mean
23
       that we're going to spend even one dollar of that billion.
24
      Is that correct?
```

SECRETARY RIVERA:

That is correct.

25

MINORITY CHAIRMAN BRADFORD: Okay

2.1

Now, if we find out that we have \$10 billion of projects that need to be done throughout the Commonwealth, the Governor, unfortunately, would have to come back to this Legislature and ask for additional borrowing power.

But what we're really looking for here is to get the ball rolling and have some level of borrowing to allow you to start handling these projects on a per-project basis. Is that a fair assessment?

SECRETARY RIVERA: Very much so.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN BRADFORD: Okay.

So, we know we have schools in Philadelphia

County where we have had teachers with mesothelioma, and it is believed that the cause of that is the asbestos in those buildings. Now again, there's obviously a legal causation that needs to be established. But we know that our kids are going into schools that we believe, that have been closed down, in fact, not just believe, these schools have been affirmatively closed because of lead and asbestos and mold. Is that correct?

SECRETARY RIVERA: Schools have been closed as a result of lead, asbestos, and mold, yes.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN BRADFORD: So while we can quibble about whether the first authorization should be for a billion dollars or \$100 million or, frankly, \$10 billion,

we need to get the ball rolling to give you authorization for some level of borrowing in order to remediate these

schools. Is that what you're saying, Secretary?

2.1

SECRETARY RIVERA: What we're saying is, this funding is absolutely important to start the process to help remediate schools.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN BRADFORD: Okay

My concern is, and sometimes in this building it's easy to talk about things that are in current law, like the match, and that is a real concern in traditional RACP; the debt limit, which, again, is in law and is a concern under the traditional RACP, but these are laws that this body set, and if we are going to show some commitment to deal with 6 schools in Philadelphia County, but frankly in all 67 of our counties, we're going to have to change the law. That's within the power of this body to do that. So I think we should be careful or wise to realize, we made those laws, and with the debt limit specifically, we're the one who put that in place. We're going to have to reevaluate that.

I would say this, too: One of the projects in the past that has been used to deal with school construction was PlanCon. Can you tell me why PlanCon is no longer a viable option to deal with the 6-school issue that we're dealing with in Philadelphia and frankly in all

67 counties in Pennsylvania?

2.1

SECRETARY RIVERA: PlanCon, first, is a long-term reimbursement, so that funding would not be available to schools right away. It would require them to put the funding up front first and then ask for reimbursement, you know, over the course of many years.

Secondly, we did, the General Assembly did pass the PlanCon law. The process has been updated, which we will be facilitating this summer, but the funding to go along with the new law has not yet been appropriated.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN BRADFORD: One of the things I mentioned in the context of the RACP proposal is that the Legislature has put laws in place that then prohibit us from dealing with it. My understanding is, there's a PlanCon moratorium. Is that a fair assessment as to what the culpability of this body, this General Assembly has, in terms of the ability to use PlanCon as a possible approach to deal with school construction issues?

SECRETARY RIVERA: There is currently a moratorium on the old PlanCon process. But yes, PlanCon is currently in a moratorium.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN BRADFORD: Right.

And my understanding is, we used to use PlanCon as kind of a cash flow. We did it on an annual basis in an appropriation. We failed to do that for so many years that

we actually had to issue debt. And what we used to use PlanCon for on an annual basis to do that year project now is really a debt service payment to deal with the backlog of PlanCon projects that we didn't fund for many years. Is that a fair assessment?

SECRETARY RIVERA: That is. We currently have a bond to meet all of our past PlanCon obligations while putting a moratorium on new projects.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN BRADFORD: Okay.

Let me just wrap up now. And I know that the Chairman stepped away, and I don't want to over take my time, so I am cognizant.

I think we need to be cognizant not playing this kind of whack-a-mole where we kind of hide the fact there is a real challenge. We all recognize it. The gentlelady, to her credit, recognized it is very problematic in her county.

We should not find ways not to do this. We need to find ways as a General Assembly to do this. And unfortunately, because we have been unable to deal with revenue in this body, borrowing may have to be a part of that equation. In fact, when you're dealing with a potential billion-dollar problem, maybe a \$10 billion problem, frankly, in light of our financial situation, even if it's a \$100 million problem, short of borrowing, I don't

know how this body is going to respond to a problem with this far of a reach.

And I think it is, it is frankly necessary for this Legislature to get real with the challenge. We are sending kids into schools that we know have asbestos in them, have lead in them, and have the ability to make them sick. So to play this game like we have all these legislative reasons why we can't do it, we are the creator of those very legislative hindrances.

We have a moral obligation to our children to get about the business of removing these hindrances and get a plan in place. Whether it's the billion-dollar RACP proposal or whatever it's going to be, we have that obligation. We have that power. In fact, we have been given that responsibility by the people of Pennsylvania, and I think it's about time we get about doing it.

Thank you, Secretary.

SECRETARY RIVERA: Thank you, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE DUNBAR: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And as you said, the Chairman did have to step out, so I'm going to have to step in.

I don't think any games are being played here. I think what we're trying to get is answers. This is not about whether to do something or not to do something. It's not about sending kids to schools with asbestos and lead.

1 Nobody wants to do that. Let's all be honest about this.

But there are rules in place, and there always have been rules in place, and for me, I don't understand, first off, why we're even going through RACP, because RACP has very specific rules about projects having to be delineated and specified.

I believe you had said to Representative White about a stand-alone line item. Is that how you referred to it, Secretary? Can you expound on that a little bit?

SECRETARY RIVERA: So it would be a billion dollars in RACP that would not be distributed through the traditional RACP, you know, line item. So we would be using the RACP funds---

REPRESENTATIVE DUNBAR: So why are we even using RACP? Why are we even using RACP? I mean, because it does create legislative problems that we would have to change the rules of RACP, and if we're changing the rules for RACP, those rules are in RACP for a specific reason, I'm sure over years that they were established. Why can't we just, you know, talk legislatively about some other debt issuance if that's what we're referring to? Why are we using RACP?

SECRETARY RIVERA: It's the pathway the Governor deemed could get the funding out to schools as quickly as possible.

REPRESENTATIVE DUNBAR: And I will say that there are other alternatives, not that anybody is opposed and no games are being played.

2.1

MINORITY CHAIRMAN BRADFORD: No. And I don't mean to imply there is games being played. I want to hear what those alternatives are. Let's get about doing this and addressing these schools.

REPRESENTATIVE DUNBAR: Because I know that even in this Committee, this has come up and the legislation came up and it was tried to amend into a bill, and I argued against the amendment, flat out saying that that's not what RACP is designed for. RACP was not designed for schools, and it's very specific as far as what it's to be for.

I'm not arguing for or against, you know. Nobody wants to send kids to schools with asbestos and lead.

Let's not kid ourselves. We need to find a proper way of doing it, though.

SECRETARY RIVERA: And from my perspective,

Representative, as I see the issue across the State and the

Governor, you know, presents a proposal that we have the

opportunity to come here and discuss with the General

Assembly, for me, if it's a proven methodology to put

funding in the hands of schools as quickly as possible, I'm

all for it. If the General Assembly, as always, wants me

to make myself available to discuss other avenues to

remediate toxicity in schools, I'm for it.

2.1

I saw this, you know, the Governor making this proposal and looking at a specific billion dollars to go out to schools where we know, as mentioned earlier, schools across the Commonwealth are considering or have already closed as a result of lead or asbestos, and that concerns me. So, you know, RACP is one of the means by which the Governor is looking at, you know, allocating and putting those funds out in a thoughtful manner, and I support the recommendation fully.

But at the same time, as with always, every year for the past 5 years, we'll come to the table and make the ask and make recommendations, and if we find a better pathway together to provide the funding for schools to remediate, you know, their physical plan and meet the needs of students, I will make myself available.

REPRESENTATIVE DUNBAR: That sounds good.

And we will move on to Representative Struzzi.

REPRESENTATIVE STRUZZI: Good morning.

SECRETARY RIVERA: Good morning.

REPRESENTATIVE STRUZZI: Last week, after we finished up the Appropriations hearings, I had the opportunity to attend an event sponsored by the Indiana County Chamber of Commerce, and it was a great event, an after-hours event, where they had educators, teachers,

you know, superintendents, principals, come in and meet with the business community and talk about career pathways, internships, and things like that. So I give, I want to take a minute to give some kudos to the Chamber of Commerce and to our local educators in Indiana County.

But I also had the opportunity during that after-hours session to speak with some of the educators and superintendents, knowing that I was going to have this opportunity to speak with you this morning. And I asked them, you know, what are your top concerns, you know, what should we be concerned with and what should we talk about today, and their first was charter school funding. And I'm not going to talk about that, because I know some of my colleagues are going to ask those questions later on.

But their second concern was school security, and I know it's not part of your budget, but the Governor has proposed cutting the safety grants from 60 million down to 15 million, a \$45 million cut, and those funds were just distributed last week. And Indiana County's school districts got a very small portion of that money, and it concerns me when we're proposing to cut it by 45 million that they're going to get even less money. And when they're telling me that that's a top concern of theirs, I'm wondering if it's a top concern of yours.

We see so many mental health needs in our schools

right now. You know, students are stressed, teachers are stressed, because of these security needs. So I have to wonder and question why the Governor would cut \$45 million out of those funds, and I would like to know your thoughts on that.

SECRETARY RIVERA: Representative Struzzi, last week I tried to speculate on this and paid the price of speculation on this funding.

I have no idea. You know, PCCD and the

Administration have conversations around their budget. I

am not involved in those conversations, so I can't speak to

the proposal going to PCCD.

As it relates to school, you know, safety and security, there are a number of initiatives that we are engaging in that is not included in anyone else's budget or portfolio. But, you know, I can share with you that, you know, some of what we're addressing is really working with schools to provide more mental health supports, to look at bringing in service providers into our buildings, to look at professional development and smaller grant opportunities to, you know, to provide those equipment or systems of safety and support in schools.

I didn't ask, and, you know, probably by design,
I didn't want to call them at the end of Friday night and
ask, well, you know, how are you engaged in this to PCCD.

But I don't know how those conversations went.

2.1

REPRESENTATIVE STRUZZI: You would advocate for those funds to stay in the grant program? Because, I mean, they seem so essential to me.

SECRETARY RIVERA: I don't know what the rationale is. I know for us, for example, there are times where we're going to see a reduction in certain line items over the course of the past 5 years, and so I have come to the General Assembly and have said, I understand why, you know, we need to see a reduction, but if you give me more flexibility in another area, I can make up for that. So I don't even know if those conversations are taking place.

REPRESENTATIVE STRUZZI: Okay.

And another big gap that's not really addressed in the budget that I saw or in your testimony, and I see this as a serious impediment for our rural schools, and that's the lack of broadband access in the students' homes.

I know, you know, a lot of our, or all the school districts in Indiana County have a hub within the school district; yet, these kids go home and they can't do their homework assignments because everything today is based online. And I know they can go to the library or maybe to McDonald's or something like that, but that's really not conducive to a good education. So can you talk a little bit about any initiatives that you have to extend that

service from the schools to the homes?

2 SECRETARY RIVERA: Sure.

2.1

So the Governor has a group working on broadband initiatives, and our Deputy Secretary of Libraries sits on that committee. And by design, you know, I chose a team that identified our public libraries, because they are the space that probably know better than anyone else the need, the need for broadband and that type of access in our rural communities. And so we have been making some really strong recommendations around how to improve access to those communities, so we are at the table.

Secondly, this is one of the things that we have seen as a kind of intended/unintended consequence of our PAsmart grants and some of our other technology grants. We have seen intermediate units and local school districts looking to expand access by everything from providing hotspots to mobile apps that provide students that technology when they're home, and not only after school and on weekends, but we're even seeing some of that expand over the summer as well.

So every chance we get, we're looking at tackling the individual divide, and we're a part of the task force for the Governor that is looking at that wholesale.

REPRESENTATIVE STRUZZI: Well, thank you for that.

1	And I also want to thank you for the funding for
2	our public libraries. I know that's very important. But I
3	do see an impediment there as well. Because of the way, I
4	guess, the laws are structured, our Indiana Free Library
5	can only provide services to those living in Indiana or
6	White Township, and again, it doesn't help those rural
7	communities. But it's something to keep in mind, so all
8	right.
9	SECRETARY RIVERA: A great conversation to have.
10	REPRESENTATIVE STRUZZI: All right. Thank you.
11	SECRETARY RIVERA: Thank you, sir.
12	REPRESENTATIVE DUNBAR: Thank you,
13	Representative.
14	Next will be Representative Bullock.
15	REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
16	Good morning, Mr. Secretary. How are you doing
17	today?
18	SECRETARY RIVERA: I'm great. How are you?
19	REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: Great.
20	I have about two sets of questions that I'll ask
21	upfront and then allow you to answer as you feel.
22	The first set of questions is actually a part A
23	and part B, and I'll ask that you actually send in part A
24	so that we can focus on the other parts.
25	Part A would be in reference to your own

Department's diversity and your workforce and the efforts you have made to improve diversity throughout all entry levels, through management and executive levels of your Department.

And the second part of that question is about the diversity of our teacher workforce. There was a report released in 2018 by the Research for Action that states that of the 155,000-plus public school teachers here in Pennsylvania, only 5.6 percent of our teachers are persons of color, and if you look at men of color, it is a little more than 1 percent. And this is concerning, as we know that many of our students, more than 30 percent of our students, are students of color, and that is probably a percentage that has been increasing since then. And the ratio of students to teachers of color remains one of the largest in our country, the disparate ratio there.

And so I would like to know, I have been working with a school called Relay that has been educating a lot of teachers, particularly teachers of color, to work in our schools. What efforts is your Department doing to recruit and retain teachers of color, and what are the things that we can do, like increasing the minimum teacher's salary and other things, to bring those teachers of color to the classroom, as I think it is very important that our students see someone who looks like them but also students

who may not be a person of color have interaction with teachers and leaders of color in the classroom as well.

My second question, as you know, I'm a big proponent of school nutrition, fought to prohibit and stop school lunch shaming in the Commonwealth. Unfortunately, last year we took some steps back by allowing alternate meals. I have promoted school breakfasts and School Breakfast Week, which I believe is this week, and have worked with you and your Department around other efforts to make sure students are receiving proper nutrition in school. I know that I cannot do 1 + 1 or read anything if my stomach is growling, and many of our students are really experiencing, unfortunately, food insecurity.

One of the things that I know is that there are several districts that qualify for the USDA Community Eligibility program. This is a program that allows those districts that reach a certain percentage of students who would be eligible for free or reduced lunch to then apply to the USDA for a district-wide free lunch program for every student and the school district will be reimbursed.

Do you know the number of school districts that remain in the Commonwealth -- I know that your Department has been working closely with districts to encourage them to apply. How many districts remain out of the program that do qualify, and if you can tell or quantify, what

1 amount of dollars are we leaving on the table by not applying for this program and providing those free lunches 2 3 to our students throughout the Commonwealth?

SECRETARY RIVERA: So I'll start off with the diversity question and then move on.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

First, I have to take a moment and commend you. So we have had the conversation on staff diversity for a number of years, and I'll forward you the specific numbers. But we have been discussing this for 5 years in the Department. However, because of your questions, you have made it as a full page in our budget binder, you know, to address it. So you have put it on paper, right? REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: I will find it in the

budget binder.

SECRETARY RIVERA: You can find it in the budget binder.

REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK:

SECRETARY RIVERA: But I'm going to send you our specific numbers as the results. That's improving, but we still have a long way to go.

Teacher diversity. You're right; across the Commonwealth, not only are we struggling with our educator diversity percentages and numbers, but we're struggling with the number and the percentage of teachers getting certified, and we have a significant teacher shortage.

And, you know, you can't really look to address one without the other as you're looking at our evolving, the evolving need in the workforce.

So specifically, we have tackled a number of initiatives over the course of the past year and introduced to our communities, last year I shared that we were tracking, along with the Brashear Academy in Pittsburgh, in looking at their, really tracking along with their success in taking high school students and partnering with the community college and Pitt, you know, to enrich and diversify the teacher pipeline.

This year, we introduced in Philadelphia

Aspiring to Educate, A2E, which is a program we introduced that allows or that has identified high school students to work through the Community College of Philadelphia and a number of identified 4-year institutions in Philly to become fully certified teachers, and then they're guaranteed jobs in Philadelphia. So this has been 1 year of that program.

Now, what's great about the program, one, is we're working to diversify the teacher pipeline. But more importantly, we have a third party that's going to be documenting the process, and using that information, we're going to create a blueprint for any other community in the Commonwealth that wants to replicate, you know, this A2E,

or 2+2+2, you know, credit, or, you know, support a remediation program in their own communities. And superintendents and higher ed communities are really excited about this, because once we create this framework, we'll better know how to invest moving forward.

We also work with the Woodrow Wilson Foundation, and, you know, through a grant from them, we are creating pathways for educators of color to be certified and find jobs in STEM and computer science areas. So we're seeing an infusion of those candidates going into, being educated and going into schools.

But we also realize, programs and funding is half the battle. We're also changing our professional development. We know when we spoke to teachers around, you know, why don't they stay, why are they not choosing prospective teachers, why are they not going in the classroom, salary is number one. And I'm sure this will come up, you know, later on in conversation, which is why when you look at the social service sector, you know, increasing the return on investment for going into these areas is extremely important, and we do that by bringing down, you know, the cost of higher ed.

Secondly, the environment that educators are working in. So if you see our teacher professional development, or TPD, line item, this is where we fund

professional development for superintendents, which is through our Superintendent Academy, to be better leaders and better coaches in their buildings, principals through the Pennsylvania Inspired Leadership Program, and we have infused cultural competency and inclusion practices in our framework so that we can be better leaders in that space, which will ultimately help to recruit and retain, you know, high quality teachers, but specifically our candidates of color. So it's not only about recruiting them, but it's keeping them in those positions, because they're also leaving at an alarming rate.

Food security. I can definitely get to you the number, the specific if you're interested. But about 78 percent of districts who qualify are a part of the Community Eligibility program. This is also an opportunity for me to kind of drop a plug for the work that my colleague, Secretary Miller, has been doing in DHS.

SNAP is a big aspect and a big supporter in our Community Eligibility program in feeding students in some of our most vulnerable programs. That could impact ultimately how many kids we're able to feed using our Federal funds and how we identify those students. And, you know, unless there are some changes that take place, how it was originally introduced has us concerned.

REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: Thank you,

1 Mr. Secretary. I look forward to working with you and your Department further on some school nutrition issues, and I 2 3 appreciate also the work you have been doing around teacher diversity. 4 5 SECRETARY RIVERA: Thank you. 6 REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 7 REPRESENTATIVE DUNBAR: Thank you, 8 Representative. 9 Next will be Representative Culver. 10 REPRESENTATIVE CULVER: Over here. 11 SECRETARY RIVERA: Good morning. 12 REPRESENTATIVE CULVER: Good morning, Secretary 13 Rivera. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 14 I'm a big supporter and strong advocate for early 15 education programs in the Commonwealth, and today I just 16 want to talk a little bit about Early Intervention. 17 home, we are experiencing some issues providing the program to kids and finding a shortfall with funding. 18 19 So in the Governor's budget proposal, there's a 20 total proposal of 325.5 million for Early Intervention, an 2.1 increase of about \$11 million or 3.5 percent. 22 I know in recent years, Early Intervention programs run by intermediate units have experienced growing 23 24 numbers of children requiring multiple services. So the

question comes, is this what's accounting for the increase

25

1 for the line item in this program?

2.1

SECRETARY RIVERA: No. The increase in our line item will provide, will provide services for 2,000 additional children and families. So this is for an actual increase in the number of families served.

REPRESENTATIVE CULVER: And how did the Department determine the need for the 11 million for the additional 2,000?

SECRETARY RIVERA: Yeah. So the recommended additional opportunities is based on a surveying or accounting of what we believe the need is.

REPRESENTATIVE CULVER: So in the past, you have shared with the Committee that there is efforts underway to determine a new formula for allocating State Early Intervention funds to intermediate units, school districts, and other regional providers. Can you share an update on that effort and how it's going?

SECRETARY RIVERA: The committee continues to meet, intermediate unit directors as well as other advocates and members of our team, and it's expected that later this year, towards, you know, into the summer, we should have some recommendations on the table.

REPRESENTATIVE CULVER: So I guess I'm going to ask for a statement and for you to agree or disagree with this statement.

So intermediate units, from my understanding, are simply the contractors, but the Department of Education is ultimately responsible for providing these services to children, Early Intervention.

SECRETARY RIVERA: That's an interesting question. So I'm processing the wording of the question.

So when we look at---

2.1

REPRESENTATIVE CULVER: So it's the Department of Education's responsibility to make sure that these services are out there, and the IU units are merely contractors to the Department.

SECRETARY RIVERA: You can take it that way.

Ultimately, the Department of Education is ultimately responsible for providing FAPE to students who are in special needs. So that could ring true for a number of programs, yes.

REPRESENTATIVE CULVER: So with that said, can you tell me what the Department is doing to help the IUs work through the funding challenges for providing Early Intervention?

SECRETARY RIVERA: So I think, first, with the task force that is working on the per pupil, you know, the costs associated with providing those educational services.

Secondly, we have been working with some intermediate units who feel that the scale of economy in

terms of their caseload and others might not necessarily be aligned and have worked with them on their recommendations to kind of right-size programs where and how needed.

2.1

And then, also support their relationship, the partnerships between local school districts, local providers, and intermediate units, to provide a more holistic set of supports. So if the intermediate unit is not offering a program or a service but there's a district that does or a third-party provider, we work with the intermediate units, if it's an official or unofficial contract, around how to solicit those services.

REPRESENTATIVE CULVER: Okay.

I mean, I would encourage as we go forward to continue working with the IU units. School districts rely heavily on them for the Early Intervention piece of this, and we are identifying children earlier and identifying, you know, multiple needs, hopefully to abate them as they grow older. They wouldn't need the services in the public school system as they age, that we're catching them early and we're making those corrections for the children and building that strong foundation. So I would encourage you to work with them.

Thank you.

SECRETARY RIVERA: Absolutely. Thank you for the questions.

1	REPRESENTATIVE DUNBAR: Thank you,
2	Representative.
3	I wanted to note that we have been joined by a
4	couple Members that are not on the Appropriations
5	Committee. Representative Gillen and Representative Gleim
6	are both here.
7	Our next questions will come from Representative
8	Kinsey.
9	REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
10	Good morning again, Secretary, Deputy
11	Secretaries, and Director.
12	Mr. Secretary, I want to go back and focus on
13	school safety. I know that you talked a little bit about
14	it a little bit earlier with regards to my colleagues
15	bringing up questions.
16	I, too, am concerned about the \$45 million that
17	is being reapportioned, or repurposed, I should say. But
18	if you don't mind, I know that the district has, the
19	Department has a Safe2Say program that we have been
20	operating I think for the past year or two. Safe2Say? I
21	think it comes out of your
22	SECRETARY RIVERA: Safe2Say, that's the Auditor
23	General's program. Yep.
24	REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Do you work with the
25	Auditor General on that?

```
1
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: We do work with the Auditor
       General on the identified cases---
 2
 3
                 REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Attorney General.
 4
       sorry.
 5
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: Attorney General. Attorney
 6
       General.
 7
                 REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Right; right. Thanks.
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: Excuse me. Yes, Attorney
 8
       General, for the record.
 9
10
                 REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Right.
11
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: ---as cases come up.
12
                 REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Sure.
13
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: You know, we might, but not at
14
       an organic wholesale level.
15
                 REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: So, Mr. Secretary, let me
16
       ask this, though: Since we're talking about school safety,
17
       and even though it comes under the Auditor General's
      purview, do you receive data from the Auditor General? Are
18
19
       you able to review data from the Auditor General, and if
20
       so, can you say a little bit about what we're seeing with
2.1
       the Safe2Say program?
22
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: So, yes, we have received data
23
       on the number of, on the number of calls and remediation of
24
      the program. And I have to keep saying Attorney General,
25
      Attorney General, Attorney General.
```

1 REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Right.

2.1

SECRETARY RIVERA: But one of the lessons learned in that space and that we're currently working on with the members of his team is the definition of, you know, the occurrences.

You know, one of the things that, well, one of the findings, the hotline, the hotline was created to address issues of safety and violence. But again, as with many of the other lessons we learned, many of those calls are coming in around the need for mental health support, so how do we react and respond to the mental health needs of our students and the families who are involved.

Secondly, and I hate to get into the nuance of, you know, bullying versus harassment versus, you know, the different safety, but why are we working on those definitions? Because it will allow us to steer resources and response much more accurately.

So, you know, one of the things that our team has been doing in that space, and with other stakeholders, is just coming together and creating common language---

REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Right.

SECRETARY RIVERA: ---around the findings and the service, but we do share and collect data.

REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: I appreciate you sharing that.

Mr. Secretary, one of the things we have talked about in the past, in fact, I know many of us in this room on both sides of the aisle have legislation as it relates to bullying, and what we're seeing is that, we're seeing an increase of incidents of bullying. And again, I know the legislation that we have, it's not up to you, it's up to us as a General Assembly to hopefully act upon it, especially since it's bipartisan legislation.

So as we sort of, I don't want to say as we sit, but as we continue to try to move forward to try to address that, in your opinion, in your professional opinion, are there enough resources that you have that we are giving you to actually address and combat bullying?

SECRETARY RIVERA: Yeah. You know, and being honest, Representative Kinsey, not only do we not have the amount of resources needed and, you know, kind of appropriate those instances to the right places, but there's a need in schools as well. Even when we call a school because there's a report and we work through the iterations of how to better provide that system of support to families and to that student, it's creating a real need at the school level.

You know, I mentioned that at one point in time, but it's a real, it's a real reality we're living in now. When I meet with industry partners, they're upset because

our counselors aren't spending enough time on job training. When I meet with health professionals, they're upset with us because our counselors aren't spending enough time on health and wellness. When we meet with mental health service providers, they're upset because our counselors are not spending enough time on mental health issues, and that doesn't even get into core selection and the real, you know, kind of vocation of what counselors used to do.

And so as we're engaging with schools and communities around the need to address some of these issues, and I know when we look at Safe2Say, for example, you know, 40,000 referrals, 40,000 hits. And as we work with them and schools call us, like, what do we do in response, we don't have personnel that engage specifically in that area. We provide professional development.

REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Sure.

SECRETARY RIVERA: But schools don't have the personnel sometimes to, you know, engage in all of those instances and those cases.

Now, the Governor is proposing and we're working with our partners and stakeholders to create a certificate for school social workers to try to get, you know, social workers that are trained and school supports in place, but that's just, you know, one, you know, one remediation, one instance of what we have to do.

1 REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Sure. I appreciate that, Mr. Secretary. My time is up, 2 3 but I just hope that what you shared is echoing throughout the Chambers, that as we see bullying incidents, bullying 5 increase and that, we really take an action, a serious 6 action, to work with you and try to address it. 7 Thank you, Mr. Secretary. Thank you, Mr. Chair. 8 SECRETARY RIVERA: Thank you, sir. 9 REPRESENTATIVE DUNBAR: Thank you, 10 Representative. 11 Next will be Representative Greiner. 12 REPRESENTATIVE GREINER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 13 Good morning, Secretary Rivera---14 SECRETARY RIVERA: Good morning. 15 REPRESENTATIVE GREINER: --- and the other Secretaries, Deputy Secretaries. 16 17 I have the privilege of being in a school in a district where I have a school district, Lampeter-Strasburg 18 19 School District, that has an outstanding ag education 20 program. And we have a couple of those in Lancaster 2.1 County, but I will tell you that when I visited their 22 program to learn about what the kids are learning in 23 agriculture, it's pretty impressive. 24 And it kind of segues, we talk about job creation 25 and good-paying jobs. It leads me to, the recent changes

to the Public School Code created the Commission for Agricultural Education Excellence and the Commission under the concurrent authority of Agriculture and, of course, Education. And it requires both Departments to provide staff to assist the Commission with its duties, and just a couple questions.

2.1

What is the status of this commission and the efforts to enhance agriculture education -- I will tell you, I probably should have consulted with LS first, but they should come down and look at their program. It's amazing. Even I was blown away by how impressive it is. But to enhance agriculture education across the Commonwealth. And as I said, especially given the Department's focus, current focus, on workforce development and the next generation of farmers, I mean, the number-one industry in the State, what can we do? Where are we at with that?

SECRETARY RIVERA: Sure.

So I have been to LS, and every time I see their superintendent, which is often, being, you know, still living in Lancaster County, he reminds me of a few things that I need to consider as we move forward.

We have a full person assigned to the work on this commission. But Matt, if I can't make a commission meeting, Deputy Secretary Matt Stem attends practically all

the commissions. So I'm not going to steal his thunder on this one. There has been a lot of really positive changes.

I'm going to ask him just to kind of share an update.

2.1

DEPUTY SECRETARY STEM: Sure. And I'll be brief to honor your time.

So it has been a pleasure to be a part of the Commission on Agricultural Education Excellence. A couple of things to note.

One is, we have actually, there are more approved ag ed programs in the Commonwealth now than there were just a few years ago. Our team has approved all ag ed programs, you know, that have come our way.

The second thing to acknowledge is that we have been able, through the Commission, to get some early wins. So one of the things the Commission asked the Department to look into, and it was myself and actually Deputy Secretary Ortega's team, we looked at ways to take some barriers out of the way for certification requirements for teachers that want to teach in ag programs, and that was directly as a result of the Commission pushing the Department to look at our own policies.

And then third, I would just say that we have had programs like LS and Penn Manor and others come to commission meetings, share what they are doing, and one of the things we have learned is that agriculture education is

a lot more than farming, and the students that are coming through our programs are learning other schools like ag business schools, marketing, and it really is a pathway beyond what folks think of in terms of ag education.

So the Commission is running strong. We have committed resources, and we'll continue to partner closely with PDA and those on the Commission.

REPRESENTATIVE GREINER: Yeah. You know, and it's funny you talk about that. Penn Manor is not in my district, but I know they have a great program.

And what's interesting, at the Farm Show, we even talked about the school that was in North Philly, if I'm not mistaken, it was North Philly, and how the children, the kids, are really -- it has actually helped them in their learning when they end up getting an ag focus. And as you said, it's just not farming. There's small engine repair. There's horticulture. It's just amazing and very impressive.

As far as the Commission, do we have an accounting, though, of what staff positions have been funded and filled to date and also what the requirements are of this particular act?

DEPUTY SECRETARY STEM: Sure. And we'd be happy to get back to you with some additional details.

Right now, we did have a transition with the

2.1

1 Executive Director position, so there's an Acting Executive Director that just filled the position of the former 2 3 Executive Director who had, you know, who had moved on, and a clerical position as well. But PDA does have funding set 5 aside that they run back through the Department, and then 6 we as the Department engage in the hiring. 7 So those are the two positions right now. 8 recognize that there are other positions that are listed in 9 the statute pending available appropriations. So, you know, we are open to exploring those additional positions, 10 11 but right now we're working with the funding that PDA makes 12 available through our MOU. REPRESENTATIVE GREINER: Well, I appreciate 13 14 that. I appreciate you being here today. I do think it's 15 an area where we could get a lot of bang for our buck. 16 really firmly believe that, so. 17 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 REPRESENTATIVE DUNBAR: Thank you, 19 Representative. 20 I want to note that we have been joined by the 2.1 Speaker of the House, Mike Turzai. 22 Next will be Representative Sanchez. 23 REPRESENTATIVE SANCHEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 24 Mr. Secretary, welcome. Welcome to the Deputy

Secretaries and team. Thank you for being here.

25

I wanted to circle back just a little bit on the lead issue in the school districts. You probably saw at the end of November -- I'm sure you saw it -- testing revealed excess lead concentrations in more than 100 buildings in over 30 Pennsylvania school districts -- vocational, technical, and charter schools and other public education buildings. I respectfully submit, this probably also includes, at least down in my area, the PFAS chemical, you know, in eastern Montgomery County. We know there's problems with asbestos and mold as well.

I know we talked about the funding for some of this remediation earlier, but I would like to know on a different point, does the Department of Education mandate or track the results of this testing? And as a corollary to that, are steps being taken to make sure that people understand their exposure level to the risk, you know, specifically maybe parents that didn't catch the news articles or, you know, teachers obviously working in the buildings, as you touched on?

SECRETARY RIVERA: It is mandated by law to test for lead in the water and, if schools do test, to make that information available on our website. If they don't test, at least a record in the board minutes that they have not tested and an explanation as to why. That's as far as it's pushed by legislation. But I know we're meeting later in

the week with some Members of the General Assembly who are interested in having a deeper conversation around what it would take to go a little deeper.

2.1

I will also share with you that not specific to toxic remediation, but one of the conversations around the PlanCon process was providing feasibility updates around, you know, the infrastructure of school districts and providing the process to do that. Historically, I mean, that is costly.

REPRESENTATIVE SANCHEZ: Mm-hmm.

SECRETARY RIVERA: So unless you're going to engage in a process, you know, most school districts don't, you know, won't do it. They'll try to tie that in as part of the package and get the service for free. But one of the conversations that we had through the PlanCon Commission and that could be an opportunity is providing feasibility studies through, you know, through the projects as we move forward.

So in full transparency, we worked around the periphery of what we can do legally and tried to maximize that. But, you know, just based on the general consensus we're hearing of many Members of the General Assembly, now is the time to have a deeper conversation around formalizing that.

REPRESENTATIVE SANCHEZ: And, you know, I speak

from a couple of school districts in my area that are undergoing renovations in many of the schools, you know, independent of PlanCon, which is obviously, you know, not funded or underfunded.

SECRETARY RIVERA: Yeah.

2.1

REPRESENTATIVE SANCHEZ: So it would be prudent, you know, if the awareness level was there so that they could build that into the plans of remediation. I mean, there are ambitious plans for water bottle refilling stations, all those kind of good, sustainable stuff.

SECRETARY RIVERA: Mm-hmm.

REPRESENTATIVE SANCHEZ: But if the water coming out of there, you know, if it would have made sense to put a filter on it before the walls are enclosed and those types of things, it's, you know, a whole different animal.

So I would encourage you to keep, you know, within the bounds of the law and pushing for further things for that, for that level of awareness, because I think with the public pressure, even if it's back, you know, on the taxpayers in the district, people might choose clean water above all else, so.

Thank you.

SECRETARY RIVERA: Thank you, sir.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: I want to also recognize we have been joined by a non-Member of the

1 Appropriations Committee here to observe today. Carol Hill-Evans is also with us. 2 I also wanted to recognize, I didn't recognize 3 them earlier, but the members of the FFA are here. The 4 5 students are here. Welcome, and I hope you don't get too 6 bored by our hearings and our questions. But welcome 7 anyway. 8 We'll move on to Representative Wheeland. 9 REPRESENTATIVE WHEELAND: Thank you, 10 Mr. Chairman. 11 And, Mr. Secretary, thank you very much for your 12 time today. 13 In the Governor's budget proposal, he proposed an 14 unfunded mandate on school districts for universal free 15 full-day kindergarten. Now, last year the Governor proposed a study on this subject. Was the study ever 16 17 commissioned on this unfunded mandate? 18 SECRETARY RIVERA: Yes. The funding was 19 commissioned, and the draft of the report, we have received 20 it. REPRESENTATIVE WHEELAND: And who conducted this 2.1 22 study? 23 SECRETARY RIVERA: AIR, the American Institutes

25 REPRESENTATIVE WHEELAND: Okay. When -- or you

24

for Research.

```
1
       say it's in draft form currently right now?
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: It's in progress and being
 2
 3
       finalized right now. Mm-hmm.
                 REPRESENTATIVE WHEELAND: Any idea on completion,
 4
 5
      when it will be---
 6
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: Summer. Definitely by the end
 7
       of this school year---
                 REPRESENTATIVE WHEELAND: Good.
 8
 9
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: --- the final report will be
10
       available.
11
                 REPRESENTATIVE WHEELAND: And it will be
12
      available. It will be released to the public?
13
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: Our intention is to release it
14
      to the public, yes.
15
                 REPRESENTATIVE WHEELAND: Okay. And if that's
      the case, hopefully we get a copy also?
16
17
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: I'll send you over a copy. I
18
      promise.
19
                 REPRESENTATIVE WHEELAND: I would appreciate it
20
       very, very much.
2.1
                 Do you know if this report or this study that was
22
      commissioned, did it allow or does it take into account
23
       school districts that don't have the physical plant to
24
       expand full day?
25
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: It was one of the
```

parameters---

2.1

2 REPRESENTATIVE WHEELAND: That was part of the 3 report also.

SECRETARY RIVERA: It was one of the parameters we included to be -- to be included, yes.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEELAND: Do you know if there's going to be any recommendations on how school districts are going to be able to fund this?

SECRETARY RIVERA: And when we look at -- when we looked at the Governor's recommendation, the proposal, to mandate full-day K across the Commonwealth, there are a number -- so what he has done, what he's doing, and we'll continue to have the conversation with the General Assembly, is a window. So not a hard set in terms of when it's expected to start, but to provide an opportunity for hardship clauses. So space, accounting, changing populations, all of those can be used as a hardship to not, you know, not have to institute right away. So there will be a window to address all of those issues as part of the Governor's proposal.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEELAND: Okay. Thank you very much, Mr. Secretary. I look forward to that report.

SECRETARY RIVERA: Absolutely. Thank you, sir.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Our next questioner is

25 Representative Schweyer.

1 REPRESENTATIVE SCHWEYER: Thank you,

2 Mr. Chairman.

2.1

Mr. Secretary, hello. We are no strangers to each other. You spend a tremendous amount of time in the Allentown School District, for which I am very grateful. You have done incredible stuff to try to help our financially strapped school district.

In the 5 minutes that we have, I could touch upon a whole host of things. If people want to hear me talk about the building that about a thousand middle school kids go to school in every day that was built in 1871, we can do that. I could talk about the schools in my district and throughout the Allentown School District that have lead paint and asbestos. We can certainly do that. We have no shortage of concerns addressing the third largest school district in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, 17,000 kids, roughly 90 percent of whom are children of color, and of those 17,000 kids, most importantly, two of them are mine.

But I'm going to take a slightly different tack and talk a little bit and ask some questions about the proposed charter school reform.

As you know, the Allentown School District has some of the highest charter school penetration in the entire Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and of our \$330 million annual budget, over \$60 million of which is

sent out to charter schools in terms of tuition and support. Is there any wonder that we're constantly facing a double-digit annual deficit.

1.3

2.1

However, the Administration has proposed some pretty interesting reforms. I would like you to talk about them. But specifically, Mr. Secretary, if you and your staff can address the fact that there's an understanding that charter schools do not impact all 500 school districts equally. There are some school districts, like mine, that have significant charter school presence and others that don't. How would your Administration's proposals address those districts that are higher impacted by charter schools?

SECRETARY RIVERA: Thank you for the question.

Over the course of the past 5 years, we have had many conversations around charter schools and charter school reform. And, you know, I think it's always important to start off the conversation with, you know, a reminder that public charter schools are public schools and, you know, fall within the confines of School Code and, you know, the expectations we have set.

And there was a need and there continues to be a space for charter public schools. However, many of the recommendations we are making are a result of a really outdated and old charter school law. So it's really

looking to right-size and learn from the lessons that we have learned over the course of the past few years.

And so there absolutely is a larger proportionate share to some school districts of charter costs than others. Specifically, if you have more kids or more children with special needs attending a charter school, you're going to pay a higher proportionate share than schools or school districts that have a lower percentage.

First, you know, one of the biggest recommendations we're making, and probably getting, you know, the most attention, is looking specifically at the special education formula for charter schools. A number of years ago, you know, over 3 years ago, the General Assembly passed the special education formula for all traditional public schools, and we allocate special ed funds based on the level of need per student.

At that time, we did not, the General Assembly and the Administration did not institute that same formula for charter schools. So as a result, when you look at, you know, specifically when you look at, you know, a charter school that might be serving a Level 1 student, so a student may be receiving 45 minutes a day, you know, of service a year, and, you know, they're being reimbursed on the average or the same rate of a Level 1 or a Level 3 student, which could be \$100,000-plus a year. And the

payment from the traditional public school is just that average proportionate share of that, whereas when we reimburse school districts, we look at, with new special education funding, we look at the level of funding, the level of need for that student before we provide that special education reimbursement.

The Governor is also proposing a single fee for cyber charter school students. So, you know, currently if you're, you know, a cyber charter school student in, you know, one district that has a lower per-pupil cost, you could be reimbursed for upwards of \$11,000 per child, and in the same cyber charter school, you know, for the same program in another district, you could be reimbursed for \$20,000 per child.

And so when we look at specifically some of the recommendations that, you know, the Governor is making there, they have just been recommendations that have not been taken into consideration as we have learned and adapted and adopted new policy for public schools.

And, you know, there are some other, you know, proposals that I have asked for; so, for example, the redirect fee to change the system for redirection. Often we find, the Department finds itself in the middle of having to remediate between the billing, the invoices of a charter school and the sending school, and there are cases

1 where we have to put a team in place to review accounting for under a dollar and sometimes it's thousands of dollars. 2 3 In my opinion, we should set conditions that the charter school and the public school have to work together to 5 remediate and to figure out those invoices and not pull the 6 Department of Ed, who really doesn't have, you know, the 7 personnel to facilitate, you know, just to kind of do that themselves at home. 8 9 REPRESENTATIVE SCHWEYER: Very good. Thank you, 10 sir. 11 SECRETARY RIVERA: Thank you. 12 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Our next questioner is 13 Representative Brown. 14 REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 15 And good morning, Mr. Secretary and Deputy 16 Secretaries. 17 SECRETARY RIVERA: We see each other a number of 18 times in a year. 19 REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Yes. 20 Good morning. Thank you both, and all of you, 2.1 for being here this morning. 22 A couple of questions. 23 A couple years ago, as you know, we did the 24 Basic Education Funding Commission, and we have established

a new funding formula which has helped many districts, but

25

as you know, we still have some more work to do. So anytime we're looking at dollars and new appropriations, my mindset is always, could we use some of these dollars towards getting us into even a stronger position with that funding formula.

The only new education line item that I see requested is a \$7 million appropriation called "Transfer to Empowerment," which would provide funding for assistance to school districts in financial recovery or watch status.

So the past several years, the School Code has already allowed the Department to utilize up to \$7 million for undistributed funds or uncommitted funds for this, for grants or subsidies just for this very reason. So what is the need for the additional appropriation?

SECRETARY RIVERA: Yeah. Great, great question.

When we look at our empowerment, or schools that are deemed eligible for recovery under the empowerment line item, those are schools that meet a certain criteria, you know, set by the General Assembly and the Administration, so there's a process behind those schools. And this is the funding we use to provide systems of support, you know, kind of boots on the ground to help them engage in everything from, you know, level setting accounting to facilitating the report.

We used to be able -- we are able to use

2.1

carryover funds year to year. Over the course of the past 5 years, you know, the collective "we," all of us, we have identified more schools in recovery or watch. So we're not as confident as we used to be that we're going to have the funding and carryover, year-to-year carryover, to meet that obligation. So we're just asking to create an appropriation for the empowerment, for an empowerment line item, that will support the schools that the General Assembly and the Administration identify as in need of recovery or watch.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Okay. And so with that, according to this year's State accounting system, there is nearly 200 million in unexpended funds from prior PDE budgets that's still available. Can you transfer any of these dollars to the empowerment restricted fund, and if so, you know, the details of that 200 million as well, if you can't transfer that, you know, what are we doing with that 200 million as well, as I dig for further funds, of course.

SECRETARY RIVERA: Yeah, of course. And I'll let Danielle kind of -- some of it will go to, you know, some of it was answered with the question we answered earlier in terms of the spreadsheet, but she can share the nuance around transfer.

DIRECTOR MARIANO: So again, the \$7 million line

item is not a request for additional funds but that it would be a line item rather than the remainder or the carryover, as the Secretary pointed out.

Some of that money can be transferred, others cannot. And the balances we have, that we refer to them as "subsidy balances," are typically, I believe, what is permitted to be moved for the purpose of empowerment. And those dollars also go to other needs as well, making adjustments to, you know, various, from audits, paying PRRI schools which must be audited before they are paid. So a lot of it can be a timing issue in terms of available dollars. Those are numbers that frequently change, and depending on when you're looking at them, and as he pointed out, we have discussed the fact that what's waived on the waiver then often is both committed and available balance.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: But, so there really, there still is 200 million that has not been utilized, and it could change. Like you said, the numbers can change throughout the year depending on when you look at it.

DIRECTOR MARIANO: So I think we said that we would provide a more detailed accounting of the balances that exist and the requirements around them. I think that might get to your question.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Yeah. That would be very helpful. Thank you. I know it's a big question for the

short period of time that we have.

2.1

And my time is almost up, but I'm going to ask one other question. I know the Deputy Secretaries worked with me on this as far as AEDs, automatic external defibrillators.

We do have a current registry that we are supposed to have fulfilled by the school districts as far as who has it and who doesn't. And I know this has been a constant battle, but I know it doesn't appear to be any sort of responsibility to reply from the school districts whether or not they have an AED or they don't on site. Is there any thought or any works on this?

SECRETARY RIVERA: Do you want to take this?

DEPUTY SECRETARY STEM: Sure.

No; thank you for that question. And again, this falls into the same category of keeping kids safe being our top priority.

You know, as you have shared with us and we have worked together, that legislation comes from a tragic situation that happened with a student. And so what I can tell you is that our reporting is improving. One of the things that we have made a priority in that division is to improve the reporting from our schools.

You are correct in saying that we don't have -- there is no penalty provision for a district that doesn't

report, but our team has taken it upon themselves to be appropriately aggressive in soliciting those reports from schools. And we would be happy to follow up with you on that, but our reporting is improving.

2.1

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Thank you. And any way that I can help in this effort is something that, it's very important. Thank you so much.

DEPUTY SECRETARY STEM: Yeah. Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Our next questioner is Representative Comitta.

REPRESENTATIVE COMITTA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Good morning, Secretary Rivera and your staff
team.

I have a question for you about our public libraries. And first I want to thank the Governor and you for the additional funding for our public libraries in last year's budget.

And I have a question about where that funding is this year, and I also have a question about funding possibilities for libraries like our schools, where we're having needed repairs, toxic remediation, and so on.

So our public libraries, as we know, are great, good places in our communities, and they are often also a lifeline for many people. They provide information needs for economic development and critical programming and

resources to meet the education needs not only of our children but of our adults and seniors.

So as I said, thank you for the extra funding last year. Could you talk a little bit about why there is not additional funding for public libraries this year, what the Governor and the Department plan to do to help the libraries, public libraries across Pennsylvania this year, and could you talk a little bit about plans for remediation for facilities, these libraries where many of our children spend a lot of time, just like our schools.

SECRETARY RIVERA: Mm-hmm.

So first, in terms of the increase, this is an opportunity for me to thank you, the General Assembly, for requesting the increase in our line item budget to provide \$5 million more to libraries.

This year, the Governor, funding the same as he did last year, did include those additional funds again for another year. So the increase requested by the General Assembly is again included in the Governor's proposal.

I don't have a specific answer around -- there's a fund that we provide to libraries for updates, upgrades, and to address, you know, their construction and facility needs. I don't have a one-pager specific to that in my big, thick binder here, but we do review that year to year together and approve that line item. So what I can do,

that appropriation, what I can do is make sure to forward you that list of who has received capital funds in our libraries.

2.1

And, you know, I can also close by sharing, we have really elevated our, you know, our use and our partnership with libraries, not only through the traditional sense. But we have two libraries this year that have partnered with the Department of Labor and Industry to provide CareerLinks in their libraries for community members, and those are partnerships that of course, you know, I want to build upon, because it is an issue, an opportunity, for access for community members.

As part of the broadband growth, the libraries have taken a leadership position and a role in that space, especially in our rural communities, and we look for every opportunity to expand their use in that space.

And this year, the library, you know, representing the Department of Ed, has been a tremendous significant partner in the Census outreach. Because as we know, this year is going to be the first year the Census is available online, and so in many of those cases, if a community doesn't have access, if a family doesn't have access for connectivity, we're going to, you know, really again highlight our libraries by being that space that community members can go to to be counted, you know, and

1 engaged.

2.1

And so there's always an opportunity for us to continue to advocate for more resources for our libraries, because each and every year, we make a case and we continue to show how dynamic of partners our librarians are and just the multifaceted systems of support they provide to our communities.

Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE COMITTA: Thank you very much.

Yeah, the libraries are real partners---

SECRETARY RIVERA: They are.

REPRESENTATIVE COMITTA: ---with our schools, with our public schools and beyond, and they need to be, all of them need to be ADA accessible, safe, very similar to access for all.

So thank you so much for everything that you are doing, and thanks very much, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY RIVERA: Thank you for all that you do.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Representative Topper.

REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: Good morning,

Mr. Secretary. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

You had mentioned earlier about our charter reform laws being outdated, and, you know, I couldn't agree more. And I guess the frustrating part of that statement is that, you know, the House, every term that I have been

here, has passed significant charter reform, and yet, you know, it's still not law. And as a guy who has been in the negotiating rooms in many of these instances with all of the stakeholder groups, which is incredibly difficult, you know, to pull everybody together and try and get a product that we can get through each body and then signed by the Governor. And then I feel like we are constantly backtracking and going over the same ground time and time and time again, and so it is frustrating.

2.1

But again, the House has shown that we can actually move this out of the Chamber, and so I think we need a little bit of help from the Administration to see some of this get across the goal line.

Now, we have heard for many years now from education groups that charter schools have overidentified or falsely identified special education students, maybe to, you know, up their funding, but I think the Department is responsible for monitoring that. Is that correct, for special education, the identification process?

SECRETARY RIVERA: We monitor the process of identification.

REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: Right.

SECRETARY RIVERA: And so if there's something egregious, you know, we can flag it. But, you know, there's a lot of nuance in the identification process.

REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: About how many of those egregious -- I mean, how many are flagged? Do we have any kind of numbers in terms of, because I know one of the elements is, you know, trying to shape how we do special education funding, and I just want to make sure that we have our facts straight in terms of the auditing of the charter schools and, you know, how many it has been found that are mishandling those special education identification processes.

SECRETARY RIVERA: So what would happen in terms of our process, if it's believed by a parent, a community member, a school district, a charter school, that there's a misidentification of students, we can go in and review their special education folders. If IEPs are lapsed, we can go in and review the IEP, you know, the caseload, to make sure that there aren't expired IEPs.

If a family believes they are not receiving free and appropriate education, we can go in and solicit that, that information, and then, you know, make a determination as, you know, as is expected.

I think the bigger issue that we identify
through this proposal is not necessarily the identification
of students but it's the fact that what we worked to
address and we're continuing to discuss through the
Special Education Commission is that there's a formula for

allocation to a Level 1 student versus a Level 3 student, and through the formula, we're just asking that all public charter schools are allocated special education funds through the same formula.

2.1

So I haven't even tried to address, because I can't justify whether or not there's overidentification, but what we can show numbers around is the---

REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: But that's an important thing to note, because it's something that every room I walk into, and look, I have toured all the -- I have 10 public school districts in my district, traditional K through 12.

SECRETARY RIVERA: Yeah.

REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: I have gone into charter schools, private schools that are using the EITC and OSTC dollars, and, you know, just making sure that everything we're providing as tax dollars are going to help students.

And so I just, I struggle to find sometimes this, you know, the Moby-Dick that's out there about these special education students that are constantly, you know, falsely identified, and I'm just trying to get numbers one way or the other to help us forward.

You had said in response to the gentleman from Allentown's question, you had talked about public charters are still public schools.

SECRETARY RIVERA: Public charters by School Code are public schools.

2.1

REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: Right, they are still public schools. So I want to get to the proposal by the Governor to institute a fee for charter schools when they access departmental services.

SECRETARY RIVERA: That's the redirection.

REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: And I guess, do we not have enough staff to adequately -- well, what would be the fee? What would the fee be for? Let's put it that way.

SECRETARY RIVERA: And I'll share what a redirection is.

Currently, by law, if a school district is default in paying the charter school bill, the charter school submits the bill to PDE, the invoice to PDE. PDE pays the invoice, no questions asked, then pays the invoice, and then the school district has to appeal. It's a long and cumbersome process.

We have received invoices for under a dollar, as I shared, and so we have to engage in that same process if it's a dollar or if it's \$10,000. My thought, and I will share with you, the reason I support the fee is not because, one, I would never have enough staff. I mean, literally we pull everyone to kind of do this work. We need a number of more staff folks, and I have always said

to this body that I would rather ask for more money for schools than for more money for staff to do clerical work.

My intent is not to generate revenue through the fees. My

intent is to incentivize better behavior.

2.1

School districts and charter schools should be figuring this out themselves instead of sending us an invoice that we automatically have to pay and then, you know, assigning someone to be an arbitrator if the district doesn't agree and challenges the fee. And, you know, there are times where this process has gone on years.

REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: Mm-hmm.

SECRETARY RIVERA: And it has cost us more than what the actual redirect is. And so, you know, I would love to streamline that process so that the two entities have to work it out and we're not pulling staff and manpower to figure out basic accounting.

REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: All right.

And I think, I think part of that cooperation will come together when we stop as policymakers and people who have the bully pulpit stop pitting our educational opportunities against one another.

SECRETARY RIVERA: I agree.

REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: I mean, every child is different and every child has the right to have an opportunity for a high-quality education, and we just need

1 to make sure those options are out there.

2 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2.1

SECRETARY RIVERA: Thank you, sir.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: The next questioner is Representative Flynn.

REPRESENTATIVE FLYNN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you, Mr. Secretary, for being here today.

SECRETARY RIVERA: Good morning.

REPRESENTATIVE FLYNN: One of the prior speakers brought up the point and said no one wants to send kids to school with lead and asbestos, but the sad reality is, we are. We're sending them to schools with lead and asbestos. The question is, do we as a body want to invest in the remediation of these schools?

There's lead and asbestos in 22 of 28 schools in my school district in Scranton, and given Scranton's already substantial underfunding, how can the district be expected to afford the massive infrastructural investment to abate asbestos and remediate lead without any other State funding?

SECRETARY RIVERA: I think the Governor, you know, and the team, the Administration, and, you know, many or most Members of the General Assembly, agree on the need, you know, to provide a system of support to remediate, you know, toxicity in schools.

School districts, many school districts as they have reported to us, do not have the funding, do not have the funding immediately available to remediate for lead and asbestos, and as a result, kids are missing school, families are missing school, and in many cases, they could be attending schools that are in need of serious remediation.

2.1

REPRESENTATIVE FLYNN: So if the Governor's plan isn't adopted, the RACP plan, I know that we have to change rules, and I know as was previous stated, we would have to change rules to do this. I thought that was our job as lawmakers to actually change laws and change rules. Is there any plans, other plans if this RACP isn't adopted? Will the Scranton School District get money without it?

SECRETARY RIVERA: So this is the Governor's plan. You know, we're the agency that is responsible for serving as the facilitator and pass-through of the Governor's proposal and the General Assembly's, you know, voted-upon budget, and if the General Assembly doesn't appropriate us funds to send to our districts to remediate, there aren't funds for districts to remediate.

REPRESENTATIVE FLYNN: Well, Mr. Secretary, I think we as a body have to step up to the plate here one way or another and make sure that no kids have to go to school where there's lead and asbestos, where their parents

have to worry about their safety physically by going to school in these kind of toxic environments. So I hope my compatriots on the other side of the aisle feel the same way.

Thank you.

2.1

SECRETARY RIVERA: Thank you, sir.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Representative Fritz.

REPRESENTATIVE FRITZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And thank you, Mr. Secretary, for being here today. Pleased to see you.

Mr. Secretary, a portion of my district benefits greatly from responsible natural gas development, and the resulting effect has been a growth in wealth for a prior to, somewhat economically depressed area and a large and varying array of family-sustaining jobs, and perhaps most significantly, a very real reversal of brain drain.

My district, Mr. Secretary, really is a wonderful example of the benefits realized when we embrace responsible industry. Our schools, especially Susquehanna County career and vo tech, have risen to the challenge in preparing a skilled workforce.

With this state in mind, Mr. Secretary, your

Department's budget proposes a career and tech ed

appropriation of 99 million, and earmarked within that is

20 million to be used for the PAsmart initiative. So,

Mr. Secretary, could you kindly share with us, reveal to us the focus of the PAsmart initiative. And really what I'm looking for in your response is the tie in with STEM and as well the findings of the Middle Class Task Force that was convened.

Thank you.

SECRETARY RIVERA: Thank you for that question and the opportunity to share.

And as I get to PAsmart, this year, the Governor is proposing a level funding from last year. And I do think it's important for me to remind, you know, I guess everyone and whomever is watching, the General Assembly and the Governor did increase career and technical education funding in '17-18 and '18-19, 10 million; in '18-19 and '19-20, it's 7 million, and so the level funding is with, includes those increases.

PAsmart, for us, has actually been a program that has shown some real promise and continued growth in school — actually, I was going to say in school districts, but it goes well beyond school districts in the Commonwealth. So what we have done through PAsmart is provided grant opportunities for school districts and education partners to grow and to develop programs that really focus on the next-generation workforce.

You know, as you mentioned, and I know you know

the percentages really well, when we look at our projected workforce by 2025, you know, over 60 percent of Commonwealth residents are going to have to have an industry certificate or a 2-year degree or 4-year degree, and geographically that differs depending on what the workforce needs are. And what we have done through PAsmart and through our STEM and computer science initiatives is we created this space where, you know, external partners, whether it's higher ed partners and a number of community colleges have partnered, our local school district, agencies such as science centers and other community-based organizations, employers, have come together to create partnerships to help train students around the next-generation workforce needs.

Where PAsmart really worked is, because it's a local investment through State dollars, it allows local industry leaders and their school districts and higher ed partners to identify what the project, what the specific project is. So, you know, for example, one of the big initiatives we have been pushing as part of this whole portfolio is the Teacher in the Workplace Grant, where teachers are spending 2 weeks in industry, with industry partners, learning exactly what it is that they need to be successful in the workforce, and then they take those lessons back to their classroom to better support teaching

and learning.

2.1

We have partnerships where they are creating virtual options for kids to engage in the local workforce industry needs. So that grant opportunity has kind of created the space for partnerships and additional funding to think outside the box, and as a result, some of those programs have become staple programs to local businesses and their school district partner and others have helped develop new initiatives.

REPRESENTATIVE FRITZ: Okay. So thank you, Mr. Secretary.

So you mentioned that you, I'm going to use the word "embed" teachers within certain industries. Give us an example of what some of those industries may be.

SECRETARY RIVERA: Oh, sure. I can share some that I visited over the course of the past years.

Actually, I can share one when I was a superintendent and then progressing.

When I was a superintendent, we used to partner with other external partners and send teachers to High Industries. And so they got to see in High Industries, which is a manufacturing and construction, you know, program, and they would work with the different departments within High and then take back those lessons learned to the classroom and integrate some of their high school lessons,

you know, to, they were being taught to kids to their specific needs.

Last summer, we went to Case New Holland, autonomous tractors, and teachers spent 2 weeks there, everything from their manufacturing lab, to their design, to the lab where they just break things to see how long, you know, the equipment can last and under what conditions. So the teachers spent 2 weeks with the professionals in that space and wrote lessons around how to integrate that learning back in their own classrooms.

Up in, you know, Allegheny County, some of our teachers have worked, you know, with industries like Google and beyond and really to better, you know, embed their competencies needed in the workforce to the lessons they're teaching in the classroom.

REPRESENTATIVE FRITZ: I'll just quickly mention that in a prior lifetime, I was known as Tractor Jon and sold New Holland tractors, so I liked that last bit there.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman, very much. Thank you, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY RIVERA: Thank you, sir.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Representative

McCarter.

2.1

REPRESENTATIVE McCARTER: Thank you very much,

25 Mr. Chairman.

And again, thank you, Mr. Secretary, for being here today with your staff to answer questions.

And again, I know many of these are, you know, issues that are predicated on the fact that, quite honestly, we don't have enough money to do the job that we need to do, whether it's fixing schools or whether it's many of the other issues that are facing us at the present moment across the State.

Let me kind of focus in on two areas, if I can, real quickly here. The first one, I would like to go back to the charter school issue a little bit that was raised just recently by the good gentleman from Butler County.

And again, if you can explain, because we just had a lot of testimony on this in the Education Committee dealing with how much reimbursement is going to or how much money is going to the cyber charters across the State compared to what the education costs at the local level.

If a local school district or an IU has a program, it seems that they're able to provide a very good cyber education for something between \$5,000 and \$6,000 per student, and yet we know the reimbursement rates that are going to the cyber charters are substantially higher than that, anywhere from roughly 13,000 to as high as well over \$40,000 for special needs students.

I need to ask you a couple of different areas.

Number one, what is the Department's, and again, this got into a little bit of the conversation before in terms of the review as to how the identification takes place. And what I want to focus on, though, is not just the identification but the accountability that comes at the end, after that money is granted to, let's say, a cyber charter for let's say an extreme special needs student of \$45,000 or more. What is the accountability that the Department has over that expenditure to see if in fact it was actually carried out and what the results were?

SECRETARY RIVERA: So, it's a great question.

2.1

So there is accountability -- so the Department of Education owns the accountability of the process, and I think that's an important distinction, because as I was processing through our role and responsibility and the role and responsibility of the local school district, it's always, you know, a good reminder in that here in Pennsylvania, we're a very proud local control State. And where we own, where we own the process, it's really the process by which making sure the resources are available to students who may have special needs.

So in the case of all schools, if a student is identified as having special educational needs, the family engages with the school district in forming the IEP. Now, if that doesn't happen, they report to us, we send a team,

```
1
       and we engage in the process accordingly. However, if
 2
       there is an IEP in place and the parent is receiving
 3
       everything that has been identified and they feel that it's
       appropriate and never complains, we would never know.
 4
 5
                 REPRESENTATIVE McCARTER: How many teams were
 6
       sent out last year to do investigation of situations like
 7
       that?
 8
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: We send teams out pretty
 9
       regularly. I mean, it's probably in the hundreds to a
10
      thousand in terms of---
11
                 REPRESENTATIVE McCARTER: And were they for
12
       specifically cyber situations, or---
13
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: No, probably varied.
14
                 DEPUTY SECRETARY STEM: Yeah, it's varied.
15
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: Yeah, it varied.
16
                 REPRESENTATIVE McCARTER: Numbers? I mean, is
17
       there---
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: We can get you those numbers.
18
19
                 REPRESENTATIVE McCARTER: Okay. I would
20
       appreciate that.
2.1
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: Sure.
22
                 REPRESENTATIVE McCARTER: I would, because, you
       know, I think when we look at this, and as you said earlier
23
24
       about the designations between a Level 1 and a Level 3, I
25
      mean, that's substantial in terms of what happens. And we
```

know that cyber charters for the most part are looking at 94 percent of the students identified in their programs are Level 1. They are not up to Level 3. It's a very small number. And yet, still we see designations again of higher amounts of money going there with a really small amount of accountability. I think that would be fair to say.

And we would like to make sure that, and again, with this expenditure of money, and we know that the Governor has proposed a means by which to try to scale this back, if I understand correctly, to about \$9600 of reimbursement? Is that correct?

SECRETARY RIVERA: So what the Governor is recommending is that we go with a flat State fee of \$9500---

REPRESENTATIVE McCARTER: 95.

SECRETARY RIVERA: ---for a regular education student and then a line item increase. So you would take that dollar amount, and if it's a special need Level 1, an increase; Level 2, an increase; Level 3, an increase above that.

REPRESENTATIVE McCARTER: Okay. I think, again, that's a step in the right direction to make sure that we can right some of this and be able to fund adequately students in all our schools in a way that I think would be more beneficial.

1 Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, 2 Mr. Secretary. 3 SECRETARY RIVERA: Thank you, sir. DEPUTY SECRETARY STEM: And, Representative, if I 4 5 could just add also for the record. 6 So the accountability for students with IEPs in 7 charter schools is much the same as it is in, you know, 8 non-charter public schools. And again, just to reiterate, 9 it's more about the funding and the way those students are funded than particularly the accountability system. 10 11 What our team in special ed does through cyclical 12 monitoring mirrors in charter schools what's happening in 13 non-charter schools. I just want to make sure everyone is 14 clear on that. But we would focus our energies on more or 15 less how we're funding in those different levels. 16 REPRESENTATIVE McCARTER: Thanks for that 17 distinction. 18 Thank you. 19 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Just to note, we'll do 20 one more questioner, and then we're going to break for 2.1 lunch and come back at 1 o'clock. 22 Our next questioner and last one for this session 23 is Representative Marcia Hahn. 24 REPRESENTATIVE HAHN: Thank you, Chairman. 25 Good morning, Secretary. Over here. Good to see

1 you again. 2 SECRETARY RIVERA: Good seeing you. 3 REPRESENTATIVE HAHN: I'm hoping you can give me a little clarity and understanding about funds that come in 4 5 I think from the Federal Government, the IDEA funds for 6 disabilities. So can you tell me, how much do we receive, 7 or do you receive in those funds? 8 SECRETARY RIVERA: I'm going to defer that to our 9 expert, Deputy Secretary Stem, but we can definitely pull 10 the, if you bear with us, we can pull those numbers for 11 you. 12 REPRESENTATIVE HAHN: And while you're looking 13 for that, because I'm on the clock here---14 SECRETARY RIVERA: Yes; I'm sorry. 15 REPRESENTATIVE HAHN: ---I'm just going to ask my 16 next question. 17 It's my understanding that the State is not required to report how those funds are used, so is that 18 19 correct? 20 SECRETARY RIVERA: There's specific use for those 2.1 funds. You can't use them for anything, but you have to 22 use them for FAPE, which is free and appropriate education 23 for students who have special needs. 24 REPRESENTATIVE HAHN: So you look to see how 25 they're spent. You know where that money is going.

1	SECRETARY RIVERA: Oh, yes. Yes.
2	REPRESENTATIVE HAHN: Okay.
3	SECRETARY RIVERA: In aggregate.
4	DIRECTOR MARIANO: So does the Federal
5	Government.
6	SECRETARY RIVERA: Yeah, and so does the Federal
7	Government.
8	DEPUTY SECRETARY STEM: Yeah; right. That's what
9	we were just going to add.
10	So two things. Let me give you the numbers. For
11	2018-19, our IDEA Part B funds were 446,896,000 and change.
12	In 2019-20, our IDEA Part B funding was 449,731,000 and
13	change.
14	And as the Secretary shared, there are I might
15	get this wrong 18 or 19 categories that we track and we
16	have to report out through our annual OSEP reporting to the
17	Federal Government. And we are happy just to put a little
18	plug in for our team at the Department. We are the only
19	large State in the nation to have fully, you know, passed
20	our OSEP reporting 9 out of the last 10 years, I believe.
21	REPRESENTATIVE HAHN: Okay.
22	So I understand there's something called
23	technical assistant consultants that are through the IUs,
24	correct?

DEPUTY SECRETARY STEM: That's correct.

1 REPRESENTATIVE HAHN: Which are funded with this 2 fund. Is that ---3 DEPUTY SECRETARY STEM: That's correct. five FTEs in each of the IUs that are funded as part of the 4 5 set-aside from IDEA Part B. 6 REPRESENTATIVE HAHN: Okay. And then there's 7 something else, and I'm not sure if I'm going to say it 8 right. It's either PaTTAN or PaTTAN funding---DEPUTY SECRETARY STEM: Yes. That's correct. 9 10 REPRESENTATIVE HAHN: --- that only goes to three 11 IUs. 12 DEPUTY SECRETARY STEM: Those are -- that's 13 right. So those, the three PaTTANs, run through three IUs. 14 That's correct. And they're the technical assistant---15 REPRESENTATIVE HAHN: For like 138, about 16 138 employees, I think, in those three IUs. And then what, 17 do they help the other IUs? Are they helping the school districts? Like, I am confused why there are two different 18 19 levels of IU funding or where that money is going. 20 DEPUTY SECRETARY STEM: No, that's a great 21 question. 22 So we have three PaTTANs, and I'll do this quickly for you. There's one in the Pittsburgh region, one 23 24 here in Harrisburg, and one that's located in Malvern. And

what they do is, and this went in place, it predated our

25

Administration, but it was a system that was put in place to put in play really specific technical assistance and training to all schools in those regions. So Malvern handles the entire east region, Harrisburg handles central, and Pittsburgh handles the west.

2.1

And so you're talking about really specialized training, training for our IUs and also training directly to school districts free of charge, and it falls within our responsibilities under IDEA to provide that support.

REPRESENTATIVE HAHN: Well, can the school districts apply directly for that funding without going through these three PaTTAN systems, the three schools?

DEPUTY SECRETARY STEM: You mean could schools directly get funding that goes to the PaTTAN, to the technical assistance that way?

REPRESENTATIVE HAHN: Yeah.

DEPUTY SECRETARY STEM: I would have to follow up with you on that, because there are Federal requirements around technical assistance. So we would have to get back to you with a specific answer on how that funding would work. There's the TaC funding and also the PaTTAN funding, which are two different, two different sets of funding.

REPRESENTATIVE HAHN: So -- okay. I guess I'm just not clear on, you know, if the school districts can apply themselves, which I had heard they could. Because

1 this is new to me.

2.1

DEPUTY SECRETARY STEM: Sure; sure.

REPRESENTATIVE HAHN: I never heard of this program before, so I'm just trying to understand if the school districts can apply themselves why they have to go through the other system. And I was just wondering if you had any correspondence that you had sent out to the schools to tell them how to apply for that.

DEPUTY SECRETARY STEM: That's not, in my 5 years at the Department, that's not something that has been raised as an issue or even a question that we have responded to. So that's why I would have to do -- we would have to get back with the team and then---

REPRESENTATIVE HAHN: If you could, I would appreciate that.

And I think the other thing is then, you know, what -- so this money comes in every year, so if you're training staff, I mean, that seems like a lot of money that keeps going for training staff that's going out to train others. I guess I'm just a little not clear on how that's being used, and I just want to make sure we're getting the best bang for our dollar there, so.

DEPUTY SECRETARY STEM: Sure; sure.

REPRESENTATIVE HAHN: Thank you, and thank you,

25 Mr. Chairman.

1 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: With that, we'll recess this hearing until 1 o'clock. 2 3 SECRETARY RIVERA: Thank you, sir. 4 5 (A lunch break was taken.) 6 7 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: I'm reconvening the 8 Appropriations Committee hearing. 9 And since you're still under oath, we won't swear 10 you in again. But we'll start this line of questioning 11 this afternoon with Representative James. 12 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 13 Gentlemen and ladies, I'm over here to your 14 right. 15 My name is Lee James. I reside in Venango County in western PA, and today I would like to talk a little bit 16 17 about or ask a couple of questions about the cost of transportation for our children. 18 19 Now, my district alone has about 785 square 20 miles, so it's more than a couple blocks walk for my kids 2.1 to get to school. This is a very important issue for all 22 my school districts. 23 I see that the request this year is for 24 549 million for pupil transportation, and as I understand 25 it, that's the same amount that has been asked for the

1 last 6 years. Ordinarily, that wouldn't be a problem.

However, it has come to our attention that as we approach the end of the fiscal year, there is often overspending in

4 this area.

2.1

I have heard one person estimate that it might be as much as 100 million, but according to the Status of Appropriations report, which is through January 31st of this year, 538 million has already been spent. That only leaves about 11 million to cover the last 3 months. Can you explain the rather significant difference for us, please?

SECRETARY RIVERA: The pupil transportation funding line item is, you know, it's a pretty, I was going to say interesting line item in the sense that we appropriate it, that we distribute it out to schools as we receive that line item.

So just as a quick point of clarification, we can't overspend on that line item, but what we do is we would take the current appropriation and pay whatever outstanding invoices exist first and then shift funding subsequently to school districts.

So school districts are always made whole, but we allocate those funds as we receive them. So the current, the appropriation that we're asking that you approve, may go to cover some of the existing expenditures, and then we move forward.

So they are made whole, but it could cause a cash-flow issue. But we never overspend on that line item.

2.1

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Is there a reason then that the Department has not required or requested a larger amount of money since you know that it will be overspent?

SECRETARY RIVERA: To date, we have been able, we have always been able to cover the outstanding expenditures with the new balance. So we have always been able to make school districts whole.

This year should be the same. We should be able to make them whole. However, I can share with you, as we go into next year, that might be the first year we report that we will not be able to make school districts whole on the year-to-year transaction.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: And just for the record, if I'm the superintendent of X School District and I run out of funds for transportation, say at the end of March, am I expected to dip into my usual appropriations to cover that until I hear from your Department in 6 months? Is that how that works?

SECRETARY RIVERA: You could, until it's processed, and then you are reimbursed for the invoice. You might. You could.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Okay.

1 I guess for a year or maybe even two I can 2 understand that, but if we've been doing this for 6 years, 3 I guess my counsel would be to maybe revisit that number and come back to us, please, with something a little bit 5 more realistic that we can deal with. I would appreciate 6 that. 7 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 SECRETARY RIVERA: Thank you, Representative. 9 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Mr. Secretary, as Appropriations Chairman, how much are you looking to roll 10 11 into this fiscal year, carrying it over into next fiscal 12 year, of transportation funding? 13 What I'm concerned about, to follow up 14 Representative James's question is, that all of a sudden we 15 get hit in the General Assembly with a large appropriation 16 increase in one year, which creates a headache for all of 17 us here. So what is the amount that you project that you 18 will have to take out of the allocation for transportation 19 in the '20-21 budget to cover the '19-20 budget? 20 SECRETARY RIVERA: The current projected 2.1 shortfall we're looking at is 157 million. 22 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: \$157 million. 23 SECRETARY RIVERA: If we're going to make 24 everything whole.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR:

And you haven't

Wow.

25

asked for that amount of money in this year's budget?

SECRETARY RIVERA: We have been managing it at the Department procedurally. But I know there have been conversations that take place between the Administration and the General Assembly, so we have been doing our due diligence to make sure that school districts receive those funds as quickly as possible.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: That's a lot of money.

All righty. We'll move to the next questioner.

Representative Gainey.

REPRESENTATIVE GAINEY: Hello. How are you doing today?

SECRETARY RIVERA: Good. Good morning.

REPRESENTATIVE GAINEY: First of all, I just wanted to say thank you. I think your leadership in creating a partnership between the Wilkinsburg School District and Pittsburgh Public Schools has worked out tremendously for two reasons: one, every year we have been able to see an increase in the GPA of the students that came from Wilkinsburg, and overall, the whole Wilkinsburg and PPS schools, Pittsburgh Public Schools, to see that increase is fantastic; and two, to show how our children come together. They won a city championship this year, which I thought was phenomenal. What, in less than 5 years they put together a championship-winning team.

I want to thank you for also agreeing to meet with Wilkinsburg School District. They are being hampered by their charter school costs. I mean, it's hampering them. I mean, you know they have already had financial issues. They worked their way out of that. But right now, I think that they really need a conversation with you to talk about the reform that you guys want to do.

So I really asked all my questions, but I just wanted to personally say thank you, and I look forward to having a further discussion.

SECRETARY RIVERA: And, Representative, if I could, this is an opportunity to also thank you and the Members of the General Assembly. Through the conversation between Wilkinsburg and Westinghouse, it presented another model we can use for school districts that just couldn't afford, you know, to run a full program. And so the tuitioning-out model has now been a model that is looked at by other school districts in lieu of merger or, you know, looking at the dissolution of schools.

So in allowing for this opportunity, one, we provided students more options, and as you said, a more enriched experience for those students. So thank you for championing that cause.

REPRESENTATIVE GAINEY: I appreciate it. Thank you.

1	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Representative
2	Lawrence.
3	REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: Thank you,
4	Mr. Chairman.
5	And, Mr. Secretary, thank you for being here
6	today.
7	I had the opportunity to speak with several of my
8	school superintendents in my own district in the last week,
9	and of the many concerns they shared with me, I just want
10	to ask about two in particular: special education and
11	school safety.
12	So first, as you know, special education costs
13	continue to escalate for our school districts. Federal law
14	places mandates on school districts, and Federal funding
15	has never kept up with those mandates. The Federal
16	Government promised to fund 40 percent of the costs
17	associated with their special education mandates, and they
18	have never come remotely close to that number.
19	So, Mr. Secretary, I would like to ask, what
20	conversations have you or the Governor had with Federal
21	stakeholders on this subject in the recent past? How are
22	you advocating for more special education funding from our
23	partners in the Federal Government?
24	SECRETARY RIVERA: Thank you, Representative.
25	So first and foremost, you're right. In terms of

the obligation of the Federal Government to meet that 40-percent threshold, as was originally intended, has not been met. As a matter of fact, not only have we been advocating on behalf of additional funding here at the State level, but as a part of a larger consortium at the national level through the Council of Chief State School Officers. It's one of the areas that we have asked for additional appropriation and fair appropriation for students who are some of our most vulnerable learners. So we are advocating to that end.

At the same time, we know that, you know, the identification of special needs students continues to grow, because through science and neuroscience, around those areas, we're able to identify the needs of students on the spectrum with a much more pinpoint accuracy than ever before. So those are costs that, you know, continue to grow and be associated, but they are costs that at least are directed in the right path, because we can better diagnose what students need to learn and to grow.

REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: Thank you.

With regard to school safety, I have to say I was a little surprised to hear your response to Representative Struzzi's question in the first session. He asked why the Governor had proposed reducing school safety grants by \$45 million, and you said, I have no idea and I am not

involved in these conversations. So I just wanted to give you the opportunity to clarify. Did I hear you correctly on that?

SECRETARY RIVERA: Sure.

2.1

So there was context. The reduction in that school safety line item was in PCCD's budget, the Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency, and we do not oversee that specific budget or that specific line item.

So I was not, because it's another agency, I would normally not get involved in the decisions that are made with other agencies, for other agencies, and what the intent of those decisions were. So I was not---

REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: But as the top education official in this State, I would think you would be intimately involved in conversations at the highest level surrounding these school safety grants.

SECRETARY RIVERA: We are involved in conversations around programmatic decisions that are made, but as it relates to specific line item budgets -- in Education, I get to, you know, I have the great distinction, or sometimes burden, of having a partnership and influence in almost every agency in the Commonwealth. Almost everyone you can think of has an education relationship or an education arm. And I don't, you know,

I don't influence, you know, their line items or, you know, how much more they receive or how much less they receive year to year.

REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: So, and I respect that, but this issue of school safety is top of mind for at least my superintendents and many parents who have called me, my own children.

Again, you mentioned to Representative Struzzi, you said, I didn't ask. That's what you said, I didn't ask about the Governor's proposed changes to the school safety grant program. Again, I want to give you the opportunity to clarify these comments. Are you really saying you didn't ask the Governor about the school safety grant program? You didn't advocate for the funding, for more funding?

SECRETARY RIVERA: So as I remember our conversation, our exchange, I shared that I can't speak specifically to their recommendations and ultimately the programming that PCCD is going to offer. However, I did share specifically that through the Department of Education, we have identified a number of specific needs around school safety, the biggest and the largest of which were mental health services and the need for continued mental health services.

And then I can reiterate again, but then I went

1	through a number of programs that we offer and we develop
2	and we partner with school districts through PDE to better
3	support students across the Commonwealth.
4	REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: Yeah, and that is very
5	admirable, and I commend you for it. But again I come back
6	to, you really didn't ask the Governor about this, these
7	safety grants?
8	SECRETARY RIVERA: Each and every agency has
9	their own budget.
10	REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: I'm asking about you.
11	Did you ask the Governor about it?
12	SECRETARY RIVERA: I don't speak specifically to
13	the Governor about anyone's, any other agency's budget.
14	REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: I think that's
15	remarkable on this issue. I really do. Honestly, it
16	really concerns me.
17	My time is up, but I would like to follow up on
18	this with you.
19	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
20	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Our next questioner is
21	Representative Krueger.
22	REPRESENTATIVE KRUEGER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
23	Thank you, Mr. Secretary, so much for joining us
24	here today.
25	During budget hearings, as a Member of the

Appropriations Committee, I reach out to the folks that I serve and ask them what issues are top of mind for them, and in preparing for today, the issues I heard from my folks about were investing in early childhood education, making sure that we're able to pay those instructors a fair wage, and a number of emails about charter school reform proposals. And I know most of those things have already been asked by my colleagues. I just want to underscore the importance to the folks that I serve in Delaware County on those issues.

I want to talk about the State's progress towards the Every Student Succeeds State plan. I know that this is an area where the Department has been providing technical assistance for the past 2 years, particularly for schools that have had academic and student success challenges over a 2-year period.

Now, going over the list of who is receiving support, I identified one school in my school who is designated for additional targeted support and improvement because of below average graduation rates for students receiving special education services. Can you tell us, over the 2 years that this program has been in place, what kind of support are schools, like the one in my district, receiving from the Department?

SECRETARY RIVERA: That's a great question.

Under ESSA, we have the designation of CSI, which is the comprehensive support, A-TSI, and TSI, which serves as the early warning system. Historically, when we look at specifically the levels or the types of support offered to schools that fall within those designations, we know that resources are extremely finite, and how we leverage those resources is extremely important. So we took a different tack in terms of how we go into a school district and provide technical support.

One of the areas that we invested in that's available to all schools, but specifically for the subset of schools that you mentioned, is the Evidence Resource Center. So we have facilitators regionally across the Commonwealth that help support schools with their school improvement planning and their comprehensive plans. What we have done is we have started to identify some of those best-practice strategies and we made them available through an online tool that schools and their school teams can have access to to better enrich and support their plans moving forward. So I'll give you one specific example.

If you have a school that has been identified in need of or being on that list because of their graduation rate in a specific student group, they can go on to the Evidence Resource Center, look at strategies by grade group and then the specific need, and then not only will we

identify research-proven strategies but schools that are employing those strategies along with contact information.

So part of what we have done, you know, in addition to training schools and providing development for schools, we are creating this network so that a school that might not be receiving that specific CSI type of training can reach out to one of their partners who is employing that strategy to, you know, kind of build the relationship to get that support.

Because, you know, one of the things we realized, and its lessons learned over many years of school leadership, there are some really good intervention type of strategies out there. However, either because of lack of capacity or an understanding of the strategy, many folks, you know, either don't or can't implement with fidelity. So by putting the Evidence Research Center forward, not only will we identify the strategy for you, share with you the research and the intended audience, but a partner that you can identify to employ that strategy accordingly.

REPRESENTATIVE KRUEGER: And over the 2 years of this program, can you give us any metrics, outcomes, so far? Have schools gotten themselves off the list, or do they have the full 4-year period to do that?

SECRETARY RIVERA: So ESSA is 2 years old, but the CSI, A-TSI, and TSI list isn't as old as the law.

REPRESENTATIVE KRUEGER: Okay.

2.1

SECRETARY RIVERA: So we have really just started to employ some of those strategies. But I can share with you, so each of the school improvement facilitators, they meet, and then quarterly, one of the groups meets with me. And, you know, some of the evidence that I have seen, so I met with a school district during my last kind of check-in with them, and some of the strategies employed by that school district aligned exactly with what we were looking to accomplish, and that specific school district showed diagnostic data that showed really good, strong, continuous improvement. They specifically were focusing on literacy, and literacy with their higher free and reduced lunch population, and what they were doing in terms of how they were tracking along with success, it was very promising.

REPRESENTATIVE KRUEGER: That's great.

And just one other point I want to make on the record. I'm pleased to see the Governor talking about addressing the statewide lead and asbestos issues. As members of the PlanCon Commission, you and I together traveled to schools across the Commonwealth. I'll never forget a school in Philadelphia where we were not allowed onto the third floor because of asbestos in that section of the building.

1 This is something we have to do. I believe our 2 students have a constitutional right to go to school in a 3 building that is safe and not going to cause their health harm. I believe the same thing is true of our teachers, 5 and I hope we fight for this every step of the way. 6 Thank you, Mr. Secretary. 7 SECRETARY RIVERA: I appreciate you participating 8 and bringing that up and advocating. Thank you. 9 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Our next questioner is 10 Representative Seth Grove. 11 And before he starts -- I apologize -- I wanted 12 to recognize that Representative Isaacson is here as well. 13 REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 14 Good afternoon. How are you? 15 SECRETARY RIVERA: Good. How are you? REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: If your child came home 16 17 with a 37-percent final grade, what would you do? 18 SECRETARY RIVERA: I would ask what percentage of his total score is that first, and then he and I would have 19 20 a long conversation. REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: A long conversation. 2.1 22 So you would probably call the school, call his teachers, figure out what went wrong, how we can improve 23 24 it, and have those discussions, right? 25 SECRETARY RIVERA: Well, I have a 16-year-old.

1 REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Mm-hmm.

2 SECRETARY RIVERA: So first I would ask him, what

3 did he do.

2.1

REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: What did he do.

5 SECRETARY RIVERA: But then ultimately I'd get

6 there, yes.

REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: All right.

I bring that up because the Adult and Family
Literacy program has a 37-percent success rate, and it's
one of the few programs we actually have outcome data
because it's a Federal requirement. And while last year in
your Budget Book you actually gave statistics, this year
was actually very educational for me and my kids because we
got to do a math problem, so I could explain, there's
actually math in real-day life that you actually extract
from a word problem.

So in fiscal year '18-19, 20,916 adults participated in the program that received State Adult and Family Literacy funds. When given an initial assessment, 90 percent of these adults entered the program with skills below the ninth grade level. Of that 20,916 adults served, 10,547, or 50 percent, attended adult basic education classes long enough to receive a follow-up assessment for educational gain, with 66 percent of those adults showing a gain of one or more education functioning levels.

So when you actually break it down and show it, it's actually 37 percent of the 20,000 actually showed an educational gain of 1 percentage or above. And then you do have some other data on 1,440 adults who did not already see a second grade diploma level and so forth.

Now, the Governor is proposing a 6.41-percent reduction in that line item. I also noticed that the Federal funds don't change, so I assume that's not a dollar for dollar---

SECRETARY RIVERA: Yes.

2.1

REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: ---that State funds are not dependent on how much Federal drawdown we get, correct?

SECRETARY RIVERA: Correct.

REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: So the big question is, if our success is 37 percent, are we holding providers accountable? Are we going through the contracts, where we're putting the money, and finding successful ones and funding them versus ones that are actually failing our adult learners?

SECRETARY RIVERA: So, yes.

In terms of the accountability of the program, I know that our team in the office of Adult and Family

Literacy do monitor those programs closely. And not only do they monitor the programs, but they have established

relationships where they have continuous conversations around the specific requirements of the program and expectations of the program.

2.1

I think to be fair, and I want to be mindful of your time, twofold: one, knowing the program really well, and, you know, also I can share with you through personal experience, I used to teach in a program like this when I was a teacher in Philadelphia. The individuals served in this through the Adult and Family Literacy Program are very nontraditional. You know, for example, I'll just share my experience with you.

I had individuals who were going through to work on their high school equivalency diploma who were seasonal construction workers. So they would come and engage in the program and they would take the courses with absolute diligence, and then whenever they were called because a project came up or there was work for them and, you know, to support their families, they left and they went to work. There were individuals who came, you know, when they were on unemployment.

REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: So work is actually a good thing. You should actually track work as part of this.

Everybody supports work, right?

SECRETARY RIVERA: But this is completion of the program, which is what we track.

REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: I get it, but we should count that as well.

2.1

I'm just saying, if you're looking at this from a data standpoint, it's failing. And believe me, this is one of the actual few programs we actually have robust data.

The only reason is because the Feds require data collection to operate it. I just wanted to bring that up.

Two, West York School District is refinancing bonds because it's the lowest, 30-year Treasury is the lowest historically it has ever been. We will be recapturing \$490,000 of that through the PlanCon. When we recapture that, how is that utilized within the PlanCon process?

SECRETARY RIVERA: So as part, and I had to check with my expert, but we do take into account refinancing of bonds in the PlanCon equation.

REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Okay. How? In what way? Like, where will ultimately that money go?

DIRECTOR MARIANO: It would depend on, if they are recouping it, it more than likely will reduce the PlanCon payment, but it would depend on the particulars of the formula and the refinancing.

REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Okay. I mean, they basically took out a lump sum bond, repaid all their debt, and from my understanding, they're going to give us a check

1 for \$490,000. So obviously we don't have PlanCon moving 2 3 forward. We have the bond. Are you still doing recalculations within that program, so that money will come 4 5 in and you'll recalculate it against another school 6 district potentially? I'm just trying to understand how 7 that functions when we get those dollars in. DIRECTOR MARIANO: So PlanCon is a reimbursement. 8 9 REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Mm-hmm. DIRECTOR MARIANO: So they have to pay their bond 10 11 funds first, and then we reimburse on whatever the formula 12 indicates is appropriate. 13 REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Mm-hmm. 14 DIRECTOR MARIANO: And we do that up until the 15 end of the length of the bond, however long the financing dictates. 16 17 REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Well, I get that, but they're giving us a check for \$490,000. What are we doing 18 19 with that money? How does that function? 20 DIRECTOR MARIANO: Are you saying that the bondholder, or -- I'm sorry. 2.1 22 REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: So they redid their -they had a bond. They did a brand-new bond and cleared out 23 24 all their old debt at a lower payment.

DIRECTOR MARIANO: Right.

25

REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Twelve percent of the savings -- it was \$3.49 million. Twelve percent of that savings, \$490,000, comes back to us. So we will be getting \$490,000 from one school district because they refinanced. Where does that money go and how is that utilized moving forward?

Because I assume we're going to see more and more school districts, if they're smart, refinancing their debt, correct? So potentially we should be getting more payments back in. Where is that money going and how is it being utilized?

DIRECTOR MARIANO: We would not expect them to repay any of the money that they have received. If they continue to be owed PlanCon and their payments were reduced, then that, you know, we would reduce what they were receiving going out. We wouldn't ask them to pay back money or anything like that.

REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Okay. This is literally what they said, the school district says, so you may want to clarify: A refunding on that amount would return about \$3.49 million. The State would receive 12 percent, so that's what it now pays the district in PlanCon funding, a reimbursement plan that the State discontinued for new projects. So it would be a net savings of \$3 million for the school district, \$490,000 back.

```
1
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: It would be reducing---
 2
                 DIRECTOR MARIANO: Right. That's what I'm
 3
       saying, yeah.
                 REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: So you're just saying that
 5
       we just wouldn't be giving them---
 6
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: We would reduce -- yeah.
 7
       would be a reduction of their payments they get moving
       forward.
 8
 9
                 REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Okay. Correct. So if we
10
       reduce their payments going out, is that now in the PlanCon
11
      bond process, correct?
12
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: It's in the PlanCon line item
13
       that was generated from the bond, yes.
14
                 REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Okay. Thank you.
15
                MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Okay.
16
                 Representative Kim.
17
                 REPRESENTATIVE KIM: Mr. Secretary, I just want
18
      to thank you and your Department for helping out the
19
      Harrisburg School District. Angela Fitterer was my contact
20
       person, and she was great with all of my questions and
2.1
      help.
22
                 The first question: What are your plans for the
23
      coronavirus with the schools?
24
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: So over the week, well, on
25
       Friday, we forwarded a communication out to superintendents
```

and the education community just informing them, one, it's something that we're working very closely with the Department of Health, and a number of resources were listed online for them to utilize, everything from the All-Hazards Toolkit to looking at school policies and how this would impact, you know, the traditional running of schools, and then the information that could be made available to parents and community members.

Today, we posted that letter and those resources online so that everyone can have access to them, but we continue to work really closely with our Department of Health, our Secretary of Health, and will communicate accordingly.

And it was reminded to me also, we have the PEMA Toolkit online as well, which really gets into the nitty-gritty of how we respond to an all-hazards call.

REPRESENTATIVE KIM: Thank you for planning ahead.

I don't envy a principal, a superintendent, of a struggling school district. Everybody has an idea how to make the school district better, from the school board, parents, teachers, everybody. So I want to give my two cents about how I can improve urban school districts. I've been thinking a lot about it.

Whether I be a -- I used to be a Sunday school

2.1

teacher. I'm sure that's scary for some people to think about. I used to coach soccer. I was a teacher for Junior Achievement, BizTown. And whatever race you are, whatever socioeconomic, there's always one or two kids in that group that you just want to strangle. Okay, that's not -- you know, just won't listen to you, stands up, moves around, you know, won't pay attention.

And on a serious note, there are kids with serious ACEs or trauma that they're going through, and what happens is that the teacher has to focus on this one person who needs one-on-one attention while the other students can't learn in that environment. It's just kind of chaotic. What are we doing to make sure that those kids, I don't want to say separated, but are given the attention that they need so that the other students can learn and have an education? Because I know in my urban school district, we have a lot of talented kids there. It's just the one or two that consumes everyone's attention.

Thoughts on alternative schools? Secretary, what are your thoughts on this?

SECRETARY RIVERA: Yeah. So of all the questions and conversations that educators have at the leadership level and, you know, of course at the classroom level, that's probably one of the ones that comes up the most: how do we provide, you know, a strong system of support,

wraparound services, to support some of our most vulnerable kids and not do so at the expense of, you know, students of everyone else.

2.1

And, you know, one of the things that we have been employing and really supporting schools and school districts around and providing professional development around has been what we call MTSS, our Multi-Tiered System of Supports. And putting a referral, and I hate to call it a referral, but, you know, that's how we'll best understand it, but putting a system in place where the educators can come together, identify the students who are in need, and then have a more specific conversation around, you know, how do they provide intervention for those students.

Because sometimes it could be a matter of, you know, incentives, or a matter of surroundings, or a matter of more intentional, you know, psychological and social and emotional need and support.

And I think one of the things that we have learned through this practice is that, you know, part of the difficulty is we always -- we only have the resources and the science to only address the needs of those students kind of as needed.

REPRESENTATIVE KIM: Right.

SECRETARY RIVERA: And the frustration comes when it's in response to or, you know, just kind of, you know, a

real quick response to an action.

And so as we have been investing a little more and really providing development around the Multi-Tiered System of Supports, while at the same time the Governor is doing and trying to advocate for more professionals in our buildings, you know, certified school social workers partnering with our other agencies to get mental health service providers in school, you know, partnering with families, I think that response to those challenges is getting better.

However, I would be remiss if I didn't, you know, use this as an opportunity to say, you know, the needs of kids in our classrooms are growing, which is why year after year as we, you know, try to introduce new strategies and new programs, it's really in response to what we have been learning and hearing from our colleagues out in the field, and we're going to continue to engage them.

One I think I definitely want to add, it's also why we're changing our Chapter 49, our teacher preparation standards, to include trauma-informed instruction and cultural competency. Because coming out of college, you know, into the classroom, historically, we were never prepared to address some of the needs that we see in our students today, and so we want to do a better job on the front end preparing teachers to teach those most vulnerable

1 students in the classroom.

2.1

REPRESENTATIVE KIM: It sounds like we're on the same page. Thank you for that response.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Representative Owlett.

REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you for joining us today, Secretary.

I just wanted to make a comment quickly on the school safety. It has been a critical piece for our school districts and we're all advocating for that.

Just by way of note, there was 116 million dollars' worth of requests this year, and so to leave really a lot of that out there of really assessed needs by all of our schools, we're all hearing that. So to see such a reduction in what we're hearing as a critical need within our communities, especially, I mean, it's not just urban, it's rural schools as well. We all have similar challenges there.

But I had a question on your GGO line item. It is increased by 7 million, a little over \$7 million,

26 percent. I understand that there is a merger of another line item in that GGO this year. What was the line item that's being merged into that?

DIRECTOR MARIANO: It's the information and technology improvement appropriation.

```
1
                 REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: And how much of that
       $7 million, is it about half of that?
 2
 3
                 DIRECTOR MARIANO: Yes.
                 REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Okay. So that leaves, I
 4
 5
       mean, it's right around a 13-percent increase this year.
 6
       Is that something you guys were advocating for, and what is
 7
       that going to cover?
 8
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: The information technology
 9
       line item?
10
                 REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: No, the additional.
11
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: Oh.
12
                 REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: The 13 percent increase
13
       other than the information technology.
14
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: Yeah. So of the additional,
15
       the majority, almost half of that is to cover litigation
16
       costs.
17
                 REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Okay.
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: So pretty much all of our
18
19
       increase in GGO are for existing costs, but primarily the
20
       information technology transfer, some information
2.1
       technology increases, and increased litigation costs.
22
                 REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: How much was the increase
23
       in litigation this year?
24
                 DIRECTOR MARIANO: It's a piece of $2.1 million.
25
       We could give you the exact set-aside in a follow-up
```

1 request, if you'd like.

2.1

REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: So is there something specific that's increasing that? I mean, I looked at the general line item, the government operations for that.

Your increase last year was 5 percent, like just over 1.3 million. Are we being sued more this year than last year? Like, what's the increase there?

SECRETARY RIVERA: I don't -- so I don't know the exact long-term historical trends around lawsuits, but we are currently engaged and over the course of the past year have been engaged in significant litigation, everything from challenges of the language of statute to, we have some litigation out there when a charter school closes, we are responsible for FAPE of the special education children. And even when we agree to provide them those educational services, there are still legal fees associated with that in closing out the case.

We have had a couple of lawsuits, you know, in regards to actions within the education field that have been appealed and then appealed again, and we're on the hook for paying those fees. So there has been a lot of ongoing litigation for us in the Department.

REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Would you be able to get the Committee kind of the increase in litigation this year versus last year and maybe the year before so we can see

1 some trends so we can plan accordingly? 2 SECRETARY RIVERA: Absolutely. 3 REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: And I guess my other question would be, would you be willing or interested in 4 5 using some of those lapsed funds from other years that are 6 out there that we could maybe put towards some of this 7 litigation? 8 SECRETARY RIVERA: We do that as well. 9 REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Okay. 10 SECRETARY RIVERA: Yeah. 11 REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: All right. Thank you. 12 SECRETARY RIVERA: Thank you. 13 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Representative Gabler. 14 REPRESENTATIVE GABLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 15 Up here, Mr. Secretary. I know we have played ping-pong all over the place, but. 16 17 I appreciate the opportunity to ask a couple of questions today. I wanted to take kind of a broad look for 18 19 a second and ask if you or any of your colleagues at the 20 Department of Education have had the opportunity to look 2.1 into what the impact on our students and, you know, on our 22 education system in this State is specifically as it 23 relates to the availability of nonpublic schools, and kind 24 of where we stand and what would happen if we saw a

significant decline in the availability of nonpublic school

25

options for students in the State.

SECRETARY RIVERA: We have had a number of conversations around, as educational options do decrease, a number of trends happen. Some of those students go to their local school district, which increases their, you know, their ADM. Some take advantage of cyber options or other options, charter options, that exist as well.

REPRESENTATIVE GABLER: And I appreciate that.

I think that, you know, one of the things that I have the great pleasure of being able to share the perspective on is to have a rural legislative district that has very solid-performing public schools, and also we're very blessed to have some very nice nonpublic options as well. And we see a great symbiotic relationship where the provision of the services can be spread across multiple sectors, and so the school district and the other educational options in the area kind of team together to provide the ultimate public good, which is an educated populace.

So the reason I wanted to build towards this is,
I think it's important to recognize that almost one in five
students in Pennsylvania are educated in some other setting
besides a traditional public school, and as a result of
that, it certainly would cause concern to consider, if we
saw a significant decline in those other options, that our

public school system may struggle to keep up with an influx of demand, and certainly the limited resources that we have would be spread even thinner.

2.1

So I set that up because I think that it's important to think about, those one in five students in our State are still every bit as much important when it comes to the future of our country, the future of our Commonwealth, the future of our communities, and the future of our workforce. And so I'm looking at a few line items that I see have been level funded, and now with the proposal in this budget, it would be 5 years in a row, and that would be the textbooks, materials, and equipment for nonpublic schools and services to nonpublic schools. And I know that the thought process behind these line items is that these are funds that provide services that benefit students specifically rather than the school themselves, because the students we are responsible for as a Commonwealth and certainly want to do the best for.

So I wanted to ask if you could speak to the reasoning behind the level funding request here, and do you think that there is any need to look at these line items specifically as it relates to inflation given the great increases that we have seen in other areas of public education? Is there a need to put these line items in parallel with our public school resources to make sure that

we're adjusting for inflation to make sure we're taking care of the needs of a hundred percent of our students in the Commonwealth?

SECRETARY RIVERA: This line item specifically is normally one that is generated in conversation between the General Assembly and, you know, us in the Department, which is, you know, the information that we have used to dictate if there is a need for increase or, you know, adjustments thereafter.

What I can share with you, although an increase, a specific increase has not been identified as part of this line item, we have done a much better job with including the non-pubs in partnering with us around our professional development opportunities. So, for example, if you go -- we have the SAS Institute and our data training each year, and every time, we have a good representation from the parochial, from parochial schools and nonpublic.

So we have been doing a much better job of integrating them as part of our overall educator and school community, and that's what, quite honestly, I have been focusing much more aggressively on, just making them part of the overall, you know, connection and education community, not specific to this line item, because this is usually something that comes up as we go through every iteration of this conversation.

1 REPRESENTATIVE GABLER: And I'll look forward to 2 engaging in that conversation as we go forward over the 3 next couple of months. I just want to say that I certainly appreciate 4 5 your recognition and what you have shared with us in your 6 Department's perspective on partnering with the service 7 providers, whether they be public or nonpublic options, to make sure that we're looking after the resources that 8 9 educate 100 percent of our kids. 10 Sometimes I think there's a misnomer out there, 11 well, they chose to go to something else, so therefore, 12 their family should be completely on the hook. And I think 13 that we need to recognize that it's a public good that 14 we're providing for all across the board and these students 15 are important as well, and I appreciate your recognition of 16 that. 17 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 SECRETARY RIVERA: Thank you, sir. 19 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Before I move to my 20 next questioner, we have been joined by the Speaker of the 2.1 House, Mike Turzai. 22 With that, we'll move on to Representative 23 Delozier.

REPRESENTATIVE DELOZIER: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman.

24

25

Thank you all for being here. Yes, we keep flipping sides on you. Sorry about that.

2.1

I have a couple of questions that are a little bit different from each. But real quick, I was looking at the Executive Offices, and I know that's not you, but there is a transfer for Census outreach, and I know our libraries, which is you in the line item with level funding, but a lot of people are directing people to go to the libraries to get information on the Census. Will any of this outreach line item go to the libraries in order to cover some of those costs?

SECRETARY RIVERA: So not a specific line item for libraries, but I can share with you that our advocates, you know, in our library space are, you know, very aggressive in trying to receive some share, or at least a coverage of some of that, but not as a specific line item.

REPRESENTATIVE DELOZIER: Okay.

My second question deals with basic education, and taking a look and working with my school districts, and they're trying to be fiscal, you know, overseeing their fiscal health, budget reserves are a big issue. Do you have the numbers as to each of the school districts and what their budget reserves are and what that total number may be statewide?

SECRETARY RIVERA: We do track that. I don't

have it in my Budget Book---

2 REPRESENTATIVE DELOZIER: Okay.

3 SECRETARY RIVERA: ---but I can forward it on.

REPRESENTATIVE DELOZIER: I would appreciate it. We're just trying to connect the numbers and what we have and where the dollars are going.

And my last question actually deals with charters and deals with, and again, I'm working with West Shore School District, and they were kind enough to get me some numbers as to what it is. And anytime I talk to either my superintendents or the school boards or the teachers, that is a big issue for them.

One of the issues that came up is taking a look at the regular ed versus special ed and taking a look at two of the numbers. And two particular years that I thought was stark was the issue that, for the regular ed, the costs went down by over \$500,000, but the costs for special ed went up \$500,000, and we only had a difference of nine students.

So my question comes back around to the fact of, do we have the numbers as to, the perception is that they go to charter and then they get an IEP plan. Do you have numbers as to how many children go in without an IEP and then get switched over to an IEP plan of some sort?

SECRETARY RIVERA: We don't have those numbers,

because much of that accounting is done at the local school level. However, I can share with you that the reasoning, and that's, you know, part of what we shared earlier, although I didn't get into the detail. Much of that reasoning is because there is no formula associated. Their total number is always based on a denominator of 17 percent, which is what we pay at the---

REPRESENTATIVE DELOZIER: Mm-hmm.

SECRETARY RIVERA: You know, at the State level is our average -- excuse me -- not what we pay. So as the number, as the numerator grows, the denominator never changes, and that's what brings up those costs, so.

REPRESENTATIVE DELOZIER: Okay. And I remember you talking about that. I apologize. Because the ability for us to take a look, and one of the biggest frustrations that I have with working with the school districts is we want to support our public schools. We also want to support options, because all children do educate in different ways. But I also want to have a level playing field, not only for our taxpayers but for our school districts. And so a lot of times, putting numbers around that I think is very difficult, and when perceptions are out there that it's an automatic money grabber, we want to dissuade that, that we are tracking the dollars, dollar for dollar, and making sure that the students are getting the

services that they need with options.

2.1

Is there the ability to take a look at, I know with West Shore, and I have Mechanicsburg and West Shore, the numbers seem to go up higher and higher. What is the ability for us to say that we need to have the ability to track those dollars better? Is there something that we need to do better with accountability of the dollars that are going, because some of the ideas behind cyber, and I agree with the Representative earlier that was talking about the need to make sure that we have reform. We didn't have cyber school when it first was put into place, and we want to be supportive of both types of learning. But what type of reforms would you support at this point in time in order to make it more of a balance?

SECRETARY RIVERA: Yeah, it's a great conversation to have. And, you know, what I recommended to the Governor, and some of what he used in terms of this proposal, addresses some of those, so a static fee for cyber; using a different shaded cost for the different levels of special education.

But what I do think would be a great opportunity, because you're interested and obviously you have a good command of your local expenditures, if you ever wanted to sit with us, you know, for a half hour, 45 minutes, we can come over and just look at the real specific numbers and

then, you know, how could it be impacted, you know, up or down from that average. Because that's kind of where -- that's the detail that's really telling around what we're trying to address.

And it's again showing this is not an indictment on charter schools. It's definitely, you know, being respectful of, you know, the opportunities that charter schools bring. Nor is it an indictment on cyber, you know. Nor is it, you know, siding fully with traditional schools. It's just us trying to come up with a fix for a law that was created for all the right reasons. It's just 20 years old and conditions change.

And so I think one of the things that we can do is just go through, you know, West Shore and your districts and say, here's the little thing that happened that kind of, you know, pushed this cost up and here's what a potential fix could be.

REPRESENTATIVE DELOZIER: And I would appreciate having that conversation, because it's one of the biggest conversations that I do have with the supers, as well as, anytime there's a PTA or a PTO meeting, I get a lot of emails the next day when they see the numbers. So I would appreciate that conversation.

Thank you.

SECRETARY RIVERA: Thank you.

1 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Representative 2 Heffley.

2.1

REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, Secretary, for being here today.

A question earlier was, a lot of questions raised about the proposal for a billion dollars in borrowing for schools to address asbestos and lead remediation. Many of these are older schools, and districts had known for years that they had issues with asbestos and lead but yet failed to act.

Many of the districts did act and spent money. I live in one of the areas. We have some of the highest property taxes per capita for school districts. And you get those taxes increased, and schools were built, and remediation was done. This billion dollars in borrowing for school districts that have been derelict in protecting their students, and then now we're asking the folks that have been paying much higher taxes to pay back that borrowing, it doesn't seem fair that we wouldn't then reimburse those schools who did take action and make sure that their kids were safe.

And what accountability do we have for those municipalities and school districts who to this day are sending children to school districts, and if this is such a big issue that it could be, as you said earlier, between a

billion and possibly 10 billion, where is the accountability on those folks that are running the schools to allow this to happen for so long?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

SECRETARY RIVERA: So I can't, I can't speak to an issue of accountability. I mean, there are a number of varying factors, you know, in that question -- transitioning leadership, changing school boards, the ability to generate revenue.

REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY: But does the Department oversee that? I mean, obviously right now if you're saying we need this money, we need this extent of borrowing -- and we're talking about charter schools. I was blessed, both my daughters graduated from public schools and got a great education. And I understand charter reform, and I know that Representative Topper had spoke to that earlier, it's something that we certainly need to do. I have heard about it. I met with my school business officials and superintendents. I know that there's an issue there with special education. But at the same time, my God, if my children were being sent to a classroom that was unsafe, why wouldn't we want to encourage those children to take advantage of the safe option of using charter schools if those districts have been that negligent for so long?

SECRETARY RIVERA: I don't think it's an apples-to-apples conversation. I think, you know, as far

```
1
       as I'm concerned sitting here---
                 REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY: But it's all children.
 2
 3
       I mean, these are children, right?
                 SECRETARY RIVERA:
                                   Yeah.
 4
 5
                 REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY: And it's about their
 6
       safety. I mean, I don't understand---
 7
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: So -- I'll respond.
 8
                 So I have had the great distinction to be here
 9
       for, you know, a number of years, and I can't justify or
10
       arque around any of the decisions of my predecessors.
11
       what I do know sitting here today is that we have had a
12
       number of schools that we have been working with and have
13
       identified their schools as being schools that are serving
14
       kids in toxic environments. We now know, you know, what
15
       some of our lead levels look like in drinking water.
       now know the impact and effects of, you know, asbestos to
16
17
       the air quality.
18
                 REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY:
                                          So the issue is the
19
       lead---
20
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: This is something that I know
2.1
       today, so as Secretary, I have to present remediation.
22
                 REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY: The issue is the lead in
23
       the drinking water. Is that inside of the buildings or --
24
       is that coming from the water that is coming into these
25
       buildings or is that inside of the buildings?
```

SECRETARY RIVERA: It's an issue of aging infrastructure in terms of lead in their pipes. It could be water that is coming from the inside. It could be water that is ultimately, you know, coming from---

2.1

REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY: Are they providing, are they providing clean, safe drinking water to their students?

SECRETARY RIVERA: As we know it, the schools that have been identified have closed those water fountains down and are providing -- they have to provide water.

That's, you know, a matter of law. But the schools that are working to remediate are.

REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY: All right.

I mean, at the end of the day, I think we all have the same passion for wanting children to have a safe environment to learn in. But at the same time, I think, you know, when you have certain areas which are paying extremely high property taxes, and they had to do this to fix some of these problems, now being asked to flip the bill for districts that have been negligent in taking care of that, I think that's a tough, that's a tough pill to swallow for a lot of folks.

And I look forward to working with you to address the issue of the charter school funding formula. I hope we can all come to the table and get something resolved on

- 1 that. But thank you.
- 2 SECRETARY RIVERA: Thank you, sir.
- 3 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Our next questioner is
- 4 Representative Rothman.

2.1

- 5 REPRESENTATIVE ROTHMAN: Thank you,
- 6 Mr. Secretary. Thanks for being here.

Just to point out a problem that my colleague was just talking about, asbestos was banned in 1977. My elementary school, Sporting Hill, had asbestos abatement in 1977. I was a student there. Lead-based paint was banned in 1978, and lead pipes, they stopped using lead in pipes in 1986. And we're talking about 40-some years of knowledge of this, and I agree that we're almost ignoring the bad, or rewarding the bad behavior of ignoring these

But my question is about full-day kindergarten, and you talked about it earlier this morning, that there will be hardship cases. I have heard from my superintendent of my largest school: They just don't have the room, and actually, they don't even have the demand.

important issues by saying, well, it's okay, don't spend

money on it, someone else will spend it on you.

So I understand a proposal and certainly believe that there are parents and children that could benefit from full-day kindergarten, but any thought about not making it a mandate but making it an option and that schools, if they

1	want to have full-day kindergarten, can do it, but not a
2	mandate.
3	Thank you, and thank you for your time,
4	Mr. Secretary.
5	SECRETARY RIVERA: No; absolutely.
6	And just to kind of share a perspective on that,
7	that's why the Governor is looking at a window and
8	providing an opportunity for hardship, so a roll-in window,
9	and those are all the conversations that are going to take
10	place that ultimately will impact the
11	REPRESENTATIVE ROTHMAN: But it would be a
12	mandate. Even if there's a window and a hardship, we are
13	going to mandate that every school district do full-day
14	kindergarten, or is it an option?
15	SECRETARY RIVERA: Yeah. So this being that
16	starting conversation, I am sure those are conversations
17	that are going to happen between the Administration and the
18	General Assembly.
19	REPRESENTATIVE ROTHMAN: Thank you.
20	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: With that, we'll move
21	to the Chairman of the Education Committee, Representative
22	Curt Sonney.
23	REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
24	Mr. Secretary, good to see you.
25	SECRETARY RIVERA: Good to see you.

REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: I'm going to start off with my home county, Erie County.

2.1

You know, everybody here obviously knows that
Erie County or the Erie City School District received a
special appropriation of \$14 million of reoccurring
revenue, and part of them receiving that was for them to
get a watch officer, and that watch officer was former
Secretary Zogby. And he has been removed, and I was
wondering if you could tell me why he has been removed and
if a replacement is coming.

SECRETARY RIVERA: I can't comment on personnel issues. However, we are expecting and we are moving to replace the position. So we do want to have a financial administrator on site to continue the work that Erie has been engaged in.

In the meantime, we do have boots on the ground.

We have a representative from PFM there full time

continuing as a liaison between the Department and the

school district. And we're going to work with the General

Assembly and the Governor to make another recommendation to

fill that position.

REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: And when that position becomes filled, will that new person have the ability to write a new plan or is the existing plan going to be, you know, moved forward?

SECRETARY RIVERA: Yeah. So when the position -- so that, the plan that they are currently following, is the approved plan.

REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: Mm-hmm.

2.1

SECRETARY RIVERA: So there's an expectation that they're going to continue with that plan. However, I want to be fair in saying that any financial administrator can amend a plan, but it would go through the same process. So not under the cover of night or anything; they would have to follow that same process. But our expectation is that we will replace -- we'll place a new financial administrator, and they're going to continue to follow the plan.

REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: And just for clarification, what's the difference between watch and recovery?

SECRETARY RIVERA: So recovery. So when you're a school district in watch, you are kind of looser oversight. Recovery is a little bit more defined in terms of the plan that you submit. And then the ultimate, you know, plan within that is receivership, and that's when you have a court-appointed receiver.

So watch, we provide some, you know, some fiscal analysis. We go in and kind of do some higher-level accounting with them. When you're in recovery or

1 receivership, it's a much more intensive plan. 2 REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: Recovery is similar to 3 watch where the, you know, local school board isn't forced to follow. In other words, the school board still has 4 5 their authority under both of those designations. Is that 6 correct? 7 SECRETARY RIVERA: That's it. So it's almost 8 like progressive planning. If you don't follow watch, then 9 we'll go more aggressive -- recovery. REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: Mm-hmm. 10 11 SECRETARY RIVERA: And if you don't follow 12 recovery, then ultimately you're in receivership, and 13 that's mandated, court-appointed. The school board only 14 has the ability to increase taxes. 15 REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: And so we anticipate that 16 schools that go into watch will eventually come out of 17 that. 18 SECRETARY RIVERA: Yes. 19 REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: Because we do have a 20 history of schools in receivership that don't come out. 2.1 that correct? 22 SECRETARY RIVERA: We have a history where

We have some schools in recovery that are

schools in receivership have not met the requirements to

23

24

25

come out.

1 improving, and we have lessened their monitoring. I have 2 not yet felt confident, either because of like longitudinal 3 accounting or whatever, didn't feel confident in making a recommendation to fully pull them out. But some are in 5 the, kind of in the process of loosening restrictions. 6 REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: Thank you for that. 7 On transportation funding, I just want to touch back on that for a second. 8 9 So I think you said you're about 150 million 10 short, so to speak, right? 11 SECRETARY RIVERA: Yes. 12 REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: So we're going to have to 13 back-pay 150 million from the new appropriation that comes 14 within this next budget. 15 SECRETARY RIVERA: Yes. REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: And, you know, you 16 17 reiterated that, you know, we're going to have to have a conversation. 18 19 SECRETARY RIVERA: Yeah. 20 REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: And so why is the 2.1 150 million the magic number for the conversation? In 22 other words, why didn't the conversation start sooner? 23 it because the 150 million means that they're going to wait 24 3 months to get that money back and we can't let them wait

6 months to get their money back?

25

1 You know, obviously if we're going to play that mentality, we could do that throughout the entire budget. 2 3 And of course we all know that it's not new to budgeting, it's just not a good practice in budgeting. Generally we 4 5 budget money for the new fiscal year, not for the previous 6 year. And so why is 150 million the magic number? 7 SECRETARY RIVERA: So we have raised this as a conversation ongoing. Why the 100--- Well, the 8 9 150 million actually wasn't the magic number. It's a bit 10 higher, which is kind of like our significant caution 11 number. It's when we would not have enough appropriations 12 to make school districts whole in that upcoming year. So 13 that's why I shared that next year, it's going to be of 14 serious concern. 15 REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: That's 500 million. SECRETARY RIVERA: It's---16 17 REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: That's not 150 million, that's 500 million. 18 SECRETARY RIVERA: Right. So 150 came up because 19 20 we shared the number, but our biggest concern is when we 2.1 can't make them whole anymore. 22 REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: So your biggest concern 23 is when it reaches the 500 million. 24 SECRETARY RIVERA: Well, our biggest concern has

been every year that we have been reporting, but the

25

absolute siren that goes off is when we can't make them whole.

2.1

REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: NPRC was formed back in,

I think, 2014, and back in 2014, Erie County was at the

last minute included into the footprint of that new type of

community college. And now, of course, in Erie County

we're dealing with the county's submission to have their

own stand-alone community college. And so we are going to

have an evidentiary hearing in Erie, and my question is,

first, you know, is this the first time that this has

happened? Has the Department held other evidentiary

hearings concerning any of the other existing community

colleges?

SECRETARY RIVERA: As I know, the State Board of Education has not held any other evidentiary hearings as it relates to community colleges. And I think that's a -- if you would allow me to provide a point of clarification.

This is the State Board process. The Department of Ed is staff in this process, so to speak. So we don't -- we support the process, but the State Board facilitates the process.

REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: Okay.

And lastly, I just want to revisit the charter special education funding, and I'm going to kind of piggyback on Representative Delozier.

1 So if I heard correctly, you know, you don't have any physical data -- okay? -- that supports that school 2 3 districts are sending more educational dollars to the charter world that the charter world is not spending on 5 those students. In other words, do we have a way to know 6 if the money being shipped to the charters is actually 7 being spent on the special education students? Or do we 8 know from data there is excess money that is not being 9 spent? Because it is formula driven. You know, we are 10 11 not---12 SECRETARY RIVERA: I understand the question. 13 REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: We are not a 14 pay-as-you-go. It's a formula driven. 15 SECRETARY RIVERA: Yeah; yeah. REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: So do we have that data? 16 17 SECRETARY RIVERA: When we have identified -- and 18 I'm going to be really fair as I say this to all parties, 19 public schools and charter schools. 20 When we have identified the excess in terms of 21 what we believe there's a payment of, in no way were we 22 indicting charter schools to say they're not spending that funding on those students. What we have said is that we 23 24 have appropriated a special education funding formula to 25 traditional schools. So you get the level: Level 1

```
1
       students receive X, Level 2, and Level 3 and Level 3B.
       However, charter schools still receive special education
 2
 3
       funding on the average student, which is higher for Level 1
       and Level 2 students than, you know, what the new formula
 5
       appropriation would be.
 6
                 And I think to be fair to both parties, we have
 7
       said the increase or the excess is just based on the
 8
       formula, but I could -- and I would never say that charter
 9
       schools aren't spending those funds on the students who
      have special needs. What we have said---
10
11
                 REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: And we don't know
12
       either---
13
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: We don't know. We don't---
14
                 REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: ---because we don't track
15
       that.
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: We don't track that for
16
17
       traditional public schools or, you know, charter schools.
18
                 REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: Do we track the actual
19
      services themselves? In other words, you know, can you
20
       tell me that, you know, X amount of students are receiving
21
       speech therapy, X amount of students -- you know, do we
22
      track that?
23
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: The level of services, yes.
24
                 REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: Yes. But not the cost of
25
       services.
```

SECRETARY RIVERA: Not the cost nor whether or not anyone is going above and beyond.

REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: And of course the local school district has the ability to raise taxes and raise any shortfall that they have locally, where the charter world does not have that ability.

SECRETARY RIVERA: Correct.

2.1

Now, we have said that, many expenses. But as the traditional school districts and school boards have been reporting, their ability to generate enough revenue through taxes and their expenses is, you know. And even with our, in many cases with what we're providing them, you know, it has been offset. They haven't been able to generate enough revenue.

REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: So should we be looking at collecting different data to be able to better quantify what those costs should be?

SECRETARY RIVERA: Of the specific student programs?

REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: Of special education.

SECRETARY RIVERA: I think the work of the Special Education Commission, I think staying within those spans has provided a good accounting of what those costs would be. I think the work that we have done through the Commission and the work that has been done independently

provides a good band of costs associated. I think our Level 1, Level 2, and Level 3 program costs, the way we account for them, is really good, you know, is a good representation of the cost of the program.

REPRESENTATIVE SONNEY: Okay. Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2.1

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Representative White.

REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Today we have discussed a lot about the safety of our students, important issues like how much money we should allocate toward lead and asbestos remediation that had previously been ignored by local municipalities and some school districts for nearly a half century. We talked about the trauma-informed education dollars to help those children who have suffered from severe incidents in their life, including those children exposed to illegal drug activity. And this week in Philadelphia, our city would have permitted a safe heroin injection site to be opened and placed in the same building complex as a preschool and mere blocks away from our local high school.

In other countries, residents and business owners who live and work near safe heroin injection sites have warned us of the local impact of these sites. They have witnessed increased open-air drug dealing, heroin usage, theft, and prostitution taking place within its vicinity,

leaving parents very concerned for their children walking to and from school.

Can you tell us why these sites are permitted in Pennsylvania so close to our children and how your agency plans to keep our kids safe from these sites?

SECRETARY RIVERA: The information on the site you are describing, I have only learned of it in reading about it through the newspaper. As I understand, it ultimately was not approved. So I don't know how -- one is, I'm not exactly familiar with the process, you know, by which they created it, but I do know what I read last, that it was not an approved program.

REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: So it's my understanding that the person who was going to lease to the facility the site, that they just removed and did not issue the lease. That's the only way that it didn't go through. But the site, the safe house, is looking for a new site, and that's concerning again. I mean, what do you think that the appropriate distance from our children's schools would be permissible for these sites to even be open?

SECRETARY RIVERA: One, I would have to do much more due diligence around it. It just wouldn't be responsible for me to respond without knowing all the information.

But I do support, you know, there is currently a

safe and drug-free school zone, and I'm extremely
supportive of the safe and drug-free school zone. So I
would think that, you know, everyone should be expected to
follow the expectation of that zone.

2.1

REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: Well, it's my understanding that this site was permitted to open in Philadelphia, and in Pennsylvania, there weren't any, you know, rules or regulations that they would be violating. They were just going to open up this week, and those little kids would be having to walk past that kind of activity.

I think it behooves us as Legislators to consider this issue, and I also would like to hear, you know, if the Governor or your Department has a position on these heroin injection sites, especially as it pertains to the proximity to our children.

SECRETARY RIVERA: Let me do a little more due diligence on this, and we'll respond accordingly.

REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: Well, do you think at a minimum, I mean, right now, we don't allow cigarette sales to happen within 500 feet of a school. Do you think that that's appropriate, at least?

SECRETARY RIVERA: Selling cigarettes?

REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: As it pertains to heroin injection sites being located near our children.

SECRETARY RIVERA: Yeah. I mean, I can share, I've gone on -- so what I have gone on the record, and I think we can make a connection, although, again, I would have to do a little more digging. But I have gone on the record in terms of the sale of tobacco. I've gone on the record against the use of vaping. I've gone on the record around the use of drugs in and around our schools.

2.1

REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: But wouldn't you say that heroin injection is far more severe than cigarettes?

SECRETARY RIVERA: Yeah. I absolutely would not like to see heroin in and around our schools, but the specific proposal you are mentioning, I just don't have enough information to, you know, qualify a statement.

REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: Would you be considering any, you know -- I mean, this was a site that was going to open up this week. Our children will be walking past these types of drug activities, which are, you know, traumatizing, I imagine, for young minds. Do you have any intentions of asking for more dollars for our trauma-informed education to help with that potential environment that they are faced with? Should these sites open up in Pennsylvania?

SECRETARY RIVERA: So we'll continue to request more support and more funding for trauma-informed to address the social and emotional needs of students, you

1 know, just in general.

Again, I don't want to go on the record in speaking about a program I know very little about, because I could make a statement that is just not true or not aligned to the intent of the program. So I just don't -- I don't know anything or enough of the program to make a comment. But we can absolutely have a conversation around do I support trauma-informed, do I support mental health services, do I support drug-free schools, do I support safety communities. The answer to that is absolutely without question. But, you know, to comment specifically on a program I don't know anything about, it would just not be responsible.

REPRESENTATIVE WHITE: Thank you very much, Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Representative McCarter.

REPRESENTATIVE McCARTER: Thank you very much,
Mr. Chairman.

I would like to get back to a little bit again on school safety as well and the issue of the school safety grants and looking at last year compared to this year as to where we're going as well.

Last year, as I understand, there was \$60 million in the program, of which roughly a third was the basic

grant to all school districts. I think it was 18 percent or 19 percent. And then the -- or more than that. I'm sorry. It was actually \$19 million, I think was the minimum payment divided up. And then the rest of that was in competitive grants in one form or another, and that's a total of \$15 million, I know, for the different funds in the competitive grant program.

Now, I have heard from numerous people, school personnel back in my district, who have looked at, over the past week since the grants were announced, looked at these and really had a lot of questions dealing with how decisions were made as to who got the grants and who didn't get the grants. In fact, in Montgomery County, the grants there, I think only a third of the school districts applying got grants, and I know there were 470-some districts that applied, so it was a highly competitive situation.

But one of the criteria that I think, and I think
I have heard you speak on this several times, and obviously
for the new program coming, was that mental health issues
should be a major criteria for getting money. Many of them
put in for mental health money, and yet, one of the
grantees was the Agora Cyber School, or Cyber Charter
School. And the major part of their proposal, as I
understand it, was that they were putting in for school

1 personnel for a resource officer.

Well, I guess they're all having trouble and I'm having trouble understanding how a cyber school would win a grant for a resource officer when they physically don't have buildings -- they're not brick and mortar -- how they would get a grant, and yet the schools that are putting in for mental health programs and trying to deal with the problem there were rejected. Can you enlighten me a little bit?

SECRETARY RIVERA: I wish I had -- I can definitely do some digging. Well, I can reach out to PCCD and ask them to provide a response. But I was not involved either in the facilitating of those grants.

REPRESENTATIVE McCARTER: Okay. The Department of Ed didn't have anything to do with the facilitating of those in terms of criteria?

SECRETARY RIVERA: We help with criteria and some in terms of facilitation, but it's not something that I was directly involved in.

REPRESENTATIVE McCARTER: Okay. Well, let's change then to this year's grants since we're now reduced down from 60 million down to 15 million, and that 15 million will be competitive also in grants. Is that correct?

SECRETARY RIVERA: I believe so, as I have read.

REPRESENTATIVE McCARTER: Okay. And the major criteria again, I think as you have emphasized, should be on mental health. Is that correct?

SECRETARY RIVERA: We have made that recommendation, yes.

2.1

REPRESENTATIVE McCARTER: Okay. And who will be doing the assessment of the grants this year? Will that be the Department of Education, or---

SECRETARY RIVERA: No. The PCCD grant funding is specific to PCCD.

REPRESENTATIVE McCARTER: Okay. So that will go through PCCD.

SECRETARY RIVERA: Much like the Members of the General Assembly were one seat on the committee and, you know, kind of have one voice at that table.

REPRESENTATIVE McCARTER: I will put in a plea, since I know for my school districts as well that the idea of the competitive grants are making this very difficult for the school systems, that they are spending a lot of time developing these grant proposals, that the amount of money available is very restrictive at this point, especially down to 15 million, and it doesn't make sense for them to take the time to do this knowing that it seems like the criteria have not been followed adequately in the past and that they surely, you know, want some better

parameters here.

2.1

So if we can change this program in some way, and if we can go back to, again, trying to find a way within the legislative fix to be able to add more money back into this program from the General Fund, as we did in the past years, that would be very, very beneficial as well.

Thank you.

SECRETARY RIVERA: I'll pass that along. Thank you, Representative.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Representative

McCarter, I agree with you. We have had a lot of

complaints from our area of the State as well about how the

grants are given out and disappointment in the way the

evaluations and how they have been awarded, so I appreciate

your comments.

With that, we'll move to Representative Greiner.

REPRESENTATIVE GREINER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I want to follow up on something that came up earlier. It's interesting, the Nellie Bly tuition program. Certainly with my financial background, I know there is concerns and we're having spirited discussions about the funding of it. That is one issue.

I think there's a second issue conceptually that I struggle with, and coming from an area where Thaddeus Stevens is in my district now. They're expanding. You

have HACC in my district and other schools. What I'm wondering is, why would the students that attend those schools, and let's face it, Penn State has branches throughout the State, Pitt, Temple. You know, why can't the kids at these institutions, why would they be shut out from receiving moneys in this program?

SECRETARY RIVERA: So that's a great question, and as we have been engaging, and specifically this year around the Nellie Bly scholarship, there's a real workforce rationale behind it. And now I'll kind of start at the higher level and then work my way down, if you don't mind, Representative.

First, one of the things that we have realized through the Department as we have been doing our workforce readiness work is that we know, as I have shared a few times, you know, 2025, that 60 percent number of individuals that need an industry certificate or a 2-year or 4-year degree, and we are well below that number, both in terms of the percentages of high school graduates that are going on and attaining those. Our graduates, our percentages are increasing, but the number is decreasing. And then we know that there's a real shifting of population and demographic here, you know, here in Pennsylvania, and that, you know, really forces us to be a little more aggressive in that, in the degree-attainment area.

So as we were strategizing and working with the Governor and the Governor's team on this, PASSHE was identified for a number of reasons: one, it's the State System of Higher Ed, so it's the State System schools. Geographically, their schools represent a larger geographic map. So one institution represents almost every county in the Commonwealth, so it's geographically diverse. It holds socioeconomic diversity and diversity as a whole. And it's one of the, it's the cheapest higher ed option in terms of a 4-year option in the Commonwealth, so \$7,000 for tuition.

So by investing in Nellie Bly and looking at that 6-year program, it will provide, for students who qualify, practically free college tuition for that cohort of students, and that's what we were focused on.

REPRESENTATIVE GREINER: Yeah. Well, I still think when you look at Penn State, you know, and I don't want to -- I mean, I'm looking at Thaddeus Stevens and everybody, the community colleges. But Penn State has probably even as large a footprint, if not more, than the PASSHE system, let alone throw in Pitt's branch campuses and you have Temple in Philly. I'm just saying, because what else to me is problematic, and I guess I understand why, but I don't understand, my understanding is that non-Pennsylvania residents are going to be eligible for this.

I mean, I would like to help -- I mean, my commitment is to help our own Pennsylvania kids, young people, to do this. I mean, what's the thought process by allowing out-of-Staters this ability to go get this scholarship and then they only can go to a PASSHE school?

SECRETARY RIVERA: Mm-hmm.

2.1

And the position to align the PASSHE is because it's \$7,000 a year as opposed to a more costly 4-year option. And moving towards out-of-State students or out-of-State graduates, in order to qualify for the Nellie Bly scholarship, you have to stay in Pennsylvania for 6 years after graduation. If you leave before those 6 years, you have to reimburse the State for the amount of funding that you use proportionately during that time.

So what the Governor, you know, identified and I support is that in addition to a higher educational opportunity, a higher ed opportunity, this is also a workforce opportunity. So we know that keeping individuals in State for 6 years after graduation will, you know, reinforce our pool and also keep folks here in the Commonwealth.

REPRESENTATIVE GREINER: I appreciate clarifying that. I wasn't sure that it was 6 years. I thought it was only the amount of years that people, that they received those grants. I thought it was a proportionate amount.

1 SECRETARY RIVERA: We're both correct in that. 2 So it's the amount of years individuals received the grant 3 up to 6 years. REPRESENTATIVE GREINER: What other criteria 4 5 would, for instance, an out-of-State student, how do you 6 prioritize who is going to get these grants, you know, in 7 this setup? 8 SECRETARY RIVERA: Yeah. You would have to 9 qualify based on the Federal standards in terms of Federal 10 Student Aid. So, one, it would be a need based. So, you 11 know, some of us call it last dollar, others need based. 12 So it would kick in after you receive your Federal aid and 13 other financial aid. 14 REPRESENTATIVE GREINER: I'm just going to say 15 that I think the funding of it is a spirited discussion. 16 think there's going to be spirited discussion on this part 17 of it, too. Just my thoughts. But I appreciate the 18 answers. 19 Thanks, Mr. Chairman. 20 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Next is Representative 2.1 Seth Grove. 22 REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 23 I just want to make sure I heard that correctly. 24 Safe injection sites are not part of the STARS program,

25

right?

1	SECRETARY RIVERA: Keystone STARS?
2	REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Yeah.
3	SECRETARY RIVERA: Right, it's not.
4	REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: There's no connection
5	there, right?
6	SECRETARY RIVERA: I hope you're not picking on
7	my child again.
8	REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: All right. I just wanted
9	to make sure. I just wanted to make sure.
10	How much money did we totally allocate in PlanCon
11	bonds to date?
12	SECRETARY RIVERA: Total?
13	REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Total.
14	DIRECTOR MARIANO: So we have bonded 1.6 billion
15	over the three bonds that have been taken out.
16	REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: So we injected
17	\$1.6 billion in the school districts. And that allows lump
18	sums, too, correct? Lump sum payments?
19	DIRECTOR MARIANO: If that was the arrangement,
20	then I believe so, yes.
21	REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Okay.
22	So we have had \$1.6 billion in the school
23	districts across this Commonwealth, but yet we need an
24	additional billion dollars for toxic schools?
25	SECRETARY RIVERA: I think PlanCon as a

1 reimbursement---2 REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Mm-hmm. 3 SECRETARY RIVERA: And actually we know this, because as we visited school districts across the 4 5 Commonwealth through the PlanCon commission, the need for 6 that level of remediation and the intention of PlanCon were 7 different historically, which is why---8 REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: But there's some overlap, 9 right? 10 SECRETARY RIVERA: There can be some. 11 REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: There's overlap. 12 SECRETARY RIVERA: Yes. 13 REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: So the big question is, 14 what did those school districts who got lump sum payments 15 do with that money and they still have toxic schools? think that's a question we need to answer, right? 16 17 SECRETARY RIVERA: We would have to look into it. 18 REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: That's fair, right? 19 SECRETARY RIVERA: We would have to look into if 20 there's a connection between who received PlanCon dollars and whether or not they're on that list. 2.1 22 REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Yeah. I mean, listen, if 23 I got a school district somewhere in Pennsylvania that 24 received \$2 to \$3 million in lump sum payments and they 25 have toxic schools, why didn't they plow that money back

into those schools to make sure it's safe, right? I mean, that is a fair question to ask those school districts who received those dollars.

SECRETARY RIVERA: Yeah. Having lived through the PlanCon process, I could have put in a school for submission through PlanCon to renovate that one building but then have another building in my district that may have been in phase 3, 4, or 5 and then submit PlanCon for that. So I don't know if there's -- there could be a connection, but I don't know if there's a connection.

REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Well, I'm just saying,
like, in past building projects, if we gave them a lump sum
that they're owed, because remember, when we did those
bonds, we owed school districts obviously a lot of money.
So if we caught them up and gave them lump sums, there has
got to be an overlapping connection.

I think I wrote you a letter last year saying what the connection was between PlanCon and so forth. But I think it's something that we need to look for and you need to look into as a Department to see, what is the connection, how much money in PlanCon bonds do these schools receive, and what did they do with that money. Did they prioritize it to take care of and make sure the safety and well-being of those students was a priority? I think it's a fair question to ask.

1	One other follow-up. Basic ed funding can be
2	used for the formula and then for financial recovery, the
3	loan, correct? Is there any other use of those dollars
4	that I'm not aware of?
5	DIRECTOR MARIANO: Are you talking about the BEF,
6	basic education funding?
7	REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Yeah, BEF. Yep; mm-hmm.
8	DIRECTOR MARIANO: Well, that has many different
9	uses when it gets to the school district, because it goes
10	into their general fund.
11	REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: But for us, us, the
12	State's use, right? We can send out basically by the
13	formula, or if there is access, we can give it to schools
14	through the financial recovery loan, correct?
15	DIRECTOR MARIANO: If we move money into the
16	financial recovery loan account from prior year funding
17	REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Mm-hmm.
18	DIRECTOR MARIANO: Is that what you're talking
19	about?
20	REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Yes. Yeah.
21	DIRECTOR MARIANO: Yes, that is a possibility.
22	REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Is there any other
23	possibility for that, the use of BEF, or is that it in the
24	statute?
25	DIRECTOR MARIANO: Not the current year subsidy.

1 It's just based on what the formula drives out.

2.1

REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Prior year funds, what can that be used for outside of the financial recovery loans?

DIRECTOR MARIANO: A variety in terms of, we have audit adjustments that we have to make where we would need to potentially address over or underpayments. I believe a piece of it can also be moved to another restricted account for transportation recovery.

REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Okay. So audit recovery, in 2017, there was an increase to the, or this fiscal year there was an increase to the 2017 line item of \$810,000, but yet we spent -- 2008, by the way, 2008 was before I was elected to this place. The 2008 line item was still active this fiscal year. The Department spent \$189,766. Would an audit go back that far?

DIRECTOR MARIANO: I would have to look into that issue and get back to you.

REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Yeah. Because the data I'm looking at is 2008, 2010, 2011, 2014.

2014 has \$2.6 million. I mean, if you want to give that to my districts in the 196, I would be appreciative of it. 2015, 25,000, which was spent this fiscal year; and then 2016, \$2 million.

I'm just saying, that's a lot of money to be holding there. I get some audit fluctuations, but having

1 that corrected and not having this money sit there, particularly if it's going to one school district. 2 the idea of BEF is everybody benefits, and I'm out of time.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: All right.

Representative Topper.

REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: Again, Mr. Secretary, real quick, I want to hit on teacher evaluations, because that has been a topic that obviously has been in the mix legislatively for a while and we have discussed, maybe held up a little bit more than I would have liked throughout the process, as most education bills seem to get.

But I guess now my concern is implementation. we are able to get the updates to Act 82 into law within the next few months, does that still give the Department enough time, with a couple tweaks that we might, you know, have to put in, do you feel comfortable that you could get that implemented for the next school year?

SECRETARY RIVERA: We would work with the General Assembly to make sure it's a good, aggressive timeline. If that happens within the next few months, allowing us the end of the school year into the summer to orient superintendents and principals around, and then the data collection -- do you want to jump into it?

DEPUTY SECRETARY STEM: May I real quick?

1 SECRETARY RIVERA: Yeah.

2.1

DEPUTY SECRETARY STEM: Thanks for letting me jump in.

So I had the privilege of working closely with individuals and the General Assembly to support or give feedback. So in this area, there are some regulatory requirements that then have to follow that would probably take us, at this point, into the start of the, the start of the '21-22 school year.

So I think we wouldn't be able to go live this fall. However, if we were to get legislation passed and have a runway that would allow for the regulatory activities that have to happen, then we would be -- there are certain things that need to be published in regulations to operationalize what's in the statute.

REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: Okay.

DEPUTY SECRETARY STEM: So we would be looking at '21-22.

REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: Well, we're going to still push, you know, I still want to see this get done. Anything that we can do legislatively, you know, to make sure we can at least start getting it in place this year or for the next year we'll try to do. But yeah, I'm sure we have already, you know, walked around that circle for a little while now.

```
1
                 And one more thing on cyber charters. As we move
       forward, there's 14 cyber charters currently authorized.
 2
 3
      Am I---
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: Fourteen. Yes.
 4
 5
                 REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: Okay. Of those 14,
 6
      because obviously a charter, a brick-and-mortar charter
 7
       school has a home school district that authorizes, or an
 8
      authorizing school district.
 9
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: Correct.
10
                 REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: But cybers are authorized
11
      by---
12
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: The State.
13
                 REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: By the State.
14
                 How many of the schools are currently operating
15
       with expired contracts? Do you happen to have that
16
       information?
17
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: I can pull it up.
18
                 REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: Or charter agreements?
19
                 SECRETARY RIVERA: I want to say I think we
20
       finally worked through about four. If you don't mind, I'll
2.1
       forward that to you. I didn't bring the sheet of the
22
       authorized---
                 REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: But, I mean, obviously
23
24
      you guys know what you're working on. I mean, how many --
25
       are we caught up? Are we close to being caught up? Are
```

the ones that are not authorized -- I guess my point is, I mean, we do have discretion. If we're authorizing the charters for these schools, I assume that we feel they are meeting the expectations of the Department.

2.1

SECRETARY RIVERA: The ones we authorize, we're very confident. They are meeting the expectations or they have a plan in place to meet those expectations.

REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: All right. So you feel comfortable having the authority as the authorizer. We don't need to go find an independent third party. You feel like you've got a handle on it?

SECRETARY RIVERA: Well, we actually have been having conversations around not necessarily for ultimate authorization, but there's a lot more work that can go into authorizing that we don't have the capacity to engage in.

So, for example, the four cyber charters that we have worked with over the course of the past few years was extremely work intensive. I mean, we like to go on and provide site visits and look at the curriculum, look at governance, look at, you know, their financial accounting, and so we put in a lot of time and effort.

Part of what we have been discussing at our level is to do that due diligence, it may be worthwhile for us to look at contracting or finding someone else to do the report for us and then we ultimately make the

```
1
       recommendation. Because I just use the same existing, the
 2
       existing team to go out, drop what they're doing, and then
 3
       go out and work on authorization of charters.
                 REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: Okay.
 4
 5
                 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
                 SECRETARY RIVERA:
 6
                                    Sure.
 7
                 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Very good.
                 Since Chairman Bradford spoke for 10 minutes
 8
 9
       earlier, I'm only going to give him a minute now.
10
                 MINORITY CHAIRMAN BRADFORD: But I noticed it.
11
                 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: But you noticed it.
       know. You shouldn't have told the Chairman that you had
12
13
       already spoken for 10 minutes.
14
                 With that, I'll turn it over to Representative
15
       Bradford.
                 MINORITY CHAIRMAN BRADFORD: Thank you, Chairman
16
17
       Saylor, and thank you for the opportunity to speak.
                 I would be remiss, though, if I didn't go back to
18
19
       the issue of school construction and the obvious concern
20
       that many of us have about lead and asbestos abatement.
2.1
                 There is kind of two schools of thought that I
22
      have heard in response to the Governor's proposal.
23
       Alternatively, it's, you're on your own, these districts
24
      need to figure it out; or basically, live with it, it's
25
       your school district, figure it out. I don't think that
```

obviously plays to the best in Pennsylvania. In fact, I think it is ignorant of the reality.

The one gentleman actually talked about asbestos and lead were banned in, I believe, and I'm going to throw out the dates because I'm not sure they were true, but I think he said '73, '78, and '86. I am very concerned when we go down this road that we pit our more affluent communities against our poorer communities and what those kids look like. In fact, I heard the term used, if we were to do something for these districts, we would be "rewarding bad behavior" was the term I heard. I think that sorely misses the point.

I have four kids. They go to public schools. I have a ninth grader who was born in '05, a seventh grader who was born in '07. I have to write these down. That's embarrassing. I have a first grader who was born in '12 and a pre-K who was born in '14. Their schools don't have asbestos or lead, not because we reward bad behavior but they won the lottery of life. They're in one of our wealthier school districts.

I think we really miss the point as to what we're here to do if we go down and talk about how those children, and that's who we're talking about, children, should pay the price for the failings of their parents' school district or, frankly, our Commonwealth.

We have school districts that have tremendous, tremendous disparity in wealth -- tremendous. In fact, there's a Supreme Court case right now challenging the equity of how schools are funded in this Commonwealth.

2.1

My kids go to a school, I mentioned, that was built in the mid-nineties. These concerns weren't even an issue. My kids go to a school that has a 12-to-1 student-to-teacher ratio and about an 82 percent proficiency in reading and math. These are very different districts we're talking about. And so when we compare apples and oranges, districts that are dealing with the most basic struggles of providing kids an education and then wonder why these other districts that we can say are other people's problem, I think we missed the point by a far, far spot.

Now again, I think we also need to pack some of the emotion with some facts. The old PlanCon, PlanCon as it was originally intended, PlanCon, the idea that was put out by the gentleman from York was to provide an opportunity for these poorer districts to do remediation.

Well, let's remember that there was a 20-percent alteration rule in the old PlanCon program. So in order to get PlanCon, you needed a renovation that would have been 20 percent of rebuilding costs. So these weren't small, let's go in and just do lead, let's do asbestos. Only

wealthier districts that were doing massive renovations could have used PlanCon.

2.1

Now, the wisdom of this building in the new PlanCon, we actually have allowed for smaller renovation projects that would allow for asbestos and lead remediation, but the same wisdom that allowed us to set up that program allowed us in every year since it was set up not to fund it. So there has been no funding. These schools are our kids.

I actually in a hearing the other day, after it was over, I recognized some of our Members who, when they were speaking at the ag industry in Pennsylvania, spoke glowingly of it. We don't have a lot of Democratic Members that are closely connected to the ag industry, though we are all consumers of the many products they provide. But they know we have a vested interest in that industry. We as a Commonwealth need to recognize that we have a vested interest in every child. We're not rewarding bad behavior. These kids weren't even born when these problems were created, when they were recognized, and frankly, it doesn't matter at this point. We have a huge, very costly obligation, and we need to get about the business of addressing it.

So I would say to my good friends, we can all be upset about how we found ourselves in this predicament, but

1 | we need to address it. That's it.

2.1

2 SECRETARY RIVERA: Thank you.

3 MINORITY CHAIRMAN BRADFORD: Thank you, Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Very good.

Just to remind you, Chairman Bradford, that without ag, your students wouldn't eat. So I think you do have a vested interest in the city of Philadelphia and Pittsburgh in York and cities in ag.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN BRADFORD: I agree.

Wanted to do is correct Representative Comitta in thanking the Governor for the libraries. It was not the Governor who put \$5 million in for the libraries, it was the State Legislature who put them in, and this is again another year where the Governor has ignored our libraries in this Commonwealth, who are a big part of our communities, and for many of our children, it is critical that that funding be put into place. And I'm disappointed that again the Governor has not made recommendations to at least increase that line item by some amount.

The other consideration I have and a real concern, Mr. Secretary, as we talked about earlier, is the pupil transportation issue, \$157 million short, and there's no recommendation.

Look, we have a Secretary of the Department of

Health and Human Services who overspent the budget this year by \$900 million. My concern is, you're going to be back here next year looking for \$300 to \$400 million for pupil transportation to balance your budget, in all truth and honesty. That's scary.

What the Secretary of Health and Human Services has done is put us into a crisis here as we draw this budget up, because we need to invest more, as you fully recognize, and I know you have always stood for more money for education. But when we have Secretaries who overspend the budget, it puts us in a difficult position to fund special education, to pre-K, to the Governor's proposal for full-day kindergarten. So it's a real concern I have that we have not got a request for additional money for pupil transportation.

Another thing I really hope that the Department will focus on with our colleges that are doing teacher training is working with these new teachers to understand the new technology and incorporating them into the classrooms. That's the biggest complaint I get from superintendents about new teachers. They're bright, they're intelligent, they're enthusiastic, but they haven't learned coming out of college how to incorporate technology into their techniques of teaching. And so I would encourage you to work with those colleges to do that.

Last but not least is the big issue of asbestos and lead. You know, my colleague talks about, well, you know, let's just move on. I'm not going to move on, and I don't think anybody is. We all care about kids and the teachers in these classrooms, but the incompetence, the malfeasance in office that these school boards have had, every one of these school districts that I know of that have not dealt with this issue that has been around for 40 years are getting over 48 percent of their funding from the State compared to other school districts in York and Montgomery and Chester and counties across this State who are getting 15 to 19 to 20 percent of their funding. Yet, those school districts dealt with this issue.

2.1

The school districts who got the most funding from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania failed to do the right thing. They didn't seem to care about kids because there's other ways to spend money. Now, whether that was, I don't know, but it's a real shame that we have school boards and superintendents who have ignored the health and safety of teachers and children for this long -- 40 years. Unbelievable.

And I'm not willing to give anybody a pass for their incompetence. Yes, it's past school boards, and yes, it's time we fix the problem. And nobody is saying that we shouldn't fix the problem on this side of the aisle, but

somewhere along the line, we have to, and people expect us to have accountability in government. That's why so often government is looked down on, is because there is nobody held accountable when they do malfeasance in office, when they mismanage the dollars that our taxpayers pay. They don't blame the Stan Saylors or Matt Bradfords. They belong to the taxpayers of Pennsylvania, and they expect accountability. They expect that their children go to a school that is safe and that the teachers in those classrooms also are being kept safe.

And I visited probably, Mr. Secretary, and I know you have as well, probably 30-some school buildings in the city of Philadelphia. Some of them are 25 percent filled to 50 percent filled, and nothing has changed. They could have closed down and moved kids around in those school buildings and fixed that problem a number of times, but instead they continue, the City Council continues to ignore the children of Philadelphia, and that's a shame that they are in control and could have fixed this problem. Instead, they also are complicit in the malfeasance and mismanagement of the Philadelphia school system when it comes to protecting children.

So all I can say is, we want to fix this. We want to make sure the kids are safe. But I will tell you, I'm not one who is just going to wave off mismanagement and

1	poor management and people who ignore the safety of our
2	children of this Commonwealth so easily.
3	So with that, Mr. Secretary, I want to thank you
4	for being here today. I look forward to working with you
5	as we progress through this budget process and throughout
6	the year. Thank you for your service.
7	And with that, this Committee will reconvene in
8	10 minutes to hear from the Pennsylvania Higher Education
9	Assistance Agency. Thank you.
10	SECRETARY RIVERA: Thank you, sir.
11	DIRECTOR MARIANO: Thank you.
12	
13	(At 2:40 p.m., the budget hearing adjourned.)

1	I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings
2	are a true and accurate transcription produced from audio
3	on the said proceedings and that this is a correct
4	transcript of the same.
5	
6	
7	Debu B. Meler
8	Debra B. Miller
9	Transcriptionist
10	dbmreporting@msn.com