1	
2	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
3	APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE
4	MAIN CARTON
5	MAIN CAPITOL ROOM 140
6	HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA
7	BUDGET HEARING
8	PENNSYLVANIA LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD
9	TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 25, 2020 3:06 P.M.
10	
11	BEFORE:
12	HONORABLE STANLEY SAYLOR, MAJORITY CHAIRMAN HONORABLE MATT BRADFORD, MINORITY CHAIRMAN
13	HONORABLE ROSEMARY BROWN HONORABLE SHERYL DELOZIER
14	HONORABLE GEORGE DUNBAR HONORABLE JONATHAN FRITZ
15	HONORABLE MATT GABLER HONORABLE KEITH GREINER
16	HONORABLE SETH GROVE HONORABLE MARCIA HAHN
17	HONORABLE DOYLE HEFFLEY HONORABLE LEE JAMES
18	HONORABLE JOHN LAWRENCE HONORABLE JASON ORTITAY
19	HONORABLE CLINT OWLETT HONORABLE GREG ROTHMAN
20	HONORABLE JAMES STRUZZI HONORABLE JESSE TOPPER
21	HONORABLE JEFF WHEELAND HONORABLE RYAN WARNER
22	HONORABLE MARTINA WHITE HONORABLE DONNA BULLOCK
23	HONORABLE MORGAN CEPHAS
24	
25	

1	BEFORE (continued):
2	HONORABLE AUSTIN DAVIS
	HONORABLE ELIZABETH FIEDLER
3	HONORABLE MARTY FLYNN
4	HONORABLE EDWARD GAINEY
4	HONORABLE PATTY KIM
E	HONORABLE STEPHEN KINSEY
5	HONORABLE LEANNE KRUEGER HONORABLE STEPHEN MCCARTER
6	HONORABLE BENJAMIN SANCHEZ
O	HONORABLE PETER SCHWEYER
7	HONORIBEE TETER SOUNDIER
•	NON-COMMITTEE MEMBERS:
8	HONORABLE MATT DOWLING
	HONORABLE TIM HENNESSEY
9	HONORABLE BARRY JOZWIAK
	HONORABLE KURT MASSER
10	HONORABLE TOM MEHAFFIE
	HONORABLE FRANK RYAN
11	HONORABLE CRAIG STAATS
1.0	HONORABLE MIKE TOBASH
12	HONORABLE KEVIN BOYLE
13	HONORABLE MIKE CARROLL
13	HONORABLE DAN DEASY HONORABLE JOE HOHENSTEIN
14	HONORABLE MARY ISAACSON
_	HONORABLE ED NEILSON
15	
16	
	COMMITTEE STAFF PRESENT:
17	DAVID DONLEY, MAJORITY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
	RITCHIE LaFAVER, MAJORITY DEPUTY EXECUTIVE
18	DIRECTOR
1.0	ANN BALOGA, DEMOCRATIC EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
19	TARA TREES, DEMOCRATIC CHIEF COUNSEL
20	
20	
21	
- -	
22	BRENDA J. PARDUN, RPR
	bjpardun@comcast.net
23	717-940-6528
24	
25	

1	INDEX	
2	NAME	PAGE
3	TIM HOLDEN	7
4	CHAIRMAN PENNSYLVANIA LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD	
5	RODRIGO DIAZ	8
6	CHIEF COUNSEL PENNSYLVANIA LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD	
7	CHARLIE MOONEY	8
8	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR PENNSYLVANIA LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD	
9	MIKE NEGRA	19
10	BOARD MEMBER PENNSYLVANIA LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD	
11	MARY ISENHOUR	33
12	BOARD MEMBER PENNSYLVANIA LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: I'll call
3	the hearing to order. And those who are going
4	to testify, if you would rise and raise your
5	right hand.
6	TIM HOLDEN,
7	MIKE NEGRA,
8	MARY ISENHOUR,
9	CHARLIE MOONEY,
10	were duly sworn.
11	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: And with
12	that we'll start off.
13	It's nice, Mary I should say, it's
14	nice to see you back.
15	MS. ISENHOUR: Thank you,
16	Mr. Chairman.
17	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: You've
18	always been a great lady.
19	So, with that, we'll start off. Our
20	first questioner, Representative Lawrence.
21	REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: Thank you,
22	Mr. Chairman. And I appreciate each of you
23	being here today from the committee. Thank
24	you.
25	The Liquor Control Board recently

proposed new regulations to the Independent
Regulatory Review Commission on how taverns
and other liquor licensees handle recycling.
I submitted comments on the proposal during
the IRRC process, and I'm convinced that the
LCB's proposed regulation, if implemented,
will actually discourage recycling.

LCB's new regulation requires a liquor licensee intending to recycle liquor bottles to complete unnecessary paperwork and administrative tasks. A licensee must possess written proof that their county recycles the type of material the licensee proposes to recycle, retain copies of a receipt or invoice from the trash hauler that picks up their recycling, and complete a, quote, simple form every time a tavern owner voluntarily transports used liquor bottles to a drop-off location stating exactly, quote, what was delivered to the drop-off location and when. All documents must be retained for two years.

If a liquor licensee currently recycles glass liquor bottles or plastic liquor bottles on a voluntary basis, I'm convinced that the LCB's new regulation will

result in licensees halting their existing recycling program and, instead, disposing of empty liquor bottles in the trash. If the LCB's new regulation is finalized, bar owners will determine that keeping track of two years' worth of quote, simple forms denoting which liquor bottles were delivered to a drop-off location and when is simple not worth the hassle or the potential fine if the LCB determines recordkeeping is inadequate.

For this licensee, rather than take on all of this administrative burden, the simpler and less risky solution is to simply throw the bottles in the trash.

I submit that it could not possibly be the legislative intent of the general assembly to discourage licensees from recycling. The LCB's proposal is a classic example of government regulation run amuck, giving small business owners more to do, regulators more to enforce, liquor control enforcement more reason to issue fines, and discouraging recycling to boot. There is no real benefit to anyone.

As an alternative to the LCB's

regulations, I propose that the legal
requirement for a, quote, proof in writing and
proof of participation in a recycling program
could be satisfied by simply requiring a
licensee who participates in the recycling
program to display a clearly posted sign in
the licensed premise, next to the
establishment's liquor license that states:
This establishment participates in a recycling
program.

This alternative benefits from simplicity in enforcement for both the board and the licensee. There is no room for debate, confusion, or interpretation.

Would the LCB be willing to entertain this proposal to encourage recycling while reducing administrative burdens and unnecessary regulations on liquor licensees across the Commonwealth?

PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN:

Representative, we are familiar with the proposed regulation, and we also are familiar with your concerns about it. I think at this time would be -- if I could defer to our executive director, Charlie Mooney, to address

1 the Representative's concerns. 2 MR. MOONEY: Representative Lawrence, I'm familiar with the process, and I don't 4 have all the details and if need be, I'll just 5 ask Mr. Diaz to step forward here and get sworn in, who can give the real detail. 6 But the final draft of the -- of the 7 8 proposed regulation is back in our office, 9 Office of Chief Counsel, where it's being 10 reviewed and all comments are being taken into consideration. And we haven't seen the final 11 12 revised recommendation from our chief counsel's office, nor has the board. So, 13 they're not familiar with the back and forth. 14 15 And if you'd like to talk to 16 Mr. Diaz, he's sitting right behind me. 17 REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: Please. 18 PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: Mr. Chairman, 19 do you want to swear him right in? 20 RODRIGO DIAZ, 21 was duly sworn. 22 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: For the 23 record, would you please state your name and 24 your position? 25 MR. DIAZ: Sure. My name is Rodrigo

Diaz, and I am the chief counsel with the Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board.

And, Representative, we did receive your comments. By way of background, what the Liquor Code now requires is that all licensees should destroy their alcohol bottles within twenty-four hours of their use, to prevent refilling. They then created an exception for recycling. And there are certain municipalities that had mandatory recycling, so there's no question at that point as to whether or not they're participating.

We received an inquiry from a licensee who was not in a municipality that required mandatory recycling. And the statute says you have to provide written proof of participating in a voluntary program. And their question to us was: How do I prove that? Because if I can't show it, I can get cited by the state police.

Well, what we had suggested in our regulation is we addressed every scenario we could find and articulated the manner in which to prove it. And proof can consist of here's the voluntary recycling program, and this is

what they collect.

The representative has given us his comments and given that very suggestion. And right now the board the considering all those suggestions. It is not come up for final consideration by the board. It would have to go back to the board for consideration.

We had received another comment as well. The issue really is with the statute that was so much of our requirement. We're trying to let people take advantage of voluntarily recycling.

And the two-year reference, they have to keep all their records for two years. We weren't trying to be burdensome there.

So, I'm sure the board will consider the comments. We're going to give them all the comments and our responses, and they're going to make a decision soon. And then it will go back to IRRC, and then IRRC will make a decision.

REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: And I guess, just from a straight government-bureaucracy-regulation-run-amuck kind of view, wouldn't we want to be making regulations that

are as effective as possible, not discouraging folks from recycling, and make them as simple as possible, while being effective, for both licensees and for enforcement.

MR. DIAZ: And we're trying to do that. I realize that you disagree with the solution we had come up with. But the board is going to have, again, an opportunity to look at it and take your comments into consideration.

We're not trying to make it hard.

We're trying to help a licensee who wants to voluntarily recycle to voluntarily recycle.

That's all we're trying to do. It's the statute that's the issue.

REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE:

Mr. Chairman, I realize I'm over time, so I'll leave it there. But I will say I'm looking forward to introducing legislation and working with Chairman Pyle to change the statute to make it easier to recycle.

PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: Thank you, Representative. Your comments will be take into consideration.

REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: Thank you.

I appreciate that.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Next is Representative Sanchez.

REPRESENTATIVE SANCHEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Chairman Holden, welcome. And also to the board members and the executive director, thank you for being here today.

Let me first start by congratulating you on the record sales and record income, last year showing a 2.9 percent increase over the prior year in sales, which was also the previous retail sales record. And also with the record income, 20.7 percent over the prior year. That's some really good stuff. And I know you strive to balance that profitability with being a responsible seller. So, also a challenge there.

So, I wanted to give you the opportunity to comment on some of the responsible sales initiatives I know you've been undertaking. I remember you describing some last year, but particularly the alcohol education, keeping alcohol out of the hands of minors, and whatever might be new and exciting

on your end.

PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: Sure, Representative.

We take our mission for responsible alcohol consumption very seriously. We work with our licensees on the RAMP certification so they are trained in knowing not to serve visibly intoxicated persons and so forth. We take our responsibility for alcohol education very seriously. We're good resident attendants at colleges and universities, grants to police departments to discourage underaged drinking, grants to school districts, work on a poster contest.

We also have a program that's focused on parents, try to convince them -- you might have seen TV commercials or heard radio commercials about how -- know when to talk to your children about consuming alcohol. We were surprised by our research that the experimenting with alcohol is actually starting with third grade and on up to fifth and sixth grade. And as a result of that, we were addressing a lot of it towards their parents -- the parents of the children.

We had a Senate hearing this morning, and it was brought to our attention that maybe we should invest a little bit more in alcohol education and things like that, and we had a chance to talk in between, and we're going to look seriously about that. But we take it very seriously, and we're going to continue to invest in it.

REPRESENTATIVE SANCHEZ: That's great. And I applaud those efforts. And as a parent to two, I know I'm stunned in looking at this statistic that one in three children have tried alcohol by age eight. So, that education, as you know, needs to start even earlier than probably anyone would think or imagine.

So, you know, please continue those efforts. And I thank you for the hard work.

PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: Thank you.

Before I move to the next questioner,
I wanted to recognize that we've been joined
today by members of the House, who are not
members of our Appropriations committee. I
wanted to recognize Representative Masser
who's here, Representative Jozwiak,

Representative Mehaffie, and Representative
Isaacson. Welcome.

And we'll proceed to the next

And we'll proceed to the next questioner, which is Representative Rothman.

REPRESENTATIVE ROTHMAN: Over here.

Chairman, thank you.

Thank you all for being here.

Mr. Chairman, thank you.

Chairman Holden, in October, October

16th, the speaker Mike Turzai, Chairman Jeff

Pyle, Representative Topper -- Jesse Topper -
myself wrote a letter to you asking for a

pause on a 475 million, ten-year, no-bid award

for your southeastern PA distribution center.

The next day, the board voted to approve this

no-bid award.

My question is, was this facility a build-to-suit project, or were you buying an -- or leasing an existing building?

PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: It's a new warehouse. And we were put in a situation where we were in receipt of a court order directing us not to pay our operator in the Philadelphia warehouse. They had gotten themselves into trouble, unrelated to the

Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board, in the state of Iowa. We were directed not to pay them, and we were paying it into an escrow account or directing it some place else.

As a result of that, we were concerned how long we were going to be able to service the Philadelphia market. Several months later, that operator entered into bankruptcy. We became even more concerned that we were not going to be able to take care of the Philadelphia market, Philadelphia County and the suburban counties around it.

So, we felt it was the best practice for us --for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, for the Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board -- that we needed to look into alternative ways so we would not -- we would not be in a position not to serve the Philadelphia area.

REPRESENTATIVE ROTHMAN: With all due respect, this was a build-to-suit. There was only one entity in the entire planet that could build you a building?

PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN:

Representative, I understand what you're saying. I'm getting there.

As a result of that, we felt that we needed to explore other opportunities, other options. We reached out -- it's a very small universe, as Mike likes to say, people that can do this type of logistics. Duquesne told us they were not interested in it. FedEx told us they were not interested in it. DHL said they were interested in it. We did not do this -- well, Mary wasn't here yet -- just the three of us. It was vetted through the governor's office. It was vetted through the leadership of the general assembly. It was vetted through the attorney general's office, that we felt we had to enter into a sole-source contract to get this done.

We contemplated doing an emergency contract. The attorney general's office advised us against doing that and said a sole-source contract would be the way to go.

The operator filed an objection.

They were going to have their due day in court. They withdrew the objection.

So, it's in the process now that ground is broken.

I will say about the operator, they

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

have continued to provide service. They have not missed deliveries. But they withdrew their operation.

The new facility is in Philadelphia

County. And it's scheduled to start taking

product in June, Charlie? And be in operation

in August.

Rothman. The current contract was set to -is set to expire in August of this year. We
knew that one, the operator was in difficulty.
We also knew that the facility that we are
operating out of, owned by the Commonwealth,
adjacent to the Philadelphia airport, was not
sufficient. It did not have enough square
footage. It did not have the ability to be
state of the art. And it also had a roof that
was going to be between 7 and 10 million
dollars needs of repairs.

So, we felt we acted prudently, and we felt we vetted it through the proper channels.

REPRESENTATIVE ROTHMAN: I appreciate that.

Moving on, in your -- the booklet, at

the end, where you have your statement of cash receipts and disbursements, I'm curious about the tax revenue under receipts. That's a line item. Are there any taxes in this 571,700 dollars that wouldn't be paid if the sale took place under a private store, as opposed to the state store?

MR. NEGRA: Well, my -- I guess, my reaction to that is certainly it's possible.

Okay? The -- right now, that's the 18 percent liquor tax, or Johnstown flood tax, and the 6 percent sales tax over top of that. I think that if we would go to a private-type operation, I think we'd have to come up with the -- the legislature would have to come up with a process that they would be buying wholesale from us that would exclude the 18 percent.

I'm a former retailer. And so, the idea of I'm going to submit 24 percent of my sales directly to the state on a -- on a monthly basis, I think that would be very -- very optimistic to think that 100 percent of those -- of those taxes would be submitted on a monthly basis.

1 I don't know what the shortage in terms of sales tax is from the retail -- from 2 the retail environment in the Commonwealth, 4 but my feeling would be it would be much 5 higher than that if we were asking them to submit 24 percent at a time. 6 7 REPRESENTATIVE ROTHMAN: So, what 8 percentage of these numbers then are wholesale 9 versus retail? MR. NEGRA: Well, we do 25 percent of 10 11 our business in wholesale. Okay? And 75 12 percent of our business in retail. So, they 13 would follow the line, because they're all based on retail tax. 14 15 REPRESENTATIVE ROTHMAN: My time's 16 up, but thank you all. I appreciate the 17 answers. 18 Thank you. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: 19 20 Representative Fiedler. 21 REPRESENTATIVE FIEDLER: Good 22 afternoon. Thank you for being here. 23 We know that when workers and their 24 families earn enough to pay their bills, it's 25 good for everybody. Right? For the workers,

1	for their families, for their community, and
2	also for the entire state.
3	Can you talk a little bit about how
4	the board supports our Pennsylvania families
5	by paying a living wage to entry-level
6	employees on up and the benefits you provide
7	to your employees, please?
8	PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: Our minimum
9	wage right now, Charlie, is 13.05?
10	MR. MOONEY: Yes, 13.05.
11	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: 13.05 to
12	start. It is going to increase next year to
13	13.31. Correct, Charlie?
14	MR. MOONEY: Yes.
15	PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: That's the
16	entry-level minimum wage.
17	REPRESENTATIVE FIEDLER: And how do
18	those benefits compare, do you know, to
19	similar businesses in the retail industry or
20	elsewhere?
21	PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: I can't say
22	exactly, but I would think that we're higher,
23	depending on what part of the Commonwealth
24	you're residing in.
25	REPRESENTATIVE FIEDLER: And just one

additional question for you. So, obviously, pay is part of it. Benefits is part of it.

And schedules are also part of it, fits into things.

One thing that we've talked about is the idea of workers knowing ahead of time their schedules, so that they can plan other parts of their lives, be it child care, second job, community college, time in their community or with their family.

I know that one of the things that's been done in your stores is to make sure that that happens, the idea of scheduling in advance. Is that something that you could talk about?

PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: Yes. I think Charlie could address it better than I can.

MR. MOONEY: Yeah, Representative.

We -- we have an agreement with our union, the UFCW and ISSU that we put out a two-week -- two-week schedule. We went from one week to two weeks in the recent negotiations, and our district managers have endorsed that. So, all our employees know fourteen days in advance whether they're working or whether they're not

1 working, to your point, can make plans around 2 that. REPRESENTATIVE FIEDLER: And, 3 4 obviously, I think that benefits human beings, 5 but from a financial standpoint, seems to make sense in terms of worker retention, saving 6 7 costs, and training new employees, things like 8 that. 9 Thank you. I appreciate it. 10 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: 11 Representative Heffley. 12 REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY: Thank you, 13 Mr. Chairman. 14 A quick question more or less along 15 the regards of licensees and license 16 transfers. What is the average time that it 17 takes right now to transfer an R license 18 across the state? 19 PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: Well, the 20 transfer must be posted, by law, for thirty 21 days. We strive to have the transfer 22 completed within forty-five days. That does 23 not always happen. Sometimes there are

landlord situations, that we would like the

help of the general assembly correcting, that

24

we run into. Sometimes there's not tax
clearance or other issues that come up and it
takes a little longer. But it must be thirty,
and we strive for forty-five.

MR. NEGRA: And -- and on top of that, if there's a protest filed, then a hearing must occur. And that probably adds another three, four months to the whole process.

REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY: Yeah. I'm hearing that it's about six to eight months on average.

PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: Not -Representative, I would not say -- I would say
not on average, but there could be cases where
it took that long, depending on protest and
tax clearance and so forth.

REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY: I have two specific cases in my district that my staff had been working on. One of them, the folks just got fed up with it and backed out. They had gotten some -- the wrong information from one of the inspectors on how to apply for a license, and it delayed the process. And there were other complications.

just wanted to express my concern as to what They were waiting months to get the happened. transfer. And, I guess, from what we heard from the LCB was they had to have a hearing. And normally there's four attorneys, and there wasn't enough attorneys to do the hearing. And it delayed and delayed and delayed getting that hearing. They were about ready to walk.

Fortunately, we encouraged them to stay.

One of them, in particular -- and I

Around the holidays -- they were hoping to be open in October, this was -towards the end of December, I stopped in to visit them. And talking to them a little bit -- they were open, they were just running as a BYO because they hadn't had a license. And they found it ironic that the LCE -actually the enforcement agency actually sent in an agent to try to buy alcohol, and they refused, they said they didn't have their liquor license yet, and they left.

But I -- I was almost embarrassed, as a state representative, to hear that a state agency, after kind of delaying, delaying, and making it very difficult for them to go

11

12

13

14

10

9

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

through this process, and these are folks that already had liquor licenses and other establishments and restaurants in the area, they were making this investment in our district, to then go in and find that they send in an agent then to try to trick them and fine them, after they were the ones -- it just -- it was embarrassing.

Is that a common practice?

PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: I certainly hope not, Representative. It's disheartening to hear that. If it's okay with you, we would not do it on the record here, but we'd contact you through our legislative affairs office and get this specific licensee and try to see what happened there.

REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY: Okay. Yeah.

Because I was -- like I said, it was

embarrassing for me.

But at the end of the day, we have a lot of restaurants, a lot of activities, a lot of tourism activity happening. The economy is tremendous right now. And a lot of these folks are making hundreds of thousands of dollars in investments and bringing

	27/
1	restaurants and businesses into our area. And
2	I just would like to try to see what we could
3	do to speed up that process for the liquor
4	license transfers.
5	PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: We will be in
6	contact.
7	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR:
8	Representative Flynn.
9	REPRESENTATIVE FLYNN: Thank you,
10	Mr. Chairman.
11	My question is for the board. How
12	many employees does the liquor system employ
13	currently?
14	PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: Charlie can
15	answer specifically. Over 5,000.
16	MR. MOONEY: 5300 at the moment,
17	Representative Flynn.
18	REPRESENTATIVE FLYNN: So, 5300. How
19	many of those are full-time jobs?
20	MR. MOONEY: 3200.
21	REPRESENTATIVE FLYNN: 32 full-time
22	jobs. With insurance and a pension plan?
23	MR. MOONEY: That is correct.
24	REPRESENTATIVE FLYNN: And over the
25	past five years, how much has the the PLCB

system contributed to the general fund? 1 2 PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: The last five 3 years, Representative -- the last three years 4 it's been, I believe -- I know, 185.1 million. 5 And 216 one year prior to that. We are confident we can transfer 185 6 7 million next year and the year after that. 8 It's difficult to speculate going further out 9 because of the economy and legislative action 10 that may be taken. But we're comfortable the 11 next two years saying we can transfer 185. 12 REPRESENTATIVE FLYNN: Okay. So, 13 that's hundreds of millions of dollars over 14 the past five years. 15 PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: Yes. 16 Now, how much REPRESENTATIVE FLYNN: 17 money has the system cost the taxpayer? 18 PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: We're 19 self-funded, Representative. 20 REPRESENTATIVE FLYNN: So, we have --21 we have -- Pennsylvania's in the liquor 22 business, we all know. We have a self-funded 23 business working for us that has cost the 24 taxpayers zero dollars and has deposited

hundreds of millions into the general fund

1 over the past five years. 2 PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: That's 3 correct. 4 REPRESENTATIVE FLYNN: Thank you. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: 5 Representative Owlett. 6 7 REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Right here. 8 Thank you for joining us today. 9 And thank you, Mr. Chairman. 10 I just wanted to talk a little bit 11 more about -- we started down this path a 12 little bit -- the underage drinking. Could you explain a little bit more about this --13 14 the grant opportunities that are out there and 15 who has received those grants and some of the 16 programs that they've developed to really counteract this -- this issue and problem we 17 18 have in the Commonwealth? 19 PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: Universities, 20 colleges, police departments, working closely 21 with our alcohol education team trying to 22 identify -- it's competitively bid, trying to 23 identify particular problems that they have in 24 their jurisdiction or people that enrolled in

their university or college. And we try to

rank them and distribute them, trying to address the most serious problems that we can identify.

REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: What are the metrics that we use to determine the effectiveness of these programs?

PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: Charlie, what do you think the metrics would be?

MR. MOONEY: A little bit more about the details on the grants, Representative.

Last year we awarded 1.1 million to sixty-six grantees.

We presently are accepting

applications for a two-year cycle that closes

March 20th. The information for the

applications can be found our website. And

the fund will run -- the grant will run from

2020 through June of 2022. Each grant is

maxed out at 20,000 dollars, or 40,000 dollars

for the two-year cycle. And like I said,

we're currently open.

We generally work with school districts, higher education, technical schools, community organizations, police departments, so on and so forth.

The measurements are coming in through the grant applications as to what they're looking to accomplish, what they've accomplished if they were a previous grantee, what it allows them to do above and beyond their budgets, and -- and more, importantly, what are they -- what are they proposing to do on the application for the next two-year cycle, because we do scrutinize. We can't fund every one of them. So, there's a -- there's a scrutiny process that we have to pick as many as we can, but there's a limited amount of money.

And the board testified earlier, we are interested in increasing the amount of grant money available. And Tim and Mary and Mike have been gracious enough to talk about a sizable increase in the grants.

REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: That was going to be my next question, if you'd be interested in increasing that.

MR. MOONEY: Yeah.

REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: And the other thing is just continuing to look to make sure it's effective, if we start to realize that

1 this is a -- this is an elementary school 2 issue as well as a high school and college-age issue. So, any additional research for that. 4 I would also be interested, could you 5 provide us -- provide the committee or my office with just a breakdown of who received 6 7 those grants, maybe county by county, that 8 would be great. 9 PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: Absolutely. 10 REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Thank you 11 very much. 12 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: 13 Representative Bullock. 14 REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: Thank you, 15 Mr. Chairman. 16 Good afternoon. How are you doing, 17

ladies and gentlemen?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Starting my first set of questions regards to that 5300 employees that cross all across the Commonwealth. Could you share with us your workforce diversity numbers in regards to minority and women within that rank, and also share, if you have available, the percentages for minorities and women in level -- in positions of management and executive?

1 MS. ISENHOUR: Hello. Hello, 2 Representative. REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: Hi. 3 4 MS. ISENHOUR: First woman on the 5 board. 6 REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: Oh, yes. 7 Congratulations and thank you. I appreciate 8 -- no. But I appreciate your presence and 9 your voice and representation on the board. 10 Thank you. 11 MR. MOONEY: Representative Bullock, 12 currently, we have -- of all the employees, we're split right 51 percent versus 49 percent 13 male to female. And that's as of June ending 14 15 2019. The minorities, I don't have minorities 16 broken down between male and female, but the 17 minority members of the workforce right now 18 are 23 percent. We could get that number for 19 you. REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: That would 20 21 be great if you can get that to me. 22 Do you have breakdown for your 23 management, as far as, like, regional managers 24 for the stores and things like that? Do you 25 have that?

34 1 MR. MOONEY: We don't have it with 2 us, but it's certainly -- can be accomplished, 3 yes. 4 REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: 5 appreciate that. MR. MOONEY: We'll take care of it 6 7 for you. 8 REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: Thank you 9 very much. 10 So, switching gears a little bit, in 11 my district and in the city of Philadelphia, 12 as you know, many of our neighborhood are 13 dealing with stop-and-gos. One of my 14 colleagues is going to ask a little bit more 15 questioning about that. 16 But I was wondering if you can share 17 with me how communities can be a voice or how 18 they can share their concerns with the board, what is the process that is available to them 19 20 to complain about these establishments. 21 Because a lot of times I hear from community 22 groups that it seems very locked out and 23 difficult for them to be able to voice their

opposition or concerns about a -- a nuisance

business, particularly these stop-and-gos in

24

their communities.

PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: Absolutely.

All of us have spent -- have made several trips to Philadelphia to look at the situation. And we understand the significance of it and how it needs to be addressed. And the general assembly, with Governor Wolf's signature, it gave us another tool that we can use. And there is a complaint, a hotline for complaints to go in.

We have received a -- I'm not going to give you the exact number -- but in excess of 150 complaints about stop-and-gos. We have -- working closely with the Pennsylvania State Police, liquor code enforcement, and the city of Philadelphia officials, we have acted on every complaint that we have received. We have shut down, I believe, fifty-four locations. Most of them have reopened because they have come into compliance. We have to keep an eye on those.

Currently, I believe, there are four that are in noncompliance that are shut down right now. But the general assembly gave us that authority. And we're working closely

1 with the PLCE and the city to address that. 2 But any of your constituents or anyone, there was a hotline that they can 3 4 call, and we will follow up every complaint. 5 REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: So, for some of my constituents that are watching, can you 6 7 share that hotline right now on PCN? 8 PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: Maybe Charlie 9 can. 10 REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: Do you know 11 it offhand? 12 MR. MOONEY: We're looking. 13 REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: We'll get 14 it. 15 MR. MOONEY: We're looking it up 16 right now. 17 REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: All right. 18 Well, as soon as you get it, perhaps when 19 Representative Cephas asks some questions you 20 can share it at that time, unless she has it 21 now. 22 She's looking it up. 23 Well, thank you for now. And when 24 you have a chance to share that hotline, I'd 25 appreciate it.

1 Thank you very much. 2 PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: Thank you, Representative. 3 4 MR. NEGRA: I'll interject one thing 5 about that. There's also, Rod, it's 611, right? 6 7 There's -- there's a -- what's it --8 it's not an Act 611. It's just 611 -- it's 9 Section 611 that can be brought together by 10 the community that can look at the -- at a 11 nuisance operation and shut it down 12 immediately. And I think that's a for-good shutdown. Obviously, there is an appeal 13 process, due process there. 14 15 But, you know, you might want to --16 want to look into that as something the 17 community can do. We can only do so much, as 18 an agency, from a liquor license standpoint. 19 But there is that other -- other way to go 20 that involves really more police, attorney 21 general. 22 PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: District 23 attorney. 24 MS. ISENHOUR: District attorney. 25 MR. NEGRA: Oh, it's for one year.

So -- excuse me, it wasn't forever. It's for one year.

REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: I understand. But that can sometimes make a big difference in some of these communities. I appreciate you sharing that.

MR. NEGRA: Absolutely.

MR. MOONEY: Representative Bullock, it's -- I'll e-mail it to you. It's not a telephone number. It's an e-mail address.

REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: Okay.

MR. MOONEY: It's a resource account. For the record I'll just put it. It's ra-lcbcompliance@pa.gov. But I will have -- we will send it over to you.

And I would like, on the record, recognize behind us our chief counsel, who was sworn in, Rod Diaz. Sitting to the left of him is our director of regulatory affairs, Tish Albert. The two of these individuals have gone down to Philadelphia a dozen times in the last sixteen months and met with the senators and representative, the community groups, the Department of Health, BLCE from state police. They were down there as

recently as last week with Senator Street and 1 2 others, Senator Williams. And we have done everything we've been asked to do and beyond. 4 We're now looking at our -- the 5 oversight committees to -- to Representative Pyle and Senator Stefano to maybe look at some 6 7 statutory changes that would make the process 8 easier and quicker. As Senator Street said 9 today, in his district, he has five -- what he 10 would call five stop-and-gos, four or five 11 stop-and-gos within sight of each other. 12 REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: We share 13 that intersection. We share that intersection. It is a problem. 14 15 So, I appreciate you paying attention 16 to that. And if you ever want to visit that 17 intersection, I'll be happy to take you down. 18 Thank you. 19 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: With that, 20 we'll go to Representative James. 21 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you, 22 Mr. Chairman. 23 Thank you, gentlemen and ladies, for 24 being with us this afternoon. 25 My name is Lee James. I reside in

western Pennsylvania, Venango County specifically. I'd like to ask you a couple of policy questions, as opposed to number questions.

My interest -- my first interest is in, would you please kind of describe your relationship, if you will, between the Liquor Control Board and the Pennsylvania State Police, specifically the team that I think manages the underage drinking issue and also the sports betting machines or skill games.

PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: It's a very close relationship. The board, we try to meet a few times a year. Major Miller just took another assignment, and he has not been replaced yet. But we had a great working relationship with him, and we're going to have it with his successor.

Charlie just mentioned Tisha and Rod.

They are in constant communication with the

Pennsylvania State Police liquor code

enforcement. So, I would describe it not just

in the Philadelphia situation but all across

the Commonwealth as a very healthy, productive

relationship.

1 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES. Okay. Can you 2 please bring us up to speed today, if you would, on the status of the poker machines in 3 4 clubs and in bars relative to their legality 5 or illegality? PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: Well, I don't 6 7 think we're qualified to make that 8 determination. I think there's court cases 9 that are pending. Commonwealth Court has --10 I'm going to have to call on Rod again here. 11 The Commonwealth Court has made a ruling, but there's another determination to be made. 12 13 Correct? MR. DIAZ: It's a determination made 14 15 by the courts. We don't enforce the crimes 16 code. So, we're not involved in that. It's 17 18 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Okay. I've 19 heard it described as a gray area. 20 MR. DIAZ: Sure. Whether a 21 particular machine violates the law is a 22 crimes code question. We don't enforce the 23 crimes code. That's the state police. So, if

someone asks us that question, we refer them

to law enforcement because we're -- we don't

24

25

have the authority to answer it.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Okay. I kind of thought that would be the case. But I did want to ask.

Well then, final question, what are your internal policies then regarding policing the machines in counties and regions or how you would like it to be?

PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: Well, we do not do law enforcement, Representative. So, it's --

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Okay. Maybe a bad choice of words. Do you have a policy on that issue?

PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: The only thing that we have done is communicate with licensees that, if -- not will -- if they are cited for an illegal gaming device -- and I assume the ones you're talking about were waiting for determination -- if they were cited for that, it could put their renewal in jeopardy, not that it would. And we corresponded that to all our licensees, because we were receiving inquiries from licensees as to the legality of these

1 machines. REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: I've heard 2 recently that it's been said that the 4 Pennsylvania State Police have been given --5 I'll use the term I got -- a green light on entering bars and clubs to confiscate those 6 7 machines. Do you know anything about that? 8 MR. NEGRA: Not by us. 9 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Not -- that's 10 good, not by your organization. 11 Okay. That's all I have for you. 12 Thank you very much. 13 Thank you. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: 14 15 Representative Cephas. 16 REPRESENTATIVE CEPHAS: Thank you, 17 Chairman. 18 And I, too, want to acknowledge Tisha 19 Albert. I want to say she has a second home 20 in Philadelphia as a result of the stop-and-go issue. She's done tremendous work. The board 21 22 has done tremendous work to really go after 23 these bad actors. 24 And I think when, Philadelphia 25 members, we speak about these entities, we're

not speaking as -- we're not painting a broad brush over all of them. It's just the ones that are constantly subverting the law, ones that allow for loitering, allow for drug trafficking, and just create an additional climate that is not reflective of a good

business being in the community.

attention to.

And I think one of the ultimate challenges is that we want to have a conversation around neighborhood and economic development. Oftentimes these businesses deter businesses from coming. In my district, I almost lost a 50-million-dollar project as a result of a stop-and-go just attracting a negative element. It's close to a heavy-traveled transit hub, and these are where a good majority of these establishments are. So, not only, again, is it creating a challenge for the community, but it, again, potentially deters economic development. And

So, I thank Tisha for her effort.

I wanted to dig a little deeper into the licensee compliance program that was

that's something that we are paying close

enacted in 2017. Can you provide us an update as to how it's been working?

I've had an opportunity to shut down a stop-and-go actually in my district as a direct result of that bill. But, unfortunately, because of due process, sometimes it's not on our side. They opened up immediately the next day.

So if you can provide us with an update. But then, also, talk through what are some of the recommendations and next steps do we need to consider as a legislature to continue going after these bad actors?

PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: Sure,

Representative. As I mentioned previously, we work closely with the state police liquor enforcement and the city of Philadelphia. We received in excess of 150 complaints.

Fifty-four licensees were shut down. Four are still not in compliance.

I believe there was an operation last week -- there was an operation last week jointly with our field people as well as with the state police enforcement, and several places were shut down on that.

1	We do not go out for reinspection
2	until seven days have expired. A lot of
3	times, I mean, the overwhelming majority of
4	times, they are in compliance when we go back,
5	and we have no recourse but to reinstate their
6	license.
7	REPRESENTATIVE CEPHAS: Thank you for
8	that.
9	MR. NEGRA: As a as something that
10	we can do going down the road
11	REPRESENTATIVE CEPHAS: Um-hum.
12	MR. NEGRA: the fines for these
13	violations are ridiculously low.
14	REPRESENTATIVE CEPHAS: Um-hum.
15	MR. NEGRA: Okay? And I don't think
16	that they've been changed since the 1990s.
17	REPRESENTATIVE CEPHAS: Now, is that
18	local or state fines? Or both?
19	MR. NEGRA: Across the state. Those
20	would be state fines.
21	REPRESENTATIVE CEPHAS: Okay.
22	MR. NEGRA: And so, you know, I know
23	that there's been a push while I've been on
24	the board to increase those, but it almost
25	becomes a part of doing business.

1 REPRESENTATIVE CEPHAS: I understand. 2 I understand. Last question is, how has that 3 4 impacted your staffing ability to follow up 5 with things that come through through the program? Do you feel like there's a need to 6 increase staff, increase enforcement? 7 8 PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: We have 9 responded to every complaint that we have 10 received. 11 So, Charlie, anything further you 12 want to add to that? 13 MR. MOONEY: Yeah. Representative 14 Cephas, we keep evaluating our staff. It's a 15 complaint-driven program. We have to come in 16 either with the phone call or through that 17 e-mail and the state police, and we'll 18 certainly help the representation get that 19 information out as soon as we get back. 20 But we have put additional resources 21 in our Philadelphia office. And -- but it 22 comes in hills and valleys. Sometimes there's 23 no complaints, and then sometimes we get some, 24 you know, in a pile. But, right now, we've

25

been able to handle it.

And we work, like Tim said, we work close with BLCE, but they've been -- they've been able to go on every compliance check that we've asked them to.

REPRESENTATIVE CEPHAS: Okay.

MR. MOONEY: So far.

I -- this board's been great to work with as far as these stop-and-gos. And you already mentioned Tisha and Rob.

But we -- there's work to be done here. And I think that's what we need to acknowledge. You're right. When we put this together in '17, and we got the legislation that allowed this, we went in at 2 o'clock, they reopened at 6 o'clock. Right? So, that's why we're at seven to ten days now before we'll reinspect. They bring thirty chairs out of the back room that were locked up. And, you know, they go out around the corner and get the hot dogs. And we're all too well aware of the issues.

And as I said earlier, we do need to work with the Senate Law and Justice and the House Liquor Control Committee to come up with some tighter regulations and, to Mike's point,

1 some stiffer fines. 2 REPRESENTATIVE CEPHAS: I agree. I think, by the time I did the press 4 conference about the stop-and-go closing, they 5 were just reopening. So -- but, yes, that's great to follow up and figure out where do we 6 7 go from here. But then, also, make 8 recommendations to the local municipality on 9 how we can strengthen our laws down there as 10 well. 11 Thank you. 12 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: 13 Representative Struzzi. 14 REPRESENTATIVE STRUZZI: Thank you, 15 Mr. Chairman. Good afternoon. 16 17 PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: Good 18 afternoon. REPRESENTATIVE STRUZZI: I want to 19 20 talk a little bit about marketing and 21 promotion. In Act 39 of 2016, it gave you the 22 opportunity to issue grants to market and

promote. And I believe that 1.8 million in

grants were given last year. And, you know,

from my perspective, I'd like to learn a

23

24

25

little bit more about how this program works, some successful projects.

I represent Indiana County, and, you know, a lot of times, for our rural communities, a particular craft brewery or a distillery or something like that might be a destination, the only reason to get people into our rural communities.

So, if you could talk a little bit more about that grant program, possibly expanding it, some successful projects, and perhaps your future vision for marketing and promoting places like craft breweries, distilleries, wineries, and things like that.

MR. NEGRA: Yes, I'll take it, at least at the beginning, until we have to swear in Liz.

I assume that you're talking about the wine grants and the beer grants for a million dollars each that were legislatively put through that we're financing for tourism grants, research grants, and so forth. To be honest with you, there's been a -- an incredible amount of problems with them. And how -- and the -- the reasoning behind that,

not to throw anybody under the bus, but the way that the -- the grants were set to do, we were basically the funding arm, not the arm that went out and solicited the grants. And we approved them because we are the funding part of it.

And then, the accountability part of it was all supposed to be handled by the Department of Agriculture. They basically said, Thanks, but no thanks.

After much wrangling, and now we've been dealing with three years of grants that have -- that are -- have been delayed, haven't been -- there's no accountability behind it.

And through -- through Liz Brassell, our policy director, she and people in our -- in our general counsel's office have worked very diligently over the last, oh, maybe twelve months to try and create a process that is a little bit more streamlined, it's fair, there's accountability there, and we have an opportunity that when somebody says they're going to do something and here's what it is, that it's meeting the guidelines that were first placed on that.

So, if you were to ask me today, you know, are we happy with it, I would say no, but we're working more -- we're working towards that and in cooperation with the wine board and the beer board as well.

So, the last thing we want to do is give out a grant that, in turn, does not -- is not satisfied, so that they've taken that money, you know, that somebody else maybe could have used. I can tell you Penn State's done some of that, and they're in my district. Okay? And I've said, Well, hey, we're not going to pay them if they're not going to do it. And we're not going to give them that opportunity to keep moving that grant period from one period to another to another. And that's just a tiny bit of the issues.

But we've taken basically over the entire grant process, even though that's not the way that it's depicted in Act 39.

million -- 1.8 million in grants have been distributed, though? And yet -- what's that?

(Whereupon, a voice was speaking

without a microphone.)

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: You're going to have to come to the microphone and be sworn in only because of the transcript of the hearing.

PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: That makes sense. That's fine.

REPRESENTATIVE STRUZZI: Sorry. But

I think this -- this needs to be dug into a

little bit deeper, if we're giving out money

and there's no accountability --

MR. NEGRA: That's exactly what we're doing. Okay? And I'd like to believe that what we did -- I was asked this question last year in the Senate. And I don't remember in the House. And we were waiting for the reports to come back in that were -- were specifically required in those particular grants. And I think that we're moving forward with this, but it's taken a long time, unfortunately, to determine who was going to do what, no matter -- no matter who was responsible for it in the law itself. Okay? Because even though -- somebody says, Yeah, well, I'm supposed to do it, but if they're not doing it, who's that helping? It's not

1 helping anybody. Not helping tourism. It's 2 not helping -- not helping anything. 3 So, we've taken it, because there's 4 really no other way of doing it. You know? 5 If the Department of Agriculture has a problem with me saying it, they can come on 6 over and we can talk about how we're going to 7 8 move forward with it. All right? But we just 9 said, heck with it, we're -- we're just taking 10 it over. So --11 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Mary --12 MR. NEGRA: Go ahead. 13 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: wanted to respond to something as well, there. 14 15 MS. ISENHOUR: No. Go ahead, 16 Representative. I'm --17 REPRESENTATIVE STRUZZI: I mean, 18 we're almost out of time, but I think it's 19 pertinent that we get a clear process on this. 20 I think there's ample opportunity, golden 21 opportunity for some of these smaller 22 businesses to grow in Pennsylvania. But if 23 we're not going to do it right, then, I mean, that's kind of scary. So, I think -- I think 24

there's some follow-up needed here to make

25

sure that there is accountability. I'd like to know what your specific process is going to be for awarding these grants and then making sure that the grants are being used in the appropriate manner.

MS. ISENHOUR: Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Go ahead,

Mary.

MS. ISENHOUR: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman.

What Liz was saying is, we have -- we have released 1.8 and -- or 4 million dollars through both the wine and beer board. And the -- I think the legislature's intent, when they set up these -- the wine board and the beer board, was to do this and for it to work and that it's a great idea. And -- but it -- it hasn't been working.

And the biggest problem has been that the -- the folks that have requested the grants and asked for them, they're just -- the applications are faulty. And what we didn't want to happen, since we're responsible for the money, is to come before you guys and say, Wait a second. You awarded this grant, and

they weren't following the rules. And, you know, not have accountability to you for the money.

And so that's when we stepped in to try and put together -- put together a process, which we're -- we're still finalizing. But I would say, in the last six months, we've made great progress, and we'll continue to do so. Because we want to release the money. You know, we want -- wanted to do exactly what -- what you're asking us to do, to help places like Indiana County and -- and the smaller areas and the smaller breweries and the smaller wineries. But we are making progress.

But it -- but I want to be really clear that the biggest issue has been that the grant applications have been faulty. They have not followed the rules that I think you all intended when you -- when you said go do this. So, we're trying to be accountable to you.

REPRESENTATIVE STRUZZI: I appreciate that, and I do think we need some follow-up here though. Okay? Thank you.

1	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: And the
2	reason I remind those that are sitting behind
3	you and others, the stenographer is not in the
4	room. She's watching this and she needs to be
5	able to or he, I'm not sure whether it's a
6	he or she needs to be able to hear
7	everything and have titles properly assigned
8	to everybody. So, just just remind
9	everybody that's why we do those things.
10	Don't want to get yelled at by the
11	stenographer.
12	We've also I believe he's left by
13	now but we were also joined by
14	Representative Tobash as well, from Schuylkill
15	County earlier.
16	So, with that, we will move to
17	Representative Topper.
18	REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: Good
19	afternoon, chairman, members. Good to see you
20	again.
21	Just want to talk about some numbers
22	here and make sure that, you know, I have a
23	clear understanding of where we're at moving

forward. As I look at the state store's fund,

and we've kind of painted our rosy picture,

24

25

58 but the total net position is still a little 1 bit over a billion dollars deficit. Is that 2 -- is that accurate? 4 MS. ISENHOUR: Um-hum. 5 REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: The operating profit for '18-'19, and as Chairman Holden 6 stated that we have received 185 million to 7 8 the general fund, but the operating profit was 9 176 -- a little over 176 million. So, you 10 know, I look at the -- at the net position, 11 the deficit for the state store fund, I look 12 at our operating profits still being less than 13 what you're contributing to the -- to the general fund, and yet, earlier in an answer 14 15 was you feel comfortable with that 185 16 million-dollar number being transferred to the 17 general fund even into the future. You feel that's sustainable? 18 PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: For the next 19 20 two years, I believe it is. 21 Representative, I just want to get 22 the numbers correct. I believe last year we 23

transferred 185, but the earnings were 191. MR. NEGRA: Our net income was 191.

That's not cash. Okay?

24

25

1 REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: Riaht. 2 MR. NEGRA: But our net income was 191. 3 4 REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: So, the net 5 income was 191. And that was in 20 --6 MR. NEGRA: That was fiscal year '18-'19. 7 8 REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: For '18-'19. 9 MR. NEGRA: Right. 10 REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: Operating 11 expenses, let's make sure we're on the same 12 page here, about 500 million. Does that sound 13 right? I have 498, a little over. MR. NEGRA: Get to that page. 14 15 MS. ISENHOUR: Yes. Yes. 16 REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: So, as I --17 as I'm looking at this, I think that the 18 pressure is to continue to transfer X amount 19 to the general fund, not all of the numbers that we're looking at are necessarily pretty 20 21 in terms of some of the things, and, of 22 course, not of your making, but just have been 23 legacy costs or things that are simply when 24 the state tries to operate something that 25 should perhaps be in the free market, these

things are going to happen.

But my concern is that, as we press towards these higher numbers, and we ask for more and more money, that that's when, you know, we have to turn to things like flexible pricing to say, oh, we need more money. We're going to just start getting more money as we — whether it be from vendors or whether it be ended up passing the costs on to consumers, that there's that upward pressure of constantly feeding into, you know, an X — X amount of dollars into the general fund. And that's been one of my concerns, as all of you know, with flexible pricing, is that we have the ability to do that.

Is that something that is -- you know, is of a concern that -- or is that -- is that going to be used as a tool to make sure we meet X goal for dollars?

PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN:

Representative, I guess we should just elaborate on flexible pricing. And I know the industry didn't like it. The games changed, the rules changed. And we control the retail price now. We try to be as transparent as

possible. We went through many industry I was at a conference a few months meetings. ago, met eight separate vendors, talking about their concern about transparency. We -- we believe that we're in the process now where it's perpetual and that the industry understands it. They don't love it, but they understand what the rules are. And I think we're -- the marketing department is being fair.

We sent out notice of the skews we want to talk about, give them a chance to come in, talk about freight-on-board costs, talk about retail price increases, decreases. So, we believe it's perpetual.

As for the pressure to raise more money, I mean, you can only get so much. You know, we're comfortable what we did in the last couple years. I -- Charlie, I think this year we're projecting again to have a higher number to transfer; is that correct?

MR. MOONEY: That's correct.

PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: But as far as the pressure to make it 200, at one time there was pressure to make it 216, and we made it

216, but we dipped into our reserves to do that.

REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: And that's what we don't want -- you know, we don't want to see. You're doing the job that you're being asked to do. And I appreciate that. I appreciate that from a public policy perspective. And I appreciate it for what you're trying to do in trying to run a business.

But I think -- I think, as we -- as we go back to comments that, you know, we've all heard over the years in this debate, there was still a reason why, philosophically, there are those of us who do not believe that this industry is operating within the free market, and, in fact, it would be better for our consumers if it were. And, again, that's not anything -- I think we're trying to do the best we can. And we're kind of trying to piecemeal it as a legislature, since we're still in the business of trying to make it that way. And I know that that sometimes brings disruption to the market, but I think that's why, as people who are listening and

1 wondering why we believe still that any moves 2 that we can make, whether it be private retailers increasing, you know, the 3 4 profitability to -- to us through making 5 different adjustments, is still out there. And that's why we're continuing to bring it 6 7 up. 8 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 9 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: 10 Representative Dunbar. 11 REPRESENTATIVE DUNBAR: Thank you, 12 Mr. Chairman. 13 And I -- and I, in our preliminary 14 discussions that we had, I told you I wasn't 15 going to be as nasty as I normally am. And I 16 do appreciate the fact that -- that you did 17 show a net position, gain of 2 million 18 dollars. And I was teasing you earlier, well, it'll only take 667 million -- 667 more years 19 20 to eliminate your negative balance. But we 21 are moving in a positive direction. 22 And I did want to ask though, and I'm 23 curious about flexible pricing. And I really 24 do remember the conversations we had in 2015

and 2016 about flexible pricing. And we

25

talked about, you know, if -- if we would allow flexible pricing, what would happen, and what would happen is that you would get a better price from your suppliers, and there would be no change to consumer cost.

Has there been change to consumer cost?

PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: Consumer prices have increased and decreased. This whole flexible pricing perpetual discussion that we have, you know, obviously our transfer has increased from 80 million dollars to 185 million dollars.

REPRESENTATIVE DUNBAR: And that was based on the ability of Act 39, and there were several other things other than flexible pricing.

PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: Oh, yeah. I was just going to say that. And we also have the industry coming in with spas, with sales. We -- raising prices, lowering prices, our auctions that we received in Act 39. There's many multitude of things that have allowed us to increase our revenue by over a hundred million dollars. So, how -- I'm sorry.

1 REPRESENTATIVE DUNBAR: Has there 2 been an increase in prices for the consumers? PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: 3 Not across the 4 board. 5 REPRESENTATIVE DUNBAR: So, when you went to negotiate price changes with 6 7 suppliers, and you could not -- if you could 8 not secure price changes from your suppliers, 9 you didn't increase prices. You kept the same 10 profit margin. 11 MR. NEGRA: No. No. It's on a 12 case-by-case basis. And I think if you 13 compare where our prices are versus 2016, from an inflation standpoint, it's below inflation. 14 15 So, things change, you know, constantly, on a 16 skew-by-skew basis. 17 REPRESENTATIVE DUNBAR: I -- no, I 18 fully understand. 19 MR. NEGRA: So, in answer to your 20 question --21 REPRESENTATIVE DUNBAR: If you're --22 if you're pricing -- if you paid more, then 23 you have to charge more. But you had a -- a 24 mark-up percentage that you had -- had to 25 adhere to in the past. And, I mean, I'll even read from your -- from your profit enhancement concepts, if PA could obtain pricing like

Virginia does and maintain current shelf

price, we would increase our gross profit by

2.27 a bottle without any increase in prices

made by consumers.

And that was our understanding, you know, consumers would not have to pay the difference. But I have heard that flexible pricing sometimes has meant that you just increased the prices, increased the margin.

MR. NEGRA: I think "sometimes" is probably the right word. I mean, there is --every skew is -- is different in every market. So, the Jack Daniels I believe was the example that we used, and maybe I didn't -- maybe it wasn't identified as such, but in that -- in that particular case, it -- we presented the example that if we got Virginia's price, and if the pricing did not increase based upon the number of bottles that we -- that we typically sell in a year, this is how much more money we would have gotten.

REPRESENTATIVE DUNBAR: Yeah, and I believe the conversation was, We will get more

1 That was always the -- and -- and revenue. 2 understanding more revenue, the more profits that would come as well. 3 4 So -- and your gross margin has 5 increased over the last three years; has it not? Is that correct, Charlie? 6 7 MR. MOONEY: That is correct. REPRESENTATIVE DUNBAR: About 1 8 9 percent increase would you say? 10 MR. MOONEY: Yeah. 11 REPRESENTATIVE DUNBAR: So, 1 12 percent, so that -- that's pretty much where 13 we're making -- ability to make the 180 million-dollar transfer, where before we were 14 15 dipping into reserves to make it. Is that 16 correct? 17 PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: We were 18 transferring less. Yes. 19 MS. ISENHOUR: We were transferring a 20 lot less. 21 MR. NEGRA: And keep in mind, to our 22 gross margin, we're making more margin at 23 retail. We're selling more at wholesale, 24 which is at 10 percent less. And in the case 25 of special orders, that margin went from 20

percent to 10 percent as well. That's a hundred million-dollar business.

So, there's a variety of things that have brought our margin down. Okay? While at the same time our margin has overall increased.

REPRESENTATIVE DUNBAR: And like I said in years past, I understand the hand you're dealt, and I do appreciate the fact that it is a better picture. It's still not a rosy picture. I'm not going to lie to you. It's still a scary picture. But I do appreciate what you're doing.

But I do want to make sure that our understanding -- my understanding, it always was, is that the only price increases that were going to come were going to be because of natural inflation, no other -- no other forces.

PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: I'm not sure --

REPRESENTATIVE DUNBAR: Price increases -- because -- so the profit margin you were supposed to -- the additional dollars you were supposed to get from flexible prices

1 was solely on the savings you were going to 2 get from your suppliers. PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: But a lot of 3 4 time, the industry comes in with the price 5 increase. They will --REPRESENTATIVE DUNBAR: And I 6 understand that. That, I understand. I'm not 7 -- I'm not arguing that. I mean, so that's 8 9 what I said, the only price increases 10 consumers should see would be inflationary 11 ones, which would be price increases from 12 suppliers. 13 So, have we increased prices when we didn't have an increase from our suppliers? 14 15 PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: Yes. 16 MR. NEGRA: Yes. 17 REPRESENTATIVE DUNBAR: Okay. Thank 18 you. 19 PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: And comparing 20 categories and -- certainly. Yeah. 21 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: With that, 22 we'll go to Representative Deasy. 23 REPRESENTATIVE DEASY: Thank you very 24 much. And thank you, Chairman Saylor, for 25 allowing me to participate.

1	I do have a question regarding the
2	western PA warehouse facility out there. I
3	understand there's going to be some changes
4	coming up. I wonder how that process occurs
5	and where we're at status-wise with that.
6	PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: Yes,
7	Representative. We are in the process of
8	shortly sending out an RFP for our warehouse
9	in western Pennsylvania. It should go out in
10	April, Charlie? Or we're trying to get out in
11	April.
12	MR. MOONEY: That's right.
13	PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: What, about a
14	ten-month process or six- to eight-month
15	process?
16	MR. MOONEY: Six to eight.
17	PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: We are not
18	sure if the current occupant is going to bid
19	FedEx. We think they might. We understand
20	other providers in the Commonwealth are very
21	interested in bidding on it.
22	REPRESENTATIVE DEASY: So, does the
23	Commonwealth own the current warehouse?
24	PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: No, not in
25	western Pennsylvania, only in the Philadelphia

```
1
       region.
2
                REPRESENTATIVE DEASY: Okay. So,
       when would the deadline for that contract to
4
       be up?
5
                MR. NEGRA: I think that contract's
       up in 2022.
6
7
                 REPRESENTATIVE DEASY: Oh, okay. So,
8
       there's time for the process, transparency in
9
       the whole RFP process.
10
                MR. NEGRA: Exactly.
11
                REPRESENTATIVE DEASY: Okay. Great.
12
       Thank you.
13
                MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Very good.
14
                Again, I want to thank the board
15
       members.
16
                Mary, I want to congratulate you for
17
       being the first woman to the board.
18
                MS. ISENHOUR: Thank you very much.
                MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: So, it's
19
20
       great to see you again.
21
                MS. ISENHOUR: It's an honor to be in
22
       front of you.
23
                MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Again, I
24
       thank you for your work and your diligence for
25
       the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to all the
```

	12
1	board members and, Charles, you as well, and
2	the rest of your staff.
3	Thank you.
4	PLCB CHAIRMAN HOLDEN: Thank you,
5	Mr. Chairman.
6	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Tomorrow
7	we will reconvene at 10:00 a.m. to hear from
8	the Department of Community and Economic
9	Development. Again, that's at 10:00 a.m.
10	(Whereupon, the hearing concluded at
11	4:11 p.m.)
12	
13	* * * *
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript, to the best of my ability, produced from audio on the said proceedings. BRENDA J. PARDUN, RPR Court Reporter Notary Public